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## 1. Introduction

## Scope

1.1 HDH Planning and Development Ltd prepared the Whole Plan Viability Study including Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), September 2015 (the 2015 Viability Study). This report was published to support the Stevenage Local Plan 2011-2031 that was submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination in July 2016 ${ }^{1}$. The public hearings concluded in March 2017, and in June and July 2017 the Council undertook ${ }^{2}$ a consultation on the Proposed Main Modifications.
1.2 The Council is now reconsidering Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) as a mechanism to fund, at least in part, the infrastructure required to support the new Plan. Viability was considered at some length at the Examination hearings and whilst a number of concerns were raised, the methodology and assumptions were not challenged. The inspector's report ${ }^{3}$ concluded on viability as follows:
185. A whole Plan viability assessment was carried out by the Council in line with the advice in the NPPF. This has led to some changes, such as the reduction in the affordable housing target. The assessment has also been scrutinised as part of this examination in relation to other policy matters, as set out above. I am satisfied that a robust assessment of viability has been undertaken such that scale of obligations and policy burdens will not prevent development being delivered in a timely manner.
1.3 Based on this it is assumed that the 2015 Viability Study is a sound basis for taking CIL forward. The following rates of CIL were recommended in the 2015 Viability Study:

| Table 1.1 Recommended rates of CIL - 2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Development Type | Maximum Rate of CIL |
| Residential (Including Sheltered Housing) <br> Stevenage Urban Area <br> All other areas including the Northern, South-western Urban <br> and Western Urban Extension | $£ 0 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ <br> $£ 40 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Retail Development | $£ 60 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| All Other Development | $£ 0 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |

Source: Table 13.8 SBC Whole Plan Viability Study, September 2015

[^0]1.4 This update has been commissioned:
a. to consider the changes in national policy and practice.
b. to ensure that the considerations of viability are done in the context of the current market values and costs and related to the sites identified in the new Plan.
c. to update the recommendations in relation to CIL and the rates proposed as required.
1.5 At the time of this update the Council has not published a Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule (PDCS) and has not carried out any specific consultations in relation to CIL, although the industry was consulted on the preparation of the 2015 Viability Study. A consultation event was held in $27^{\text {th }}$ March 2015, to which the representatives of the main developers, development site landowners, their agents and housing providers were invited. The feedback from that event is carried forward into this report.
1.6 This work is an update to the earlier viability work undertaken by HDH. The same methodology is used, and it builds on the same assumptions. For ease of use the same chapter headings are used.
1.7 In the run up to the Local Plan hearings and in response to the Inspector's questions some further work viability work was undertaken. This response to the relevant 'Matter 15' questions are set out in Appendix 1 of this report.

## Metric or imperial

1.8 The property industry uses both imperial and metric data - often working out costings in metric $\left(£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ ) and values in imperial ( $£ /$ acre and $£ /$ sqft). This is confusing, so we have used metric measurements throughout this report. The following conversion rates may assist readers.

| 1 m | $=3.28 \mathrm{ft}\left(3^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.3.37^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $1 \mathrm{ft}=0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $=10.76 \mathrm{sqft}$ | $1 \mathrm{sqft}=$ |
| $1 \mathrm{ha}=2.471 \mathrm{acres}$ | 1 acre $=0.092903 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |

1.9 A useful broad rule of thumb to convert $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ to sqft is simply to add a final zero.

## HDH Planning and Development

1.10 HDH is a specialist planning consultancy providing evidence to support planning and housing authorities. The firm was founded in the summer of 2011 by Simon Drummond-Hay who is a Chartered Surveyor and associate of the Chartered Institute of Housing. Previously he and his team worked for Fordham Research.
1.11 The firm's main areas of expertise are:
a. District wide and site specific viability analysis / CIL viability testing
b. Local and Strategic Housing Market Assessments and Housing Needs Assessments
c. Viability and Planning Assessments and Inquiries.
1.12 The findings contained in this report are based upon information provided by the Council and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided. This information has not been independently verified by HDH. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are concerned with policy requirement, guidance and regulations which may be subject to change. They reflect a Chartered Surveyor's perspective and do not reflect nor constitute legal advice.
1.13 No part of this report constitutes a valuation and the report should not be relied on in that regard.

## Report Structure

1.14 This report follows the following format:

Chapter 2 The reasons for, and approach to, viability testing, including a short review of the requirements of the CIL Regulations, NPPF and PPG.
Chapter 3 The methodology used.
Chapter 4 An update to the assessment of the housing market, including market and affordable housing with the purpose of establishing the worth of different types of housing (size and tenure) in different areas.

Chapter 5 An update to the assessment of the non-residential markets with the purpose of establishing the worth of different types of commercial uses.
Chapter 6 An update to the assessment of the costs of land to be used when assessing viability.
Chapter 7 An update to the cost and general development assumptions to be used in the development appraisals.
Chapter 8 A summary of the various policy requirements and constraints that influence the types of development that come forward.

Chapter 9 We have set out the range of modelled sites used for the financial development appraisals.
Chapter 10 The results of the appraisals and consideration of residential development.
Chapter 11 The appraisals and consideration of non-residential development.
Chapter 12 Updated recommendations in relation to CIL.

## 2. Viability Testing

2.1 The framework for viability testing remains as set out in the NPPF and PPG and remains largely unchanged, however there have been several, relatively minor, amendments that need to be considered.

## Changes to the PPG

2.2 In November 2014, a written statement to Parliament, headed 'Small-scale developers' by Brandon Lewis MP of the Department for Communities and Local Government, introduced a national threshold for affordable housing and developer contributions of 10-units or fewer, and which have a maximum combined gross floor space of $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. In designated rural areas under section 157 of the Housing Act 1985, authorities may choose to implement a lower threshold of 5-units or fewer, beneath which affordable housing and tariff style contributions should not be sought.
2.3 In August 2015, the changes were reversed (because of a legal challenge) and the PPG was amended and a new paragraph (paragraph 30) was added as follows ${ }^{4}$ :

Please note that paragraphs 012-023 of the guidance on planning obligations will be removed following the judgment in $R$ (on the application of West Berkshire District Council and Reading Borough Council) v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government [2015] EWHC 2222 (Admin).
2.4 The Government appealed ${ }^{5}$ and the national thresholds were reintroduced in May 2016.
2.5 The analysis in this report assumes that the affordable housing requirement is as per the Proposed Main Modifications - i.e. that under Policy HO7 the national thresholds apply, and the Council only seeks affordable housing on sites of 11 units and more.

## Summer 2015 Budget

2.6 In July 2015, shortly before the completion of the 2015 Viability Study, the Chancellor of the Exchequer gave the post-election Summer Budget to Parliament. With the Budget, several changes were announced that relate to planning. These changes were reflected in the 2015 Viability Study ${ }^{6}$.

[^1]
## Affordable Housing

2.7 Prior to the 2015 Budget, Affordable Rents were set at up to $80 \%$ of open market rent and generally went up, annually, by inflation (CPI) plus 1\%, and Social Rents were set through a formula, again with an annual CPI plus 1\% increase. In the Budget, it was announced that Social Rents and Affordable Rents would be reduced by $1 \%$ per year for 4 years. This change reduces the value of affordable housing.

## Starter Homes

2.8 The 2015 Budget included an announcement on Starter Homes. The PPG has not been updated in this regard since the Budget and, at the time of this update, the Starter Homes sections of the $\mathrm{PPG}^{7}$ only relate to 'exception' sites. Uncertainty remains around whether Starter Homes will be in addition to, or instead of, some or all affordable housing. A Starter Home must remain available at, at least $20 \%$ below market value for the first five years, meaning any first-time buyer who looks to resell within the first five years will have to offer this discount to the next buyer. Starter Homes are not subject to CIL.
2.9 In March 2016, the Government launched Starter Homes Regulations: Technical Consultation. This sets out the Government's preferred options as to what the requirements will be, and were further developed in the Housing White Paper (February 2017) where two significant alterations were put forward. The first being to reduce the amount sought from $20 \%$ to $10 \%$ of the units on the site, and the second to increase the period of the discount from 5 to 15 years.
2.10 A scenario has been tested whereby $10 \%$ of the housing is delivered as Starter Homes. It is assumed that the Starter Homes will be instead of the equivalent amount of affordable housing.

## Environmental Standards

2.11 The Government also confirmed within the Fixing the foundations productivity report ${ }^{8}$ its intention not to proceed with the zero carbon buildings policy, which was initially announced in 2007.
2.12 This is considered in Chapter 7 of the 2015 Viability Study.

## Housing White Paper and CIL Review

2.13 The Government published the Housing White Paper ${ }^{9}$ (February 2017), which sets out, for consultation, the Government's plans to deal with some aspects of the housing market and

[^2]planning system. At the same time as the publication of the Housing White Paper, a New Approach to Developer Contributions, A Report by the CIL Review Team (Submitted October 2016) ${ }^{10}$ was released suggesting some changes to the existing CIL Process. It is highly likely that these two documents will lead to changes in the planning system, however, what those changes may be is not yet certain. In an effort to 'future proof' this study, testing around the provision of Starter Homes has been carried out.
2.14 One of the recommendations of the CIL Review ${ }^{11}$ was a new Local Infrastructure Tariff (LIT) that would apply to all development and be set at between $1.75 \%$ and $2.5 \%$ of the Gross Development Value (GDV). Whilst the details are not known, this potential tariff has been tested.

[^3]
## 3. Methodology

3.1 The methodology remains unchanged and as set out in Chapter 3 of the 2015 Draft Viability Study.
3.2 In this update the following matters have been reviewed:
a. Values of residential property, based on a review of newbuild sales.
b. Values of non-residential property based on fresh data.
c. An update of land values based on Land Registry data.
d. An update of construction costs to reflect inflation and changes in building standards.
e. A review of the modelling to ensure the typologies are reflective of the sites in the Plan.
f. Reconsideration of rates of CIL.

## Additional Profit

3.3 To assess whether or not a contribution to CIL can be made, a calculation needs to be undertaken to establish the 'additional profit'.
3.4 Additional Profit is a concept that HDH has developed and it is the amount of profit over and above the normal profit (or competitive return) made by the developers having purchased the land (alternative land value plus uplift), developed the site and sold the units (including providing any affordable housing that is required). The approach to calculating additional profit is to complete the appraisal using the same base cost and price figures and other financial assumptions as used to establish the Residual Value, except for S106 obligations which are to be replaced, in part, by CIL, but instead of calculating the Residual Value the cost of the land (alternative use value plus uplift) is incorporated into the cost side of the appraisal to show the resulting profit (or loss).
3.5 The amount by which the resulting profit exceeds the target level of profit, represents the additional profit, and provides a measure of the scope for contributing to CIL without impairing development viability. CIL contributions can be paid out of this additional profit. The following formula was used:

## Gross Development Value

(The combined value of the complete development including $\mathrm{x} \%$ affordable housing)

## LESS

Cost of creating the asset, including a profit margin
(land* + construction + fees + finance charges + developers' profit)
including mitigation measures, and affordable housing commuted sums
$=$

## Additional Profit

* Where 'land' is the Alternative Use Value and uplift.
3.6 This analysis was not included in the 2015 Viability Study.


## 4. Residential Market

4.1 This chapter updates the assessment of the housing market (including sheltered and extracare housing), providing the basis for the assumptions on house prices to be used in the financial appraisals for the sites tested in the study.

## Market Housing

4.2 In the 2015 Viability Study the following values were used:

| Table 4.1 2015 Price Assumptions $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flats | Housing |
| Large Greenfield |  | £3,150 |
| Smaller Infill | £3,000 | £2,550 |
| Town Centre | £2,500 |  |

Source: Table 4.11 SBC Whole Plan Viability Study, September 2015
4.3 The data for the above assumptions was collected in early 2015 and, as indicated by the data set out in Appendix 1 (the response to the Matter 15 - question 18), the market has moved on. It is therefore appropriate to conduct a more comprehensive update to inform the CIL setting process.
4.4 The Land Registry reports a significant increase in prices since the earlier work, with house prices increasing in Stevenage by over $20 \%$. This is particularly notable as this about double the increase seen both in England and Wales as a whole, and that seen in London:

| Table 4.2 Change in House Prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stevenage |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Detached | SemiDetached | Terraced | Flat |
| Jul-15 | £226,773 | £429,982 | £272,288 | £216,558 | £148,001 |
| Apr-17 | £276,201 | £532,943 | £334,828 | £261,144 | £181,270 |
| Change | £49,428 | £102,961 | £62,540 | £44,586 | £33,269 |
|  | 21.80\% | 23.95\% | 22.97\% | 20.59\% | 22.48\% |
| England and Wales |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Detached | SemiDetached | Terraced | Flat |
| Jul-15 | £209,200 | £312,050 | £193,891 | £169,134 | £197,392 |
| Apr-17 | £231,269 | £347,671 | £214,789 | £184,978 | £219,215 |
| Change | £22,069 | £35,621 | £20,898 | £15,844 | £21,823 |
|  | 10.55\% | 11.42\% | 10.78\% | 9.37\% | 11.06\% |
| London |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Detached | SemiDetached | Terraced | Flat |
| Jul-15 | £431,644 | £787,783 | £506,181 | £443,661 | £385,416 |
| Apr-17 | £482,779 | £899,798 | £577,940 | £494,851 | £428,476 |
| Change | £51,135 | £112,015 | £71,759 | £51,190 | £43,060 |
|  | 11.85\% | 14.22\% | 14.18\% | 11.54\% | 11.17\% |

Source: Land Registry (July 2017)
4.5 The above data relates to all sales (new and old), however there is anecdotal evidence that suggests that newbuild homes, particularly flats in the town centre, have increased more than this. It is therefore appropriate to refresh the price evidence.

## The Residential Market

4.6 The current direction and state of the housing market has improved since the earlier viability evidence was prepared. The housing market peaked late in 2007 (see the following graph) and then fell considerably in the 2007/2008 recession during what became known as the 'Credit Crunch'.
4.7 Average house prices across England and Wales have recovered to their pre-recession peak, however this is strongly influenced by London. In Stevenage prices are now above the previous (2007) peak and are increasing at a rate that is notably faster than generally in England and Wales.


Source: Land Registry (July 2017)
4.8 It is important to note that at the time of this report (Autumn 2017), the housing market remains actively supported by the current Government with about one third of mortgages being provided through a state backed entity or scheme (a publicly controlled financial institution or assisted purchase scheme such as shared ownership).
4.9 There are various commentators talking about a recovery in house prices. There has been considerable coverage in the national press.

The July 2017 RICS UK Residential Market Survey results show price growth coming to a standstill at the national level, although regional patterns once again display a mixed picture. Alongside this, sales activity continues to lack momentum, with the net balance readings for new buyer enquiries and agreed sales remaining slightly negative. For the time being at least, the expectations series suggest this subdued backdrop is unlikely to change significantly.
The headline price growth gauge slipped from $+7 \%$ to $+1 \%$ (suggesting prices were unchanged over the period), representing the softest reading since early 2013. Nevertheless, the national figure conceals diverging trends across parts of the UK. Indeed, house prices remain quite firmly on an upward trend in some areas, led by Northern Ireland, the West Midlands and the South West. By way of contrast, prices continue to fall in London*, with the pace of decline broadly matching that of the previous three months. At the same time, the price balance for the South East of England fell further into negative territory, posting the weakest reading for this part of the country since 2011.
Looking ahead, near term price expectations continue to signal a flat trend over the coming three months at the headline level. Over the next twelve months, a net balance of $+28 \%$ of respondents anticipate an increase in prices, albeit this was the least positive reading since last July. Again, London continues to exhibit the most cautious twelve month projections relative to all other parts of the UK.
In an extra question included in this month's survey, contributors were asked to compare sales prices with asking prices over the past two months. Nationally, for homes marketed at more than $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}, 68 \%$ of respondents reported sales prices coming in below ask prices, with $33 \%$ responding in the 'up to $5 \%$ below' category, and 26\% answering 'between 5 and 10\% below'. For homes listed at between $£ 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$, a combined $57 \%$ of contributors noted sales prices were coming in lower than asking prices, with the most favoured answer being 'up to 5\% below' (37\%). Finally, in terms of homes marketed at less than $£ 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$, the largest share of respondents (49\%) noted sales and asking prices were at the same level, although a still significant $37 \%$ stated sales prices were under.

Focussing on the activity indicators, new buyer enquiries were very slightly down compared with the previous month, posting a net balance of $-4 \%$. This extends a run of reports in which buyer demand has failed to see any meaningful growth going back to November 2016. In keeping with this, newly agreed sales again declined (although only marginally), meaning this indicator has now been negative for five straight months. That said, reasonable growth in transactions has been reported in the South West over the last two months.

A sustained deterioration in the flow of fresh listings coming onto the market continues to hamper activity, with new instructions dwindling for the seventeenth consecutive month during July. Consequently, average stock levels on estate agents' books remain close to record lows, limiting choice for potential home buyers. The lack of stock is once again a dominant theme mentioned by contributors to be holding back the market (with political uncertainty also cited frequently).

Going forward, respondents are not anticipating activity in the sales market to gain impetus at this point in time, with both three and twelve month expectations series virtually flat. Notwithstanding this, the outlook appears a little more positive than this headline reading would suggest in some parts of the UK.

In the lettings market, the quarterly (seasonally adjusted) figures are also consistent with a somewhat subdued picture. Indeed, although tenant demand continued to edge higher, it did so at the slowest quarterly pace going back nearly twenty years. Meanwhile, landlord instructions declined, with 8\% more respondents noting a fall (rather than an increase) in listings.

Rental expectations are now only very modestly positive for the coming three months. Over the next twelve months, rents are projected to increase by a little under 2\% nationally. Expectations remain firmer at the five year horizon, with respondents expecting rental growth to average just over 3\% per annum.
4.10 The rate of sales (i.e. sales per month) in the Borough is in line with England and Wales.


Source: Land Registry (July 2017)
4.11 This update is being undertaken after the United Kingdom referendum to leave the European Union (and the June 2017 General Election). It is not yet possible to predict the impact of leaving the EU, beyond the fact that the United Kingdom and its economy is in a period of uncertainty. Negotiations around the details of the exit are now starting, but the future of trade with the EU and the wider world are not yet known. A range of views as to the impact on house prices have been expressed that cover nearly the whole spectrum of possibilities.
4.12 It is not the purpose of this report to attempt to predict the direction of the housing market so it is our firm recommendation that the Council continues to monitor the market and be prepared to review policies if there is a significant change in viability (up or down). Having said this, it notable that property agents Savills are predicting ${ }^{12}$ a $1 \%$ increase in 2017, a $1.5 \%$ increase in 2018 and a $19 \%$ increase over the next 5 years in the prime 'Outer Commute' markets. They are predicting a $2 \%$ increase in 2017, a $2 \%$ increase in 2018, and a $17 \%$ increase over the next 5 years in the 'mainstream' South East residential markets. These forecasts are a little lower than those provided at the start of 2016, before the referendum.
4.13 To assist the Council to 'strike the balance' in an informed way, we have run further sets of appraisals to show the effect of a $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ increase, and a $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ decrease in house prices.
4.14 The geographical difference in prices are illustrated in the following map showing the median price by ward. The pattern is very much as before, although the areas are now less differentiated. Appendix $\mathbf{2}$ includes maps for houses and flats separately.

[^4]Figure 4.3 Median Prices by Ward


Source: HDH based on Land Registry Data. (July 2017)

## Newbuild Sales Prices

4.15 This study is concerned with the viability of newbuild residential property so the key input for the appraisals are the prices of units on new developments. We conducted a fresh survey of new homes for sale during July 2017. We identified about 25 new homes for sale on about 7 different sites.
4.16 The analysis of these shows that asking prices for newbuild homes vary, ranging between about $£ 172,500$ to $£ 585,000$. These are set out in Appendix 3 and summarised in the table below - note this table only shows values where $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ were available.

| Table 4.3 Newbuild Asking Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | Minimum | Average | Maximum |  |
| Lanes | Mulberry House | Park Place | $£ 172,500$ | $£ 182,500$ | $£ 195,000$ |  |
| Raine \& Co | Brickdale House |  | $£ 196,328$ | $£ 219,219$ | $£ 240,000$ |  |
| Metropolitan | Six Hills House | London Rd |  | $£ 245,000$ |  |  |
| Your Move | George House | Primett Rd |  | $£ 249,500$ |  |  |
| JS\&Co |  | Swingate |  | $£ 250,000$ |  |  |
| Churchill | Astonia Lodge | Pound Ave | $£ 374,950$ | $£ 419,350$ | $£ 448,950$ |  |
| Putterills |  | Marlborough Rd |  | $£ 585,000$ |  |  |

Source: HDH Market Survey (July 2017)
4.17 There are various other sources of price information. Zoopla.com produces price reports, including $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ information that is not generally available elsewhere. It is important to note that these prices relate to all sales and not just newbuild sales.

| Table 4.4 Average Values |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property type | Avg. current value | Avg. $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| Detached | $£ 514,487$ | $£ 3,853.48$ |  |  |
| Semi-detached | $£ 348,994$ | $£ 3,692.02$ |  |  |
| Terraced | $£ 271,067$ | $£ 3,433.69$ |  |  |
| Flats | $£ 188,793$ | $£ 3,412.16$ |  |  |
| Source: Zoopla.com (July 2017) |  |  |  |  |

4.18 At the time of the 2015 Viability Study, the Land Registry data of newbuild homes sold was analysed. The Land Registry publishes data of all homes sold. Across the Borough, 66
newbuild home sales were recorded during $2014^{13}$. This data has been updated for 2016 and the first part of 2017. These transactions are summarised, as follows and detailed in Appendix 4. Each house sold requires an Energy Performance Certificate. This is a public document that can be viewed on the EPC Register. The EPC contains the floor area as well as a wide range of other information about the construction and energy performance of the building. This information is also included in Appendix 4.
4.19 The Price Paid Data (PPD) from the Land Registry has been married with the EPC homes' floor area from the EPC Register for 2016 and 2017.

| Table 4.5 Land Registry PPD and EPC Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Detached | Flats | Semidetached | Terrace | All |
| Count of Price Paid | 25 | 358 | 18 | 7 | 408 |
| Min of Price Paid Min of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 339,995 \\ £ 2,929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 96,950 \\ £ 1,929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 280,000 \\ £ 2,661 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 354,995 \\ £ 2,863 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 96,950 \\ £ 1,929 \end{array}$ |
| Average of Price Paid Average of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 430,997 \\ £ 3,734 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 185,159 \\ £ 3,569 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 352,495 \\ £ 3,934 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 362,852 \\ £ 4,316 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 210,654 \\ £ 3,608 \end{array}$ |
| Max of Price Paid Max of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 509,995 \\ £ 5,294 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 449,950 \\ £ 5,979 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 424,995 \\ £ 5,441 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 369,995 \\ £ 5,441 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 509,995 \\ £ 5,979 \end{array}$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Detached | Flats | Semidetached | Terrace | All |
| Count of Price Paid | 4 | 90 | 7 | 0 | 101 |
| Min of Price Paid Min of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 419,995 \\ £ 3,740 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 148,274 \\ £ 2,426 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 297,995 \\ £ 3,333 \end{array}$ | £0 | $\begin{array}{r} £ 148,274 \\ £ 2,426 \end{array}$ |
| Average of Price Paid Average of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 469,996 \\ £ 4,084 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 230,739 \\ £ 3,712 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 363,281 \\ £ 4,220 \end{array}$ | £0 | $\begin{array}{r} £ 249,400 \\ £ 3,762 \end{array}$ |
| Max of Price Paid Max of $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 499,995 \\ £ 4,468 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 369,950 \\ £ 6,175 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 424,995 \\ £ 4,521 \end{array}$ | £0 | $\begin{array}{r} £ 499,995 \\ £ 6,175 \end{array}$ |

Source: Land Registry and EPC Register (July 2017)

[^5]4.20 The values for flats are disaggregated by schemes below:

| Table 4.6 Flats by Scheme 2017. $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |
| ASTONIA LODGE | 3 | $£ 5,741$ |  |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE | 2 | $£ 3,704$ |  |
| GEORGE HOUSE | 31 | $£ 3,946$ |  |
| SKYLINE HOUSE | 3 | $£ 3,063$ |  |
| TREFOIL LODGE | 3 | $£ 3,064$ |  |
| VISTA TOWER | 48 | $£ 3,516$ |  |
| Total | 90 | $£ 3,712$ |  |
| Source: Land Registry and EPC Register (July 2017) |  |  |  |

4.21 These values are notably higher than January 2017, as set out in Appendix 4.

## Price Assumptions for Financial Appraisals

4.22 It is necessary to form a view about the appropriate prices for the schemes to be appraised in the study. The preceding analysis does not reveal simple clear patterns with sharp boundaries.
4.23 Based on the asking prices from active developments, and informed by the general pattern of all house prices across the study area, we set the prices in the appraisals at the following levels. It is important to note at this stage that this is a broad brush, high level study to test the Council's policy as required by the NPPF and to inform the setting of CIL as required by CIL Regulation 14. The values between new developments and within new developments will vary considerably.

| Table 4.7 2017 Updated Price Assumptions $£ / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flats | Housing |  |
| Large Greenfield |  | $£ 3,750$ |  |
| Smaller Infill | $£ 3,700$ | $£ 3,100$ |  |
| Town Centre | $£ 3,700$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

4.24 In the 2015 Viability Study small sites (below 10 units) were assumed to have a value of $£ 2,900 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. In this update, no such differentiation is made.

## Affordable Housing

4.25 In the 2015 Draft Viability Study the following values were used:

- Social Rent $£ 870 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Affordable Rent $£ 1,440 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Intermediate Housing 65\% market value.


## Review of Values

4.26 It is assumed that affordable housing is constructed by the site developer and then sold to a Registered Provider (RP). This is a simplification of reality as there are many ways in which affordable housing is delivered, including the transfer of free land to RPs for them to build on or the retention of the units by the scheme's overall developer.
4.27 In this update, the analysis is based on the assumption that the affordable housing for rent is provided as Affordable Rent rather than Social Rent. As discussed at the Local Plan hearings, this is in line with the assumptions in the 2015 Viability Study.

## Affordable Rent

4.28 In this update, it is assumed that Affordable Rent is as per the HCA Statistical Data Return 2015 to $2016^{14}$.

| Table 4.8 Affordable Rent - Fiscal Calendar 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedrooms | 3 Bedrooms | 4+ Bedrooms |
| $£ /$ week | $£ 110$ | $£ 142$ | $£ 155$ | $£ 180$ |
| $£ /$ month | $£ 475$ | $£ 614$ | $£ 670$ | $£ 778$ |
| $£ /$ year | $£ 5,698$ | $£ 7,366$ | $£ 8,044$ | $£ 9,338$ |

4.29 In this update, we have assessed the value of Social Rents assuming 10\% management costs, $4 \%$ voids and bad debts and $6 \%$ repairs, and capitalised the income at $6 \%$ (reflecting the changes due to the Summer Budget).

[^6]| Table 4.9 Affordable Rent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedrooms | 3+ Bedrooms |  |
| Gross Rent | $£ 5,698$ | $£ 7,366$ | $£ 8,044$ |  |
| Net Rent | $£ 4,559$ | $£ 5,893$ | $£ 6,435$ |  |
| Value | $£ 75,975$ | $£ 98,214$ | $£ 107,252$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 50 | 70 | 84 |  |
| £/m $^{2}$ | $£ 1,520$ | $£ 1,403$ | $£ 1,277$ |  |

Source: HDH (July 2017)
4.30 We have assumed Social Rent has a value of $£ 1,440 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ across the study area. This is unchanged from the earlier work.

## Intermediate Products for Sale

4.31 As in the earlier work, we have assumed a value of $65 \%$ of open market value for these units. These values were based on purchasers buying an initial $50 \%$ share of a property and a $2.5 \%$ per annum rent payable on the equity retained. The rental income is capitalised at $5.5 \%$ having made a 10\% management allowance.

## Grant Funding

4.32 It is assumed that grant is not available.

## Older People's Housing

4.33 In this update, we have assumed a typical price of a 3 bed semi-detached home of $£ 350,000$. The median asking prices for such houses are in the region of $£ 370,000$ and the Land Registry reports an average value for all semi-detached housing in April 2017 of $£ 334,828$ (although it is important to note that this is based on all semi-detached sales rather than just 3 bed semidetached homes).

| Table 4.10 Worth of Older People's Housing |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Area (m$\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $£$ | $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| 3 bed semi-detached |  | $\mathbf{3 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| 1 bed Sheltered | 50 | 262,500 | 5,250 |
| 2 bed Sheltered | 75 | 350,000 | 4,667 |
| 1 bed Extracare | 65 | 328,125 | 5,048 |
| 2 bed Extracare | 80 | 437,500 | 5,469 |
|  |  |  |  |

Source: HDH (May 2017)

## 5. Non-Residential Market

5.1 This chapter sets out an update of assessment of the markets for non-residential property, providing a basis for the assumptions of prices to be used in financial appraisals for the sites tested in the study.
5.2 In the 2015 Viability Study the following values were used:

| Table 5.1 2015 Non-Residential Values (£/m²) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rent | Yield |  |
| Employment | Offices | £150 | 7.50\% | £2,000 |
|  | Industrial | £60 | 7.50\% | £800 |
|  | Distribution | £60 | 7.00\% | £857 |
| Retail | Shops - Central | £300 | 8.00\% | £3,750 |
|  | Shops - Other | £200 | 8.00\% | £2,500 |
|  | Supermarkets | £180 | 6.00\% | £3,000 |
|  | Smaller supermarkets | £140 | 6.00\% | £2,333 |
|  | Retail warehouse | £140 | 6.50\% | £2,154 |
| Hotels |  |  |  | £2,150 |

Source: Table 5.2, 2015 Viability Study
5.3 The various non-residential markets in the Borough reflect national trends. An improved sentiment has been reported in the press:

The Q2 2017 RICS UK Commercial Property Market Survey results show sentiment turning slightly more cautious compared with previously. A flatter picture for demand appears to be weighing on the near term outlook for rental growth in the office and retail sectors, although expectations remain firm for industrial space. Political uncertainty is cited as a key factor weighing on occupier and investor decisions, with hesitancy now extending to some areas beyond London.
Across the UK as a whole, occupier demand was broadly flat at the all-sector level during Q2, having increased modestly in each of the last three quarters. In fact, the national net balance of $-2 \%$ marked the weakest reading since 2012. In terms of the sector breakdown, falling demand for both office and retail space was offset by reasonable growth in the industrial segment. At the same time, space available for occupancy rose in the retail sector for a second straight quarter, office availability held steady, while leasable space continued to decline relatively sharply in the industrial segment. Consistent with this, near term rental expectations turned marginally negative in the retail sector and broadly flat for offices. By way of contrast, industrial sector rents are anticipated to rise at a solid pace over the coming three months.
Further out, over the next twelve months, respondents do envisage modest rental growth across prime office space although the outlook is flat for secondary locations. Rental projections remain negative for secondary retail space and are now only marginally positive for prime. Meanwhile, both prime and secondary industrial rents are expected to chalk up solid gains over the year ahead.

From a regional perspective, tenant demand weakened across both the East and South East of England during Q2. Each sector posted a decline in net balance terms, for the first time since 2012. This less favourable backdrop appears to be dampening the near term rental outlook, with expectations now only marginally positive. Nevertheless, twelve month projections continue to point to reasonable growth in all sectors across both areas. Elsewhere, forward looking indicators remain
mixed in London. Indeed, secondary retail rents are expected to decline over the year ahead (although prime locations should prove more resilient) while little change is anticipated in either prime or secondary office rents. At the other end of the spectrum, industrial rents are projected to rise smartly over the coming twelve months in the capital.
Looking at the investment market, the headline investment enquiries gauge remained modestly positive, with $10 \%$ more respondents citing an increase in demand during Q2 (as opposed to a decline). Having said that, the all-property figure masks significant variation, with enquiries stagnant in the office and retail sectors, but rising in the industrial area of the market. Even so, overseas investor interest did increase across the board, albeit at a more modest rate than in Q1. Alongside this, the supply of property for investment purposes continued to decline in each area of the market.

Nonetheless, near term capital value projections turned marginally negative in the retail sector and are now flat in the office sector. In each instance, expectations were the weakest since the immediate aftermath of the referendum (in net balance terms). Conversely, expectations in the industrial sector remain comfortably positive.

In terms of the twelve month view, the secondary retail market is the only area in which capital values are anticipated to decline, although projections are flat for secondary office values. The industrial sector continues to exhibit the firmest expectations, albeit projections were scaled back slightly relative to the Q1 results. Again, expectations across London remain more cautious relative to all other areas, with contributors now pencilling in no change in all-property values over the coming twelve months. Furthermore, the outlook at the three year horizon is equally subdued across the capital, with modest growth in prime sector values largely offset by slight weakness in secondary assets.

During Q2, there was a noticeable shift in perceptions regarding the current stage of the property cycle. Indeed, although the largest share (narrowly) of 29\% of respondents feel conditions are consistent with the middle stages of an upturn, 27\% feel the market is in the early stages of a downturn (13\% in Q1). In Central London, a strong majority of $65 \%$ were of this opinion (up from $52 \%$ previously).

Comments submitted by survey respondents frequently mention political uncertainty as an impediment to market activity. Indeed, Brexit negotiations and the General Election resulting in a hung parliament are both seen to be clouding the outlook for commercial real estate. Focussing on Brexit, 17\% of respondents claim to have seen evidence of businesses looking to relocate away from the UK as a result over the next two years (more of less unchanged from 15\% last quarter). Interestingly however, there was a more noticeable increase in the proportion of contributors expecting relocations to occur nationally, from $42 \%$ to $48 \%$. When broken down, Scotland, Northern Ireland and London continue to return more than $50 \%$ of respondents taking this view.

RICS - Q2 2017: UK Commercial Property Market Survey
5.4 There is anecdotal evidence that the non-residential markets have moved on somewhat with agents reporting increased confidence and activity - although there was a brief pause in the market as a result of the referendum to leave the EU.
5.5 We have reviewed these using data from Costar. This is set out in Appendix 5.

## Offices

5.6 CoStar data shows a decline in vacancy rates and an increase in rents in the office sector over the last three years:

5.7 The office market is constrained by lack of supply, and agents consulted have confirmed our view that rents have increased. The very best units are being marketed at over $£ 200 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ but there is little evidence of these levels being achieved. In this update, a rent of $£ 160 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is assumed, with a yield of $7.25 \%$ to give a value of $£ 2,200 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

## Industrial and Distribution

5.8 CoStar data also shows a decline in vacancy rates and an increase in rents over the last five years in the Industrial Sector, although this is less pronounced over last few years, since the earlier work:

5.9 There has been an increase in rents, particularly for better quality well located building. The assumption in this regard has been increased to $£ 75 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for both industrial and logistics buildings. The yield assumptions remain unchanged.

## Retail

5.10 Since the time of the 2015 Viability Study, the number of vacant units in the town centre has declined. This is shown in the Costar data:

5.11 The market remains highly segmented with the out of town units (such as at Roaring Meg) remaining in high demand.
5.12 Agents report uncertainty in the retail sector, although there are reported improvements in the town centre in terms of reduced vacant units - but this has not resulted in improved rents and values. Whilst asking prices have increased a little, there is not evidence of a notable increase in values. The assumptions from the earlier study are carried forward into this update.
5.13 At the time of the 2015 Viability Study there was considerable uncertainty in the supermarket sector. The main operators now seem to have settled and whilst the smaller operators such as Lidl and Aldi have continued to grow the larger operators have returned to growth. There is now less evidence of differences in this sector.
5.14 In this update, we have assumed supermarket rents of $£ 180 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with a yield of $5.5 \%$. As well as mainstream supermarkets we have considered the smaller units developed by operators such as Lidl and Aldi, in this case we have assumed a rent of $£ 160 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and a $5.5 \%$ yield.
5.15 In the case of retail warehouses we have not altered the assumption of a rent of $£ 140 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and a yield of $6.5 \%$.

## Hotels

5.16 For the hotel sector, a rental of $£ 3,750 /$ room/year for newbuild hotels is to apply across the area. Assuming a yield of $6.5 \%$, this equates to a value of about $£ 2,150 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. It is important to note that this study is only concerned with newbuild hotels.
5.17 There have been a number of new hotels in the town and there is a recognised need (and demand) for further provision. Agents suggest that rents of $£ 4,200 /$ room/year are now likely to be achieved in the town, although due to the lack of development there is not empirical evidence to support this.
5.18 In this update we have assumed a rent of $£ 4,200 /$ room/year and a yield of $6 \%$, to give ${ }^{15}$ a value of $£ 2,585 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

## Appraisal Assumptions

5.19 The non-residential values have been updated as follows.

| Table 5.2 2017 Updated Non-Residential Values (£/m²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rent | Yield |  | Assumption |  |
| Employment | Offices | $£ 160$ | $7.25 \%$ | $£ 2,207$ | $£ 2,200$ |  |
|  | Industrial | $£ 75$ | $7.50 \%$ | $£ 1,000$ | $£ 1,000$ |  |
|  | Distribution | $£ 75$ | $7.00 \%$ | $£ 1,071$ | $£ 1,075$ |  |
| Retail | Shops - Central | $£ 300$ | $8.00 \%$ | $£ 3,750$ | $£ 3,750$ |  |
|  | Shops - Other | $£ 200$ | $8.00 \%$ | $£ 2,500$ | $£ 2,500$ |  |
|  | Supermarkets | $£ 180$ | $5.50 \%$ | $£ 3,273$ | $£ 3,275$ |  |
|  | Smaller supermarkets | $£ 160$ | $5.50 \%$ | $£ 2,909$ | $£ 2,900$ |  |
|  | Retail warehouse | $£ 140$ | $6.50 \%$ | $£ 2,154$ | $£ 2,154$ |  |
| Hotels |  |  | 6.5 | $£ 2,150$ | $£ 2,585$ |  |

Source: HDH (July 2017)
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## 6. Development Land

6.1 In the 2015 Viability Assessment, the land value assumptions used were as follows
6.40 Having considered representations through the consultation process, in this study we have assumed alternative land prices of:
i. Agricultural Land $£ 25,000 /$ ha
ii. Paddock Land £50,000/ha
iii. Industrial Land £600,000/ha
iv. Residential Land $£ 1,000,000 / h a$ (net) (being an increase from $£ 600,000 / h a$ )
6.41 During the consultation process various ways of assessing viability were discussed, with one consultee highlighting the roles of land promoters and the need for appropriate regard being given with reference to a discount of $10 \%$ to $20 \%$.
6.42 On reflection we have assumed a dual viability test. On all sites we have assumed the following Viability Thresholds:
i. Agricultural Land $£ 25,000 /$ ha plus $£ 400,000$ - assessed on a gross basis.
ii. Paddock Land $£ 50,000 /$ ha plus $£ 400,000$ - assessed on a gross basis.
iii. Industrial Land £600,000/ha plus $25 \%$ - assessed on a gross basis.
iv. Town Centre Land £1,000,000/ha
6.43 As a second test we have also considered the Residual Value on a net developable area basis. It is clear that landowners consider land values on a gross basis (i.e. the amount of land they would sell) and developers on a net basis (i.e. the area on which they could build houses). On all sites we have considered a second Viability Threshold of $£ 750,000 /$ net developable ha.
6.44 We accept that the transactional evidence to support these assumptions is thin - but very little residential land has been transacted recently within the area.
6.45 In the case of non-residential uses we have taken a similar approach to that taken with residential land except in cases where there is no change of use. Where industrial land is being developed for industrial purposes we have assumed a Viability Threshold of the value of industrial land.
6.2 We have reviewed these assumptions below.

## Residential Land

6.3 In December 2015, DCLG published Land value estimates for policy appraisal ${ }^{16}$. This sets out land values as at March 2015 and was prepared by the Valuation Office Agency (VOA). The Stevenage figure is $£ 2,220,000 / \mathrm{ha}$. It is important to note this figure assumes nil affordable housing. As stressed in the paper this is a hypothetical situation and 'the figures on this basis,

[^8]therefore, may be significantly higher than could be reasonably obtained in the actual market ${ }^{17}$.
6.4 The VOA assumed that each site is 1 hectare in area, of regular shape, with services provided up to the boundary, without contamination or abnormal development costs, not in an underground mining area, with road frontage, without risk of flooding, with planning permission granted and that no grant funding is available; the site will have a net developable area equal to $80 \%$ of the gross area. For those local authorities outside London, the hypothetical scheme is for a development of 35 two storeys, 2/3/4 bed dwellings with a total floor area of 3,150 square metres.
6.5 As recognised above, there was little transactional evidence available at the time of the 2015 Viability Study. There remains very little data available.
6.6 In this regard, we have one caveat and that is in relation to very large sites. Large sites have their own characteristics and are often subject to very significant infrastructure costs and amounts of open space which result in lower values. In the case of non-residential uses we have taken a similar approach to that taken with residential land except in cases where there is no change of use. Where industrial land is being developed for industrial purposes we have assumed a viability threshold of the value of industrial land.
6.7 It is necessary to make an assumption about the value of residential land. In this update, we have carried forward the assumption of $£ 1,000,000 /$ ha for residential land. This is appropriate bearing in mind that fact that the Plan, and the Local Plan Viability Study on which it was based, were found to be sound.

## Industrial Land

6.8 Land value estimates for policy appraisal provides a value figure for industrial land in the South East of $£ 1,100,000 / \mathrm{ha}$. This is substantially higher than that used in the earlier viability work.
6.9 In this update, we have carried forward the assumption of $£ 600,000 / \mathrm{ha}$.

## Agricultural and Paddocks

6.10 There has been little change in this part of the market - although the values of larger units have been adversely impacted by concerns around Brexit. The benchmarks of $£ 25,000 / \mathrm{ha}$ for paddock land and $£ 50,000 /$ ha for town edge paddocks are used.
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## Updated Assumptions

6.11 Informed by the transactional evidence set out above the following assumptions are used in this update:
i. Agricultural Land $£ 25,000 / \mathrm{ha}$
ii. Paddock Land $£ 50,000 / \mathrm{ha}$
iii. Industrial Land £600,000/ha
iv. Residential Land £1,000,000/ha (net)
6.12 The dual viability test has been carried forward and we have assumed the following Viability Thresholds:
i. Agricultural Land $£ 25,000$ /ha plus $£ 400,000$ - assessed on a gross basis.
ii. Paddock Land $£ 50,000 /$ ha plus $£ 400,000$ - assessed on a gross basis.
iii. Industrial Land $£ 600,000 /$ ha plus $25 \%$ - assessed on a gross basis.
iv. Town Centre Land £1,000,000/ha.
6.13 As a second test we have also considered the Residual Value on a net developable area basis. It is clear that landowners consider land values on a gross basis (i.e. the amount of land they would sell) and developers on a net basis (i.e. the area on which they could build houses).
6.14 In the case of non-residential uses, we have taken a similar approach to that taken with residential land except in cases where there is no change of use. Where industrial land is being developed for industrial purposes, we have assumed a Viability Threshold of the value of industrial land.

## 7. Appraisal Assumptions

7.1 This chapter considers the costs and other assumptions required to produce financial appraisals for the development sites and typologies. These have been updated.

## Development Costs

Construction costs: baseline costs
7.2 We have based the cost assumptions on the Building Cost Information Service (BCIS) data using the figures re-based for Stevenage. There has been an increase in construction costs since the earlier viability work and this is an important area of change.
7.3 The cost figure for 'Estate Housing - Generally' was $£ 995 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ at the time of the 2015 Viability Study. This has now increased by $8.7 \%$ to $£ 1,082 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. The costs have been updated in this regard.
7.4 In August 2015, a report was published that considered the construction costs on smaller sites. Housing development: the economics of small sites - the effect of project size on the cost of housing construction (August 2015) was carried out by BCIS, having been commissioned by the Federation of Small Businesses. This study concluded that the construction price for schemes of 1 to 5 units was about $13 \%$ higher than for schemes of over 10 units and that the construction price for schemes of 1 to 10 units was about $6 \%$ higher than for schemes of over 10 units. These adjustments have been made to the smallest schemes modelled in this report.
7.5 In line with Policy HO11: Accessible and adaptable housing, it is assumed that $50 \%$ of housing is built to Category 2 standard ${ }^{18}$. In line with Department for Communities and Local Government, Housing Standards Review - Cost Impacts (September 2014) ${ }^{19}$, this is less than $£ 2,000$ /unit. In this update we have not modelled Lifetime Homes Standards as this is not a policy requirement.
7.6 We have assumed that all new non-residential development is built to the BREEAM Very Good standard. We have assumed the additional cost of this is negligible as outlined in research ${ }^{20}$ by BRE.
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## Construction costs: affordable dwellings

7.7 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.8 The procurement route for affordable housing is assumed to be through construction by the developer and then disposal to a housing association on completion. In the past, when considering the build cost of affordable housing provided through this route, we took the view that it should be possible to make a saving on the market housing cost figure, on the basis that one might expect the affordable housing to be built to a slightly different specification than market housing. However, the pressures of increasingly demanding standards for housing association properties have meant that, for conventional schemes of houses at least, it is no longer appropriate to use a reduced build cost; the assumption is of parity.

## Other normal development costs

7.9 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.10 In addition to the BCIS $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ build cost figures described above, allowance needs to be made for a range of site costs (roads, drainage and services within the site, parking, footpaths, landscaping and other external costs). Many of these items will depend on individual site circumstances and can only properly be estimated following a detailed assessment of each site. This is not practical within this broad-brush study and the approach taken is in line with the PPG and the Harman Guidance.
7.11 Nevertheless, it is possible to generalise. Drawing on experience and the comments of stakeholders, it is possible to determine an allowance related to total build costs. This is normally lower for higher density than for lower density schemes since there is a smaller area of external works, and services can be used more efficiently. Large greenfield sites would also be more likely to require substantial expenditure on bringing mains services to the site.
7.12 In the light of these considerations we have developed a scale of allowances for the residential sites, ranging from $10 \%$ of build costs for the smaller sites, to $20 \%$ for the larger, multi-outlet, greenfield schemes. On the high density, flatted schemes, we have assumed site costs of 5\%.

## Abnormal development costs

7.13 The NPPF says (with our emphasis) at Paragraph 174:

> ... To ensure viability, the costs of any requirements likely to be applied to development, such as requirements for affordable housing, standards, infrastructure contributions or other requirements should, when taking account of the normal cost of development and mitigation, provide competitive returns to a willing land owner and willing developer to enable the development to be deliverable...
7.14 To a large extent, abnormal costs will be reflected in land value. Those sites that are less expensive to develop will command a premium price over and above those that have exceptional or abnormal costs.
7.15 The treatment of abnormals was considered at Gedling Council's Examination in Public. There is an argument, as set out in Gedling ${ }^{21}$, that it may not be appropriate for abnormals to be built into appraisals in a high-level study of this type. Councils should not plan for the worst-case scenario - rather for the norm. For example, if two similar sites were offered to the market and one was previously in industrial use with significant contamination, and one was 'clean' then the landowner of the contaminated site would have to take a lower land receipt for the same form of development due to the condition of the land. The Inspector said:
... demolition, abnormal costs and off site works are excluded from the VA, as the threshold land values assume sites are ready to develop, with no significant off site secondary infrastructure required. While there may be some sites where there are significant abnormal construction costs, these are unlikely to be typical and this would, in any case, be reflected in a lower threshold land value for a specific site. In addition such costs could, at least to some degree, be covered by the sum allowed for contingencies.
7.16 In some cases, where the site involves redevelopment of land which was previously developed, there is the potential for abnormal costs to be incurred. Abnormal development costs might include demolition of substantial existing structures; flood prevention measures at waterside locations; remediation of any land contamination; remodelling of land levels; and so on. We have made allowance for abnormal costs associated with brownfield sites for which we have made an additional allowance of $5 \%$ of the BCIS costs.

## Fees

7.17 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.18 For residential development, we have assumed professional fees amount to $10 \%$ of build costs in each case. For non-residential development, we have assumed $8 \%$.

## Contingencies

7.19 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.20 For previously undeveloped and otherwise straightforward sites, we would normally allow a contingency of $2.5 \%$, with a higher figure of $5 \%$ on more risky types of development, previously developed land and on central locations. So the 5\% figure was used on the brownfield sites and the $2.5 \%$ figure on the remainder.

## S106 Contributions and the costs of infrastructure

7.21 The general assumptions in this regard are unchanged. We have assumed all the modelled sites will contribute $£ 2,000$ per unit towards infrastructure - either site specific or more general.

[^11]7.22 As in the 2015 Viability Study, when considering the strategic sites we have incorporated the best estimate of the site specific s106 costs into the appraisals. These are the costs that would meet the post April 2015 restrictions on pooling s106 contributions. These sites do put significant further pressure on the infrastructure and improvements will be required that will not be sufficiently site specific to pass the tests for payments to be required through s106. These items will be funded through a range of other sources including CIL.
7.23 The costs used in 2015 were:

| a. Stevenage North | $£ 7,180,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | Stevenage West | $£ 13,950,000$ |
| c. | Stevenage South-East | $£ 7,000,000$ |

7.24 These are now updated, based on the most recent information as follows (it is important to note that these are best available estimate at the time of this study and are subject to change):
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. Stevenage North } & £ 7,811,800 \\ \text { b. } & \text { Stevenage West } & £ 15,185,850 \\ \text { c. } & \text { Stevenage South-East } & £ 2,221,550\end{array}$
Financial and Other Appraisal Assumptions
VAT
7.25 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.26 For simplicity, it has been assumed throughout, that either VAT does not arise, or that it can be recovered in full.

Interest rate
7.27 The interest rate assumption has been reduced from $7 \%$ to $6 \%$ in this update. An arrangement fee of $1 \%$ of the peak borrowing requirement is included.

Developers' return
7.28 The assumptions in relation to developer's return (profit) have been carried forward from the earlier viability work and are calculated as $20 \%$ of development costs.

Voids
7.29 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.30 On a scheme comprising mainly individual houses, one would normally assume only a nominal void period as the housing would not be progressed if there was no demand. In the case of
apartments in blocks, this flexibility is reduced. Whilst these may provide scope for early marketing, the ability to tailor construction pace to market demand is more limited.
7.31 For the purpose of the present study, a three-month void period is assumed for all residential and non-residential developments. We have given careful consideration to this assumption in connection to the commercial developments. There is very little speculative commercial development taking place so we believe that this is the appropriate assumption to make.

## Phasing and timetable

7.32 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.33 A pre-construction period of six months is assumed for all of the sites. Each dwelling is assumed to be built over a nine month period. The phasing programme for an individual site will reflect market take-up and would, in practice, be carefully estimated taking into account the site characteristics and, in particular, the size and the expected level of market demand. We have developed a suite of modelled assumptions to reflect site size and development type.

## Site Acquisition and Disposal Costs

Site holding costs and receipts
7.34 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.35 Each site is assumed to proceed immediately (following a 6 month mobilisation period) and so, other than interest on the site cost during construction, there is no allowance for holding costs, or indeed income, arising from ownership of the site.

## Acquisition costs

7.36 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.37 We have taken a simplistic approach and assumed an allowance $1.5 \%$ for acquisition agents' and legal fees. Stamp duty is calculated at the prevailing rates.

Disposal costs
7.38 The assumptions in this regard are unchanged.
7.39 For the market and the affordable housing, sales and promotion and legal fees are assumed to amount to some $3.5 \%$ of receipts. For disposals of affordable housing, these figures can be reduced significantly depending on the category, so in fact the marketing and disposal of the affordable element is probably less expensive than this.

## 8. Planning Policy Requirements

8.1 The purpose of this study is to assess the effect of CIL. This must be done relative to the Stevenage Local Plan 2011-2031. As set out at the start of this report, the Council undertook a consultation on the Proposed Main Modifications. The viability testing is carried out on the basis that the modifications are accepted, and no other material changes are made.
8.2 Whilst some changes set out in the Proposed Main Modifications are significant, few of these relate to viability. In this update the following policy requirements are tested.

## Construction Standards

8.3 As in the 2015 Viability Study, it is assumed that new development will be to the Nationally Described Space Standard - technical requirements.
8.4 The requirements for Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) and the like can add to the costs of a scheme - although in larger projects these can be incorporated into public open space. We have assumed that the costs of SUDS add $2 \%$ to the costs of construction on brownfield sites, however we have assumed that on the larger greenfield sites that SUDS will be incorporated into the green spaces and be delivered through soft landscaping within the wider site costs.
8.5 As set out in Chapter 7 above, it is assumed that new development is to Accessible and Adaptable - Category 2 standard.

## Mix of Housing

8.6 In line with Policy HO7, affordable housing targets are applied to all sites of 11 units and larger.

- $25 \%$ of new homes on previously developed sites; and
- $30 \%$ of new homes elsewhere.
8.7 It is assumed that the affordable housing is provided as $70 \%$ Affordable Rent and $30 \%$ is affordable housing to buy - i.e. shared ownership housing.
8.8 The Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) sets out the future requirement for housing in the Borough. This is summarised as follows:

| Table 8.2 SHMA Mix of Market Housing |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bedrooms | $\%$ |
| 1 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $32.90 \%$ |
| 3 | $47.50 \%$ |
| 4 | $15.00 \%$ |
| $5+$ | $4.60 \%$ |
| Source: Table 12.3 Stevenage SHMA 2013 |  |

8.9 This mix of housing has informed the modelling.

## Developer Contributions

8.10 The Plan requires that new development mitigates its impact. CIL will be an important part of this. The general assumptions in this regard are unchanged. We have assumed all the modelled sites will contribute $£ 2,000$ per unit towards infrastructure - either site specific or more general.
8.11 As in the 2015 Viability Study, when considering the strategic sites we have incorporated the best estimate of the site specific s106 costs into the appraisals. These are the costs that would meet the post April 2015 restrictions on pooling s106 contributions. These sites do put significant further pressure on the infrastructure and improvements will be required that will not be sufficiently site specific to pass the tests for payments to be required through s106. These items will be funded through a range of other sources including CIL.
8.12 These are now updated, based on the most recent information as follows:
a. Stevenage North
£7,811,800
b. Stevenage West
£15,185,850
c. Stevenage South-East
£2,221,550

## Town Centre Renewal

8.13 At the core of the emerging Plan and the Council's wider policy requirements is the regeneration of the Town Centre. It is expected that this will result in a lifting of this area and this will consequently result in increased residential and non-residential values. Whilst it would not be appropriate to base new policy requirements on an expected rise in values, we have tested a range of price changes to inform the process and to allow the Council to consider whether greater levels of developer contributions or affordable housing may be achievable in the future.

## Neighbourhood Planning

8.14 No neighbourhood plans are being prepared in the Borough.

## 9. Modelled Sites

9.1 In the Draft Viability Study, the modelling was based on the sites under consideration. Having reviewed the modelling, this has not been altered as it remains reflective of the anticipated future development.
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## 10. Residential Appraisal Results

10.1 At the start of this chapter it is important to stress that the results of the appraisals do not, in themselves, determine the delivery of the Plan. The results of this study are one of a number of factors that the Council will consider, such as the Council's track record in delivering affordable housing and collecting payments under s106, and, importantly, the results of the consultation process with developers. The purpose of the appraisals is to provide an indication of the viability in different areas under different scenarios.
10.2 The appraisals use the residual valuation approach. They are designed to assess the value of the site after taking into account the costs of development, the likely income from sales and/or rents and an appropriate amount of developers' profit. The principal output is the Residual Value which represents the maximum bid for the site where the payment is made in a single tranche on the acquisition of a site. In order for the proposed development to be described as viable, it is necessary for this Residual Value to exceed the Existing Use Value (EUV) by a satisfactory margin.
10.3 The initial appraisals are based on the assumptions provided in the previous chapters of this report, including the full policy requirements (including affordable housing requirement as set out in the adopted Core Strategy).
10.4 As set out above, for each development type we have calculated the Residual Value. In the tables in this chapter we have colour coded the results using a simple traffic light system:
a. Green Viable - where the Residual Value per hectare exceeds the indicative Viability Threshold Value per hectare (being the EUV plus the appropriate uplift to provide a competitive return for the landowner).
b. Amber Marginal - where the Residual Value per hectare exceeds the EUV, but not the Viability Threshold Value per hectare. These sites should not be considered as viable when measured against the test set out - however, depending on the nature of the site and the owner, they may come forward.
c. Red Non-viable - where the Residual Value does not exceed the EUV.
10.5 The results are set out and presented for each site and per gross hectare to allow comparison between sites.
10.6 It is important to note that a report of this type applies relatively simple assumptions that are broadly reflective of an area to make an assessment of viability. The fact that a site is shown as viable does not necessarily mean that it will come forward and vice versa. An important part of any final consideration of viability will be relating the results of this study to what is actually happening on the ground in terms of development and what planning applications are being determined - and on what basis.

## Base Appraisals - Full Policy Requirements

10.7 On the basis of the assumptions set out in the earlier chapters, we prepared financial appraisals for each of the modelled residential sites using a bespoke spreadsheet-based financial analysis package. We produced financial appraisals based on the values and build costs, and financial assumptions for the different options. The detailed appraisal base results for the modelled sites are included in Appendix 6.
10.8 These appraisals are based on the full policy requirements of the Local Plan, but with a range of affordable housing and developer contribution assumptions base options:
a) Affordable Housing On sites of 11 units and larger - $30 \%$ on greenfield sites and $25 \%$ on brownfield sites, as 70:30 Affordable Rent and intermediate tenures.
b) Environmental Standards Enhanced Building Regulations (BCIS +1\%), Accessible and Adaptable.
c) s 106

| Typologies | $£ 2,000 /$ unit |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stevenage North | $£ 7,811,800$ |
| Stevenage West | $£ 15,185,850$ |
| Stevenage South-East | $£ 2,221,550$ |

10.9 The following results are directly comparable to those in Table 10.1 of the 2015 Viability Study, albeit being based on the updated policy requirements (principally the reduction in the affordable targets and the introduction of the national affordable housing threshold).


[^12]10.10 The results vary across the modelled sites, although across all the sites they are positive. The Residual Values are all greater than in 2015. Whilst the costs of construction have increased,
they have been more than outweighed by the substantial increases in house prices in the Borough.
10.11 The Residual Value is not a good indication of viability by itself, being the maximum price, a developer may bid for a parcel of land and still make an adequate return (competitive return).
10.12 In the following tables, we have compared the Residual Value with the Viability Threshold. The Viability Threshold being the amount over and above the EUV that is sufficient to provide the willing landowner with a competitive return and induce them to sell the land for development as set out in Chapter 6 above.

| Full Development Plan Policy Requirements (£/ha - Gross) - No CIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative <br> Use Value | Viability <br> Threshold | Residual <br> Value |  |
| Site 1 | Northern Extension | North | 25,000 | 425,000 | 926,032 |  |
| Site 2 | Western Extension | West | 25,000 | 425,000 | 773,215 |  |
| Site 3 | Southeast Extension | South East | 25,000 | 425,000 | $1,246,232$ |  |
| Site 4 | Greenfield 1 |  | 50,000 | 450,000 | $1,438,536$ |  |
| Site 5 | Greenfield 2 |  | 50,000 | 450,000 | $1,250,789$ |  |
| Site 6 | Greenfield 3 |  | 50,000 | 450,000 | $1,840,576$ |  |
| Site 7 | Greenfield 4 |  | 50,000 | 450,000 | $1,162,024$ |  |
| Site 8 | High Town Centre Flats | Town Centre | 600,000 | 750,000 | $5,890,349$ |  |
| Site 9 | Town Centre Flats | Town Centre | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,144,893$ |  |
| Site 10 | PDL 1 |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,341,556$ |  |
| Site 11 | PDL 2 |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,332,468$ |  |
| Site 12 | PDL 3 |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,810,625$ |  |
| Site 13 | PDL 4 - Flats |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $-105,094$ |  |
| Site 14 | Small A |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,796,637$ |  |
| Site 15 | Small B |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,935,411$ |  |
| Site 16 | Small C |  | 600,000 | 750,000 | $1,432,407$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: HDH (September 2017)
10.13 All but one of the sites generate a positive residual value, and one that in most cases is well in excess of the viability thresholds. The notable exception is the smaller flatted scheme modelled on a brownfield site.
10.14 It is important to note that the EUV of the town centre schemes are tested against the EUV of industrial land ( $£ 600,000$ plus 20\%). Most sites in the town centre have a more valuable existing use (such as retail) and existing buildings on them (although in such cases CIL will only apply to the net new development).

## The Potential for CIL

10.15 In Chapter 3 above we set out the principle of Additional Profit. Additional Profit is the amount of profit over and above the normal profit made by the developers having purchased the land, developed the site and sold the units (including provision of any affordable housing that is required).
10.16 The following tables show the additional profit. This is the amount over and above the viability threshold, having provided the full policy requirements set out in the emerging Plan. The appraisals include the allowances for strategic infrastructure and mitigation as in the base appraisals above.

| Table 10.3 Additional Profit <br> Base Appraisals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £ site | £/m2 |
| Site 1 | Northern Extension | North | 34,022,853 | 655 |
| Site 2 | Western Extension | West | 46,467,778 | 531 |
| Site 3 | Southeast Extension | South East | 31,193,776 | 874 |
| Site 4 | Greenfield 1 | 0 | 1,574,920 | 531 |
| Site 5 | Greenfield 2 | 0 | 888,102 | 459 |
| Site 6 | Greenfield 3 | 0 | 700,238 | 640 |
| Site 7 | Greenfield 4 | 0 | 3,823,641 | 480 |
| Site 8 | High Town Centre Flats | Town Centre | 4,138,327 | 232 |
| Site 9 | Town Centre Flats | Town Centre | 211,591 | 83 |
| Site 10 | PDL 1 | 0 | 931,062 | 267 |
| Site 11 | PDL 2 | 0 | 446,848 | 258 |
| Site 12 | PDL 3 | 0 | 400,858 | 380 |
| Site 13 | PDL 4 - Flats | 0 | -176,838 | -281 |
| Site 14 | Small A | 0 | 376,036 | 374 |
| Site 15 | Small B | 0 | 254,987 | 457 |
| Site 16 | Small C | 0 | 70,881 | 215 |

Source: September 2017
10.17 The additional profit varies considerably. When the additional profit is considered across the area, it can be seen that there is considerable capacity to bear CIL.

## The Effect of CIL

10.18 CIL Regulation 14 (as amended) sets out the core principle for setting CIL:

## Setting rates

(1) In setting rates (including differential rates) in a charging schedule, a charging authority must strike an appropriate balance between-
(a) the desirability of funding from CIL (in whole or in part) the actual and expected estimated total cost of infrastructure required to support the development of its area, taking into account other actual and expected sources of funding; and
(b) the potential effects (taken as a whole) of the imposition of CIL on the economic viability of development across its area.
(2) In setting rates ...
10.19 Viability testing in the context of CIL is to assess the 'effects' on development. Ultimately the test that will be applied to CIL is as set out the examination section of the PPG:
documents containing appropriate available evidence ... evidence has been provided that shows the proposed rate or rates would not threaten delivery of the relevant Plan as a whole (for England, see National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 173)

Reference ID: 25-038-20140612
10.20 The following appraisals incorporate CIL at a range of levels and are directly comparable to those in Table 13.3 of the 2015 Viability Study.

Table 10．4 Residual Value compared with Viability Thresholds
Affordable－Brownfield sites 25\％，Greenfield sites 30\％－range of CIL Contributions

|  | 율 | 道 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{2} \\ & \tilde{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bo } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{0 \\ N \\ N \\ 0 \\ \hline} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 \\ b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | O |  | O |
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|  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hat{o} \\ \stackrel{y}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ \stackrel{y}{0} \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\otimes}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} 7 \\ \stackrel{y}{n} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{2}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $O$ |

Source：HDH（September 2017）
10.21 The greenfield sites have a capacity to bear CIL over and above the $£ 40 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ recommended in the 2015 Viability Study. This is largely due to the substantial increase in prices in Stevenage over the last few years. At $£ 100 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ there is a substantial margin between the Viability Threshold and the Residual Value. The flatted schemes modelled on brownfield sites outside the core town centre are not shown as viable although these form a very small proportion of the Council's planned development. The future development within the town centre will predominantly be higher format flatted schemes, these are now shown as viable with significant capacity to bear CIL
10.22 At this level the Residual Values for the majority of the modelled sites are well in excess of the viability threshold, creating a significant cushion and demonstrating that CIL would not be set at the limits of viability.
10.23 On the strategic sites the evidence does not support differential rates.

## CIL as a proportion of Land Value and Gross Development Value

10.24 To further inform the CIL rate setting process, we have calculated CIL as a proportion of the Residual Value and the Gross Development Value.
10.25 CIL as the proportion of the Residual Value, in approximate terms, represents the percentage fall in land value that a landowner may receive. As set out in the Local Plan Viability Study, it is inevitable that CIL will depress land prices. This is recognised in the RICS Guidance and was considered at the Greater Norwich CIL examination ${ }^{22}$. In Greater Norwich it was suggested that landowners may accept a $25 \%$ fall in land prices following the introduction of CIL saying:
22. Thirdly the work done by the Councils to demonstrate what funds are likely to be available for CIL (Appendix 1 of the Note following Day 1) relies on the full $25 \%$ of the benchmark land value being available for the CIL "pot". While this may sometimes be the case it is unlikely that it will always apply. Even if some landowners may be prepared to accept less than $75 \%$ of the benchmark value, the $25 \%$ figure should be treated as a maximum and not an average. Using $25 \%$ to try to establish what the theoretical maximum amount in a CIL "pot" may be is reasonable, but when thinking about setting a CIL charge in the real world it would be prudent to treat it as a maximum that will only apply on some occasions in some circumstances.
10.26 It is important to note that a wide ranging debate took place at that CIL Examination and on the specific local circumstances. It would however be prudent to set CIL at a rate that does not result in a fall in land prices of greater than $25 \%$ or so. The following tables show CIL, at a range of rates, as a percentage of the Residual Value.

[^13]

Source: SBC Whole Plan Viability Study August 2015
10.27 This analysis supports the previous findings but suggests a maximum rate of $£ 40 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for flatted development in the town centre.
10.28 Plan-wide viability testing is not an exact science. The process is based on high level modelling and assumptions and development costs and assumptions. The process adopted by many developers is similar, hence the use of contingency sums, the competitive return assumptions and the generally cautious approach. In the following tables we have set out CIL, at a range of rates, as a proportion of the Gross Development Value.


Source: SBC Whole Plan Viability Study August 2015
10.29 This analysis shows that CIL would only be less than 3\% of the Gross Development Value on almost all sites. On this basis the Council can have further confidence that development would not be put at risk.

## Older People's Housing

10.30 As well as mainstream housing, we have considered the sheltered and extracare sectors separately. Appraisals were run for a range of affordable housing requirements. The results of these are summarised as follows. In each case allowance has been made for a s106 developer contribution of $£ 100,000$. The full appraisals are set out in Appendix 7:
10.31 This analysis is based in the 30\% affordable housing target on greenfield land and 25\% affordable housing on the brownfield land. The results in the following table are directly comparable to those in Table 13.6 of the 2015 Viability Study.
Table 10.7 Older People's Housing, Appraisal Results


Source: September 2017
10.32 The appraisals indicate that the results for sheltered housing are similar to those of mainstream housing and that the recommended rate of $£ 100 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ should apply to this type of development as well.
10.33 The results are less good for extracare development. For this type of development, a rate of $£ 40 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ would be appropriate when considered in the same way as the residential development above.

## Conclusions

10.34 We take this opportunity to stress again that the results in themselves do not determine policy. We have discussed the consequences of these results in Chapter 12 below.

## 11. Non-Residential Appraisal Results

11.1 Based on the assumptions set out previously, we have run a set of development financial appraisals for the non-residential development types. The detailed appraisal results are set out in Appendix 8 and summarised in the table below.
11.2 As with the residential appraisals, we have used the Residual Valuation approach. We have run appraisals to assess the value of the site after taking into account the costs of development, the likely income from sales and/or rents, and an appropriate amount of developers' profit. The payment would represent the sum paid in a single tranche on the acquisition of a site. In order for the proposed development to be described as viable, it is necessary for this value to exceed the value from an alternative use. To assess viability, we have used the same methodology with regard to the Viability Thresholds (Existing / Alternative Land Use 'plus').

## Employment Uses



Source: HDH, (September 2017)
11.3 The above results are similar to those at the time of the 2015 Viability Study, with employment uses not being shown as viable.
11.4 It is important to note that employment development is coming forward, but only to a limited extent on a speculative basis by the development industry. Where development is coming forward (and it is coming forward), it tends to be from existing businesses for operational reasons - rather than to make a return through property development.
11.5 It is important to note that the analysis in this report is carried out in line with the Harman Guidance and in the context of the NPPF and PPG. It assumes that development takes place for its own sake and is a goal in its own right. It assumes that a developer buys land, develops it and then disposes of it, in a series of steps with the sole aim of making a profit from the development. The Guidance does not reflect the broad range of business models under which developers and landowners operate. Some developers have owned land for many years and are building a broad income stream over multiple properties over the long term. Such developers are able to release land for development at less than the arms-length value at which it may be released to third parties and take a long-term view as to the direction of the market based on the prospects of an area and wider economic factors. Much of the development coming forward in the Borough is 'user led' being brought forward by businesses that will use the eventual space for operational uses, rather than for investment purposes.
11.6 It is clear that employment development is challenging in the current market, but it is improving.
11.7 There is not scope to introduce CIL for employment uses.

## Retail Uses



Source: HDH, (September 2017)
11.8 A CIL rate of $£ 60 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ was recommended for all retail uses in 2015. Whilst some values have changed, costs have also changed, having said this, viability remains largely unchanged and this remains appropriate.

## Hotel Uses

11.9 Hotel development remains unviable, so the zero rate remains appropriate.

## Conclusions

11.10 The delivery of non-residential space is an important part of the Plan. The Council will need to consider how this can be facilitated.

## 12. Summary

12.1 Chapter 13 of the 205 Viability Study sets out some of the points to be considered by the Council when setting CIL. These points will not be repeated here (this report should be read as an update to the 2015 Viability Study rather than a standalone document). The purpose of this update is to reconsider the proposed rates of CIL.
12.2 Since 2015 there has been a significant change in viability in Stevenage. This is, at least in part, due to the effects of the redevelopment of the town centre.
12.3 Overall, house prices have increased in Stevenage by $20 \%$ or so, however the increase for new houses has been greater, particularly for newbuild flats in the town centre. The values of employment uses and supermarkets have also both increased. These increases are to some extent offset by an increase in construction costs of about $9 \%$. These changes have resulted in a notable improvement in viability.
12.4 Based on the analysis set out in Chapters 10 and 11, the following revised rates of CIL are recommended:

| Table 12.1 Recommended rates of CIL - 2017 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Development Type |  |
| Residential <br> Market Housing - central area <br> Market Housing - all other areas <br> Sheltered Housing - in all areas and including flatted <br> development. | $£ 100 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Extracare housing | $£ 100 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Retail Development | $£ 40 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| All Other Development | $£ 60 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |

Source: HDH (September 2017)
12.5 In making the above recommendations it is important to note that the development in the Central Area is most likely to be flatted development.

## Next Steps

12.6 The recommendations in this study are 'a consultant's view' and do not reflect the particular priorities and emphasis that Stevenage Borough Council may put on different parts of its Development Plan. The above suggested rates are supported by the evidence - however there is considerable scope for the Council to strike a different balance.
12.7 We stress that the information in this report is an important element of the evidence for setting CIL, but is only one part of the evidence; the wider context needs to be considered.

# Appendix 1 - Local Plan Hearing Statements Matter 18 

18. What are the main findings of the Viability Study? Has this work indicated that some types of site or uses are likely to be unviable? What are the implications? Is more work necessary?
18.1. The Whole Plan Viability Study including CIL (HDH, September 2015) (document T13) considered the cumulative impact of the policies in the Plan - as required by paragraphs 173 and 174 of the NNPF. The work was carried out in accordance with the PPG and in line with the Harman Guidance and RICS Guidance. The preparation of the Viability Study was informed by a consultation process with members of the development industry. (Appendix 1 of T13 lists the consultees).
18.2. The core output of the Viability Study was to consider the impact on developer contributions and affordable housing on viability and how they relate. This analysis is set out in Chapter 10 of the study. Chapter 12 includes the findings in relation to the Local Plan and Chapter 13 recommends rates of CIL. In terms of the delivery of the local plan the principal findings and recommendations in relation to residential development are as follows (with the relevant paragraph numbers shown in brackets):
a. At modest levels of affordable housing in the range of $20 \%$ to $25 \%$, development on brownfield sites would be able to contribute towards infrastructure at rates of up to $£ 7,500$ /unit or so, but at higher rates of affordable housing there would be little scope to contribute towards infrastructure and site mitigation (12.6b).
b. The flatted development in the town centre is unable to bear affordable housing and is unlikely to be able to bear developer contributions in the current market. An increase of around $20 \%$ in house prices is required (12.6c).
c. On large strategic greenfield sites, there is scope to have a higher level of affordable housing and to bear the infrastructure costs. At $35 \%$ or $40 \%$ there would only be limited scope to request infrastructure payments through CIL over and above the s106 costs incorporated into the modelling (12.6e).
d. The remaining greenfield sites are able to bear the Council's current affordable housing target of $40 \%$ and to bear an element of developer contributions, however, if the amount of affordable housing was reduced to say $30 \%$ the ability to bear developer contributions is improved notably (12.6f).
18.3. The test set out in the NPPF is whether the cumulative impact of the policies in the Plan puts the Development Plan at serious risk. It is not a requirement that each policy can be delivered in full on all sites. Most sites must be able to bear the Council's policy burden so that site by site viability testing at the development management stage is the exception rather than the rule.
18.4. At the time of the Viability Study a $40 \%$ affordable housing target was being sought. The study identified that the $40 \%$ affordable housing and the site specific s106 costs, but excluding further infrastructure contributions, was not viable and recommend that the affordable housing target be revisited (12.13).
18.5. Bearing in mind the levels of infrastructure funding required, the study recommend that the Council moves to a two-tiered affordable housing policy with a $25 \%$ requirement on brownfield sites (including the Town Centre Regeneration Area) and $30 \%$ on the remaining areas (including strategic sites). Whilst there is scope to have higher targets than these, there would only be limited scope to introduce CIL in addition (12.17). Based on these revised affordable housing targets the report went on to recommend (at table 13.8) a rate of CIL of $£ 40 / \mathrm{m} 2$ on sites outside the Stevenage Urban Area and $£ 0 / \mathrm{m} 2$ on sites within it.
18.6. The implication of the recommended change is a reduced affordable housing target - albeit in the context of the fact that the $40 \%$ target was rarely achieved. The proposed affordable housing targets will be generally achievable and will avoid site by site viability testing. The delivery of the new Plan will require further infrastructure. If the $40 \%$ affordable housing target was maintained there would be limited scope to request developer contributions (either under s106 or CIL) and thus given rise to difficulties around delivery of the Plan.
18.7. The question asks is more work is needed. Both house prices and build costs have changed since the date of the study. The following tables show the most recent data (at the time of this response) from the Land Registry and BCIS.

| Change in Average House Prices as recorded by the Land Registry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stevenage | All | Detached | Semi <br> Detached | Terraced | Flats |  |
| $2015-07$ | $£ 226,773$ | $£ 429,982$ | $£ 272,288$ | $£ 216,558$ | $£ 148,001$ |  |
| $2016-10$ | $£ 270,778$ | $£ 528,461$ | $£ 329,546$ | $£ 256,134$ | $£ 175,983$ |  |
|  | $£ 44,005$ | $£ 98,479$ | $£ 57,258$ | $£ 39,576$ | $£ 27,982$ |  |
|  | $19.40 \%$ | $22.90 \%$ | $21.03 \%$ | $18.28 \%$ | $18.91 \%$ |  |

Source: Land Registry $15^{\text {th }} J$ anuary 2017

| Change in Average Construction Costs as recorded by BCIS (£/m²) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $07-\mathrm{Mar-15}$ | $07-J a n-17$ | Change |  |
| Estate Housing Generally (15) | $£ 955$ | $£ 1,102$ | $£ 147$ | $15.39 \%$ |
| Single storey (15) | $£ 1,050$ | $£ 1,220$ | $£ 170$ | $16.19 \%$ |
| 2-storey (15) | $£ 938$ | $£ 1,077$ | $£ 139$ | $14.82 \%$ |
| 3-storey (15) | $£ 925$ | $£ 1,051$ | $£ 126$ | $13.62 \%$ |
| 4-storey or above (25) | $£ 1,265$ | $£ 1,422$ | $£ 157$ | $12.41 \%$ |
| Estate housing detached (15) | $£ 1,080$ | $£ 1,279$ | $£ 199$ | $18.43 \%$ |
| Estate housing semi detached |  |  |  |  |
| Generally (15) | $£ 956$ | $£ 1,111$ | $£ 155$ | $16.21 \%$ |
| Single storey (15) | $£ 1,115$ | $£ 1,311$ | $£ 196$ | $17.58 \%$ |
| 2-storey (15) | $£ 943$ | $£ 1,077$ | $£ 134$ | $14.21 \%$ |
| 3-storey (15) | $£ 899$ | $£ 1,020$ | $£ 121$ | $13.46 \%$ |
| Estate housing terraced |  |  |  |  |
| Generally (15) | $£ 960$ | $£ 1,103$ | $£ 143$ | $14.90 \%$ |
| Single storey (15) | $£ 982$ | $£ 1,148$ | $£ 166$ | $16.90 \%$ |
| 2-storey (15) | $£ 957$ | $£ 1,103$ | $£ 146$ | $15.26 \%$ |
| 3-storey (15) | $£ 924$ | $£ 1,046$ | $£ 122$ | $13.20 \%$ |
| Flats (apartments) |  |  |  |  |
| Generally (15) | $£ 1,126$ | $£ 1,292$ | $£ 166$ | $14.74 \%$ |
| 1-2 storey (15) | $£ 1,077$ | $£ 1,239$ | $£ 162$ | $15.04 \%$ |
| 3-5 storey (15) | $£ 1,124$ | $£ 1,286$ | $£ 162$ | $14.41 \%$ |
| 6+ storey (15) | $£ 1,440$ | $£ 1,668$ | $£ 228$ | $15.83 \%$ |
| Sourcer BCIS, Ra |  |  |  |  |

Source: BCIS, Rate per m2 gross internal floor area for the building. Cost including prelims. Rebased for Stevenage. 15 th J anuary 2017.
18.8. Over the period from March 2015 to January 2017 build costs in Stevenage have increased (15.39\%) which is less than average house price over the period from July 2015 to October 2016 (19.4\%). Viability will therefore have improved since the Viability Study was undertaken, this would suggest that the work can still be relied on.
18.9. When considering the above it is important to note the time periods do not quite align - with the build costs being a longer period.
18.10. In this context, it necessary to give the town centre area particular mention as there has been a notable change in prices in this area. Paragraphs 10.32 to 10.38 of the Viability Study (T13) considered the town centre area specifically and the impact of changes in prices.
18.11. The following table shows a recent review of the prices of flats in the central area.

Analysis of Land Registry Price Paid Data and EPC Data of Flat Sales in Central Stevenage from $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2016 to 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ December 2016

| Count | 68 | 68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum | $£ 2,926$ | $£ 96,950$ |
| Mean | $£ 3,326$ | $£ 166,651$ |
| Median | $£ 3,313$ | $£ 156,645$ |
| Maximum | $£ 4,111$ | $£ 231,950$ |

Source: Land registry and EPC Register (January 2017)
18.12. The data shows the price ( $£ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) of the 68 flats sold (as recorded by the Land Registry) in the central area during 2016. These range from $£ 2,926 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ to $£ 4,111 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and average $£ 3,326 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( median $£ 3,313 / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). As set out in Table 4.11 of the Viability Study (T13) the price assumption for flats in the central area in July 2015 was $£ 2,500 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Prices achieved over 2016 were therefore about $33 \%$ greater than those used in the modelling.
a. There are several schemes of flats being marketed (January 2017) that support this trend:
b. Six Hills House - Current asking prices of 2 bedroom flats being advertised from $£ 225,000$ and 3 bedroom flats being advertised from $£ 245,000$. (http://www.sixhillshouse.co.uk)
c. Vista Tower - Current asking prices of Plot 51 ( 2 beds -59 m 2 ) available at $£ 220,000$ = £3,729/m2. (http://www.lanesnewhomes.co.uk/property/4005937\#.WHtx84XXKUm)
d. Park Place, Stevenage - Current asking prices for 1 bed from $£ 195000$ to $£ 215,000$ and 2 bed from $£ 220,000$ to $£ 379,000$ (http://www.goandco.co.uk/properties-for-sale/properties-for-sale-in-stevenage)
18.13. It is notable that these asking prices are well above the average (and median) of sales in 2016 and close to or well in excess of the maximum prices achieved last year so, even having allowed for the prices paid to be less than the prices achieved there is an indication of the Stevenage market continuing to move forward.
18.14. It is not considered that further work is necessary to inform the Local Plan.
18.15. In relation to CIL , the Council will progress this following the Local Plan examination and will update viability information to inform a future CIL examination.

## Appendix 2 - Maps - Average Prices by Ward

重



## Appendix 3 - New Homes For Sale

| Agent / Developer | address | address | name |  | bedrooms | floors |  | d/sd/t/f | price flat | price house |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lanes | Mulberry House | Park Place | plot 102 |  | 0 |  | 1 | $f$ | £172,500 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 153 | 0 |  | 1 | $f$ | £172,500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | f | £180,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 105 | 1 |  | 1 | f | £192,500 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 128 | 1 |  | 1 | f | £195,000 |  |
| Raine \& Co | Brickdale House |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £196,328 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £209,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £213,500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £213,800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £240,000 |  |
|  |  |  | x5 |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £215,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £216,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £222,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £223,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £225,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £227,000 |  |
|  |  |  | x2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £230,000 |  |
| Metropolitan | Six Hills House | London Rd |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | f | £245,000 |  |
| Your Move | George House | Primett Rd |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | f | £249,500 |  |
| JS\&Co |  | Swingate | x2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £250,000 |  |
| Churchill Retirement | Astonia Lodge | Pound Ave |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £374,950 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | $f$ | £402,950 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | f | £424,950 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | £444,950 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | £448,950 |  |
| Putterills |  | Marlborough Rd | x2 |  | 4 |  | 2 | d |  | £585,000 |

踇

## Appendix 4- Land Registry PPD and EPC Data

| Deed Date | Type | saon | paon | street | postcode | Price Paid | m2 | £/m2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14/01/2016 | F |  | 12 | GUNNELLS | SG1 2FY | £290,000 | 75 | £3,867 |
| 28/01/2016 | S |  | 6 | GLANVILLE CRESCENT | SG1 4FW | £324,995 | 68 | £4,779 |
| 29/01/2016 | D |  | 1A | ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £339,995 | 68 | £5,000 |
| 29/01/2016 | S |  | 7 | ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £329,995 | 88 | £3,750 |
| 29/01/2016 | D |  | 4 | GLANVILLE CRESCENT | SG1 4FW | £339,995 | 104 | £3,269 |
| 01/02/2016 | D |  | 1 | ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £399,995 | 133 | £3,007 |
| 29/02/2016 | F | FLAT 55 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £209,950 | 69 | £3,043 |
| 29/02/2016 | F | FLAT 57 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £209,950 | 64 | £3,280 |
| 29/02/2016 | F | FLAT 58 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £196,950 | 60 | £3,283 |
| 01/03/2016 | F | FLAT 59 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £164,950 | 48 | £3,436 |
| 02/03/2016 | F | FLAT 60 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £96,950 | 31 | £3,127 |
| 03/03/2016 | F | FLAT 86 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £210,950 | 60 | £3,516 |
| 03/03/2016 | F | FLAT 88 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £197,950 | 60 | £3,299 |
| 03/03/2016 | F | FLAT 89 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £165,950 | 48 | £3,457 |
| 03/03/2016 | F | FLAT 90 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £97,950 | 31 | £3,160 |
| 04/03/2016 | D |  | CHERRYDOWN | TWINWOODS | SG1 1RJ | £410,000 | 140 | £2,929 |
| 07/03/2016 | F | FLAT 54 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £209,950 | 65 | £3,230 |
| 07/03/2016 | F | FLAT 56 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £199,950 | 60 | £3,333 |
| 07/03/2016 | F | FLAT 84 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £210,950 | 65 | £3,245 |
| 10/03/2016 | F | FLAT 106 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £216,950 | 65 | £3,338 |
| 10/03/2016 | F | FLAT 85 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £210,950 | 69 | £3,057 |
| 10/03/2016 | F | FLAT 87 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £210,950 | 64 | £3,296 |
| 11/03/2016 | F | FLAT 110 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £198,950 | 60 | £3,316 |
| 14/03/2016 | F | FLAT 112 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £98,950 | 31 | £3,192 |
| 16/03/2016 | F | FLAT 107 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £211,950 | 69 | £3,072 |
| 18/03/2016 | F | 10 | TREFOIL LODGE | CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £130,000 | 56.1 | £2,317 |
| 18/03/2016 | F | 2 | TREFOIL LODGE | CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £130,000 | 55.7 | £2,334 |
| 18/03/2016 | D |  | 11 | GRAYLING WAY | SG1 4FR | £364,995 | 122 | £2,992 |
| 18/03/2016 | D |  | 13 | GRAYLING WAY | SG1 4FR | £359,995 | 68 | £5,294 |
| 21/03/2016 | F | FLAT 111 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £166,950 | 48 | £3,478 |
| 24/03/2016 | F | FLAT 123 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £212,950 | 65 | £3,276 |
| 24/03/2016 | F | FLAT 124 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £212,950 | 69 | £3,086 |
| 24/03/2016 | F | FLAT 125 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £202,950 | 60 | £3,383 |
| 24/03/2016 | F | FLAT 108 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AX |  | 1,950 | \#DIV/0! |
| 29/03/2016 | F | FLAT 127 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £199,950 | 60 | £3,333 |
| 29/03/2016 | F | FLAT 128 | SKYLINE HOUSE | SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £167,950 | 48 | £3,499 |


| 29/03/2016 | F | FLAT 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31/03/2016 | F | FLAT 109 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 126 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 140 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 142 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 143 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 145 |
| 08/04/2016 | F | FLAT 146 |
| 14/04/2016 | S |  |
| 14/04/2016 | S |  |
| 18/04/2016 | D |  |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 17 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 18 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 19 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 20 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 21 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 22 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 23 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 24 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 25 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 26 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | FLAT 27 GROUND FLOOR |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 102 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 103 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 104 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 106 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 107 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 108 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 109 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 110 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 111 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 112 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 114 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 115 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 203 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 205 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 206 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 207 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 208 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 209 EAST TERRACE |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 210 EAST TERRACE |

SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE 14
16
12
SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE

SWINGATE
SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE
SWINGATE MONARCH RISE MONARCH RISE MONARCH RISE KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD

| SG1 1AP | $£ 99,950$ | 31 | $£ 3,224$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 211,950$ | 64 | $£ 3,312$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 212,950$ | 64 | $£ 3,327$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 213,950$ | 65 | $£ 3,292$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 203,950$ | 60 | $£ 3,399$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 213,950$ | 64 | $£ 3,343$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 168,950$ | 48 | $£ 3,520$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 100,950$ | 31 | $£ 3,256$ |
| SG1 4FS | $£ 354,995$ | 68 | $£ 5,221$ |
| SG1 4FS | $£ 354,995$ | 68 | $£ 5,221$ |
| SG1 4FS | $£ 369,995$ | 102 | $£ 3,627$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 219,550$ | 70 | $£ 3,136$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 219,550$ | 72 | $£ 3,049$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 152,731$ | 48 | $£ 3,182$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 124,094$ | 35 | $£ 3,546$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 226,710$ | 78 | $£ 2,907$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 224,383$ | 72 | $£ 3,116$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 224,323$ | 73 | $£ 3,073$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 224,323$ | 73 | $£ 3,073$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 221,937$ | 72 | $£ 3,082$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 226,710$ | 78 | $£ 2,907$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 124,094$ | 35 | $£ 3,546$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 200,459$ | 57 | $£ 3,517$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 138,412$ | 40 | $£ 3,460$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 190,913$ | 51 | $£ 3,743$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 188,527$ | 51 | $£ 3,697$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 133,639$ | 40 | $£ 3,341$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 200,459$ | 57 | $£ 3,517$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 196,163$ | 55 | $£ 3,567$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 143,185$ | 44 | $£ 3,254$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 205,232$ | 58 | $£ 3,538$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 195,686$ | 57 | $£ 3,433$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 205,232$ | 58 | $£ 3,538$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 143,185$ | 44 | $£ 3,254$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 140,799$ | 40 | $£ 3,520$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 126,003$ | 35 | $£ 3,600$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 191,868$ | 51 | $£ 3,762$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 136,026$ | 40 | $£ 3,401$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 203,800$ | 57 | $£ 3,575$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 199,504$ | 55 | $£ 3,627$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 145,571$ | 44 | $£ 3,308$ |


| 25/04/2016 | F | 211 EAST TERRACE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25/04/2016 | F | 212 EAST TERRACE |
| 28/04/2016 | F | FLAT 144 |
| 29/04/2016 | F | 3 |
| 04/05/2016 | F | FLAT 141 |
| 13/05/2016 | F | FLAT 78 |
| 13/05/2016 | F | FLAT 79 |
| 16/05/2016 | F | FLAT 80 |
| 16/05/2016 | F | FLAT 81 |
| 16/05/2016 | F | FLAT 82 |
| 16/05/2016 | F | FLAT 91 |
| 17/05/2016 | F | FLAT 12 |
| 18/05/2016 | F | 202 EAST TERRACE |
| 18/05/2016 | F | 204 EAST TERRACE |
| 18/05/2016 | F | 214 EAST TERRACE |
| 18/05/2016 | F | 215 EAST TERRACE |
| 18/05/2016 | T |  |
| 20/05/2016 | D |  |
| 20/05/2016 | T |  |
| 20/05/2016 | F | FLAT 93 |
| 20/05/2016 | F | FLAT 94 |
| 27/05/2016 | S |  |
| 27/05/2016 | F | FLAT 100 |
| 27/05/2016 | F | FLAT 101 |
| 27/05/2016 | F | FLAT 115 |
| 27/05/2016 | F | FLAT 116 |
| 31/05/2016 | F | FLAT 92 |
| 01/06/2016 | F | FLAT 13 |
| 01/06/2016 | F | FLAT 83 |
| 02/06/2016 | S |  |
| 03/06/2016 | F | FLAT 103 |
| 03/06/2016 | F | FLAT 104 |
| 03/06/2016 | F | FLAT 105 |
| 03/06/2016 | F | FLAT 113 |
| 03/06/2016 | F | FLAT 114 |
| 07/06/2016 | T |  |
| 08/06/2016 | F | 9 |
| 10/06/2016 | F | FLAT 49 |
| 10/06/2016 | F | FLAT 63 |
| 10/06/2016 | F | FLAT 64 |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 1 GROUND FLOOR |


| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| :--- |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| TREFOIL LODGE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| MARKET PLACE CHAMBERS, 1 |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 4 |
| 12A |
| 10 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 3 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| MARKET PLACE CHAMBERS, 1 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 5 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 6 |
| TREFOIL LODGE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SIXILLS HOUSE |


| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £209,050 | 58 | £3,604 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £199,027 | 57 | £3,492 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £200,950 | 60 | £3,349 |
| CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £185,550 | 55.7 | £3,331 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £213,950 | 69 | £3,101 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £231,950 | 70 | £3,314 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £108,950 | 32 | £3,405 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £149,450 | 48 | £3,114 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £149,450 | 43 | £3,476 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £149,950 | 49 | £3,060 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £210,950 | 64 | £3,296 |
| MARKET PLACE | SG1 1DD | £140,000 | 44 | £3,182 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £203,800 | 57 | £3,575 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £194,254 | 51 | £3,809 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £210,005 | 58 | £3,621 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £145,571 | 44 | £3,308 |
| MONARCH RISE | SG1 4FS | £369,995 | 68 | £5,441 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £384,995 | 102 | £3,774 |
| MONARCH RISE | SG1 4FS | £364,995 | 68 | £5,368 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £149,450 | 46 | £3,249 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £151,450 | 48 | £3,155 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £334,995 | 124 | £2,702 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £232,950 | 70 | £3,328 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £109,950 | 32 | £3,436 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £155,450 | 45 | £3,454 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £157,450 | 48 | £3,280 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £147,450 | 43 | £3,429 |
| MARKET PLACE | SG1 1DD | £115,100 | 28 | £4,111 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £149,450 | 44 | £3,397 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £329,995 | 124 | £2,661 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,450 | 43 | £3,499 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,450 | 49 | £3,070 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,450 | 44 | £3,419 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £211,950 | 64 | £3,312 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £153,450 | 43 | £3,569 |
| MONARCH RISE | SG1 4FS | £364,995 | 68 | £5,368 |
| CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £180,000 | 58.07 | £3,100 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £107,950 | 32 | £3,373 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £138,450 | 45 | £3,077 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £140,450 | 48 | £2,926 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AT | £147,958 | 49 | £3,020 |


| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 14 GROUND FLOOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 15 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 16 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 2 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 3 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 4 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 5 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 6 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 7 GROUND FLOOR |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 201 |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 101 EAST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 102 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 103 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 105 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 106 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 107 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 109 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 110 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 111 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 112 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 201 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 202 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 203 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 205 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 206 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 207 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 209 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 210 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 211 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 212 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 301 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 302 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 303 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | 305 WEST TERRACE |
| 13/06/2016 | F | FLAT 1 |
| 15/06/2016 | F | 5 |
| 16/06/2016 | F | 12 |
| 16/06/2016 | F | FLAT 51 |
| 16/06/2016 | F | FLAT 52 |
| 16/06/2016 | F | FLAT 53 |
| 16/06/2016 | F | FLAT 62 |

SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE EAST TERRACE. SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE TREFOIL LODGE TREFOIL LODGE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE

KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD KINGS ROAD SIX HILLS WAY CUTTYS LANE CUTTYS LANE SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE SWINGATE

| SG1 1AT | $£ 126,480$ | 36 | $£ 3,513$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 133,639$ | 44 | $£ 3,037$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 224,323$ | 75 | $£ 2,991$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 217,164$ | 71 | $£ 3,059$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 214,777$ | 70 | $£ 3,068$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 229,096$ | 79 | $£ 2,900$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 133,639$ | 45 | $£ 2,970$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 128,866$ | 38 | $£ 3,391$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 200,459$ | 58 | $£ 3,456$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 179,459$ | 55 | $£ 3,263$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 176,595$ | 55 | $£ 3,211$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 205,232$ | 63 | $£ 3,258$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 214,777$ | 58 | $£ 3,703$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 200,459$ | 62 | $£ 3,233$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 219,550$ | 71 | $£ 3,092$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 200,459$ | 59 | $£ 3,398$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 202,845$ | 58 | $£ 3,497$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 219,550$ | 71 | $£ 3,092$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 200,459$ | 59 | $£ 3,398$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 143,185$ | 45 | $£ 3,182$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 203,800$ | 56 | $£ 3,639$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 209,050$ | 63 | $£ 3,318$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 218,596$ | 58 | $£ 3,769$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 203,800$ | 62 | $£ 3,287$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 211,914$ | 71 | $£ 2,985$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 203,800$ | 59 | $£ 3,454$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 206,186$ | 58 | $£ 3,555$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 213,823$ | 71 | $£ 3,012$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 203,800$ | 59 | $£ 3,454$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 145,571$ | 45 | $£ 3,235$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 207,141$ | 56 | $£ 3,699$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 212,868$ | 63 | $£ 3,379$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 181,368$ | 54 | $£ 3,359$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 176,595$ | 55 | $£ 3,211$ |
| SG1 1AU | $£ 199,500$ | 72.05 | $£ 2,769$ |
| SG1 1AB | $£ 200,459$ | $\# D I V /!$ |  |
| SG1 1AB | $£ 180,000$ | 60.35 | $£ 2,983$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 147,450$ | 43 | $£ 3,429$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 148,450$ | 49 | $£ 3,030$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 143,450$ | 44 | $£ 3,260$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 136,450$ | 43 | $£ 3,173$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[^14]| 17/06/2016 | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17/06/2016 | T |  |
| 17/06/2016 | F | FLAT 102 |
| 17/06/2016 | F | FLAT 48 |
| 17/06/2016 | F | FLAT 50 |
| 23/06/2016 | F | FLAT 61 |
| 24/06/2016 | S |  |
| 24/06/2016 | S |  |
| 24/06/2016 | F | FLAT 11 |
| 24/06/2016 | F | FLAT 19 |
| 24/06/2016 | F | FLAT 2 |
| 28/06/2016 | F | FLAT 16 |
| 28/06/2016 | F | FLAT 18 |
| 29/06/2016 | F | FLAT 21 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 9 GROUND FLOOR |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 306 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 308 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 309 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 310 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 401 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 402 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 403 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 405 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 406 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 408 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 409 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | 410 WEST TERRACE |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 1 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 12 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 14 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 15 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 22 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 4 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 117 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 118 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 132 |
| 30/06/2016 | F | FLAT 119 |
| 04/07/2016 | S |  |
| 05/07/2016 | F | FLAT 120 |
| 07/07/2016 | F | FLAT 122 |
| 07/07/2016 | F | FLAT 130 |

SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE 6A
8
ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE SIX HILLS HOUSE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE ASTONIA LODGE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE 12
SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE SKYLINE HOUSE

| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £374,995 | 104 | £3,606 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONARCH RISE | SG1 4FS | £364,995 | 68 | £5,368 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,450 | 48 | £3,134 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £230,950 | 70 | £3,299 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £148,450 | 48 | £3,093 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £199,950 | 64 | £3,124 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £379,995 | 122 | £3,115 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £374,995 | 122 | £3,074 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £284,950 | 52 | £5,480 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £270,950 | 52 | £5,211 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £248,950 | 45 | £5,532 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £291,950 | 55 | £5,308 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £298,950 | 50 | £5,979 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £262,950 | 50 | £5,259 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AT | £214,777 | 66 | £3,254 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £143,185 | 43 | £3,330 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £143,185 | 43 | £3,330 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £176,595 | 51 | £3,463 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £147,958 | 45 | £3,288 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £210,959 | 56 | £3,767 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £216,687 | 63 | £3,439 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £184,231 | 54 | £3,412 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £179,459 | 55 | £3,263 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £145,571 | 43 | £3,385 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £145,571 | 43 | £3,385 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £179,459 | 51 | £3,519 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £150,822 | 45 | £3,352 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £238,950 | 46 | £5,195 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £376,950 | 72 | £5,235 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £359,950 | 68 | £5,293 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £284,950 | 52 | £5,480 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £270,950 | 53 | £5,112 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £255,950 | 51 | £5,019 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £233,950 | 70 | £3,342 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £110,950 | 32 | £3,467 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £151,450 | 45 | £3,366 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AX |  | ,450 | \#DIV/0! |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £369,995 | 68 | £5,441 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £151,450 | 43 | £3,522 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £151,450 | 44 | £3,442 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £212,950 | 64 | £3,327 |


| 07/07/2016 | F | FLAT 131 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/07/2016 | F | FLAT 8 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 10 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 134 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 135 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 136 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 149 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 14/07/2016 | F | FLAT 150 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 15/07/2016 | D |  | 5 |
| 18/07/2016 | F | FLAT 10 GROUND FLOOR | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 304 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 404 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 501 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 502 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 503 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 18/07/2016 | F | 504 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 21/07/2016 | F | FLAT 137 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 21/07/2016 | F | FLAT 138 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 21/07/2016 | F | FLAT 139 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 21/07/2016 | F | FLAT 147 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 21/07/2016 | F | FLAT 148 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 104 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 204 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 505 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 506 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 508 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 509 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 22/07/2016 | F | 510 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 29/07/2016 | F | FLAT 25 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 01/08/2016 | D |  | 3 |
| 01/08/2016 | D |  | 6 |
| 03/08/2016 | S |  | 9 |
| 05/08/2016 | D |  | 3 |
| 05/08/2016 | D |  | 4 |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 601 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 602 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 603 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 604 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 605 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 606 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 608 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |

SWINGATE
POUND AVENUE
POUND AVENUE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
GRAYLING WAY
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
POUND AVENUE
GLANVILLE CRESCENT
GRAYLING WAY
GRAYLING WAY
GRAYLING WAY
GRAYLING WAY
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
KINGS ROAD
SIN

| SG1 1AP | $£ 149,450$ | 43 | $£ 3,476$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SG1 3DZ | $£ 265,950$ | 50 | $£ 5,319$ |
| SG1 3DZ | $£ 274,950$ | 51 | $£ 5,391$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 224,950$ | 70 | $£ 3,214$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 111,950$ | 32 | $£ 3,498$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 152,450$ | 48 | $£ 3,176$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 152,450$ | 45 | $£ 3,388$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 154,450$ | 48 | $£ 3,218$ |
| SG1 4FR | $£ 429,995$ | 133 | $£ 3,233$ |
| SG1 1AT | $£ 190,913$ | 54 | $£ 3,535$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 147,958$ | 45 | $£ 3,288$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 150,822$ | 45 | $£ 3,352$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 214,777$ | 56 | $£ 3,835$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 220,505$ | 63 | $£ 3,500$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 187,572$ | 54 | $£ 3,474$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 153,685$ | 45 | $£ 3,415$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 152,450$ | 43 | $£ 3,545$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 154,450$ | 49 | $£ 3,152$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 152,450$ | 44 | $£ 3,465$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 213,950$ | 64 | $£ 3,343$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 150,450$ | 43 | $£ 3,499$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 143,185$ | 45 | $£ 3,182$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 145,571$ | 45 | $£ 3,235$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 182,799$ | 55 | $£ 3,324$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 147,958$ | 43 | $£ 3,441$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 147,958$ | 43 | $£ 3,441$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 182,322$ | 51 | $£ 3,575$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 153,208$ | 45 | $£ 3,405$ |
| SG1 3DZ | $£ 278,950$ | 48 | $£ 5,811$ |
| SG1 4FW | $£ 369,995$ | 88 | $£ 4,204$ |
| SG1 4FR | $£ 434,995$ | 133 | $£ 3,271$ |
| SG1 4FR | $£ 369,995$ | 120 | $£ 3,083$ |
| SG1 4FR | $£ 429,995$ | 133 | $£ 3,233$ |
| SG1 4FR | $£ 439,995$ | 133 | $£ 3,308$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 218,596$ | 56 | $£ 3,904$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 224,323$ | 63 | $£ 3,561$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 190,913$ | 54 | $£ 3,535$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 156,549$ | 45 | $£ 3,479$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 186,140$ | 55 | $£ 3,384$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 150,822$ | 43 | $£ 3,507$ |
| SG1 1AW | $£ 150,822$ | 43 | $£ 3,507$ |


| 05/08/2016 | F | 609 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05/08/2016 | F | 610 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 08/08/2016 | S |  | 7 |
| 11/08/2016 | T |  | 6 |
| 11/08/2016 | D |  | 2 |
| 12/08/2016 | F | FLAT 29 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 16/08/2016 | S |  | 7 |
| 18/08/2016 | T |  | 2 |
| 22/08/2016 | T |  | 4 |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 701 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 702 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 703 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 704 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 705 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 706 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 708 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 709 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 23/08/2016 | F | 710 WEST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 25/08/2016 | F | FLAT 30 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 02/09/2016 | F | FLAT 11 GROUND FLOOR | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 02/09/2016 | F | FLAT 8 GROUND FLOOR | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 08/09/2016 | F | FLAT 31 | ASTONIA LODGE |
| 08/09/2016 | F | FLAT 15 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 08/09/2016 | F | FLAT 16 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 08/09/2016 | F | FLAT 17 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 18 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 19 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 35 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 36 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 37 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 38 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 09/09/2016 | F | FLAT 39 | SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 301 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 302 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 303 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 304 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 305 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 306 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 307 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 308 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| 12/09/2016 | F | 309 EAST TERRACE | SIX HILLS HOUSE |


| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £185,663 | 51 | £3,640 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £156,072 | 45 | £3,468 |
| GRAYLING WAY | SG1 4FR | £369,995 | 119 | £3,109 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £359,995 | 124 | £2,903 |
| GRAYLING WAY | SG1 4FR | £449,995 | 133 | £3,383 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £299,950 | 56 | £5,356 |
| SPENCER WAY | SG2 8GD | £280,000 | 98 | £2,857 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £359,995 | 124 | £2,903 |
| ADMIRAL DRIVE | SG1 4FL | £354,995 | 124 | £2,863 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £222,414 | 56 | £3,972 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £228,141 | 63 | £3,621 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £194,254 | 54 | £3,597 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £159,413 | 45 | £3,543 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £189,481 | 55 | £3,445 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £153,685 | 43 | £3,574 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £153,685 | 43 | £3,574 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £189,004 | 51 | £3,706 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £158,935 | 45 | £3,532 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £294,950 | 52 | £5,672 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AT | £159,777 | 66 | £2,421 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AT | £138,412 | 45 | £3,076 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £299,950 | 51 | £5,881 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £142,950 | 45 | £3,177 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £140,950 | 42 | £3,356 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £135,000 | 41 | £3,293 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £130,000 | 40 | £3,250 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £137,000 | 40 | £3,425 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £120,000 | 35 | £3,429 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £120,000 | 35 | £3,429 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £115,000 | 34 | £3,382 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £115,000 | 34 | £3,382 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £105,000 | 32 | £3,281 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £124,094 | 35 | £3,546 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £186,140 | 54 | £3,447 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AU | £119,321 | 35 | £3,409 |


| 15/09/2016 | F | FLAT 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15/09/2016 | F | FLAT 13 |
| 15/09/2016 | F | FLAT 14 |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 108 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 208 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 307 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 407 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 507 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 607 WEST TERRACE |
| 20/09/2016 | F | 707 WEST TERRACE |
| 21/09/2016 | F | FLAT 34 |
| 22/09/2016 | D |  |
| 23/09/2016 | F | FLAT 44 |
| 29/09/2016 | F | FLAT 45 |
| 29/09/2016 | F | FLAT 47 |
| 29/09/2016 | F | FLAT 65 |
| 29/09/2016 | F | FLAT 66 |
| 29/09/2016 | F | FLAT 20 |
| 30/09/2016 | F | FLAT 23 |
| 30/09/2016 | F | FLAT 14 |
| 30/09/2016 | D |  |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 25 |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 30 |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 39 |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 4 |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 48 |
| 06/10/2016 | F | FLAT 50 |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 801 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 802 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 803 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 804 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 805 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | 806 WEST TERRACE |
| 07/10/2016 | F | FLAT 12 |
| 10/10/2016 | F | FLAT 12 GROUND FLOOR |
| 12/10/2016 | F | FLAT 3 |
| 13/10/2016 | F | FLAT 10 |
| 14/10/2016 | D |  |
| 14/10/2016 | D |  |
| 21/10/2016 | F | FLAT 27 |
| 21/10/2016 | F | FLAT 1 |


| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| :--- |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| ASTONIA LODGE |
| 8 |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| ASTONIA LODGE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| 2 |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| SIX HILLS HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| 18 |
| 1 |
| ASTONIA LODGE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |


| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £198,150 | 61 | £3,248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £142,950 | 43 | £3,324 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £205,000 | 56 | £3,661 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £171,822 | 47 | £3,656 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £174,686 | 47 | £3,717 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £177,549 | 48 | £3,699 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £180,431 | 48 | £3,759 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £183,277 | 48 | £3,818 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £186,140 | 48 | £3,878 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £189,481 | 48 | £3,948 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £275,950 | 50 | £5,519 |
| GRAYLING WAY | SG1 4FR | £434,995 | 133 | £3,271 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £222,000 | 59 | £3,763 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £136,450 | 41 | £3,328 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £220,000 | 62 | £3,548 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £120,000 | 35 | £3,429 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £120,000 | 35 | £3,429 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £148,541 | 42 | £3,537 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £391,950 | 74 | £5,297 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £157,797 | 43 | £3,670 |
| VINCENT MEWS | SG1 2GB | £485,000 | 114 | £4,254 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £216,000 | 52 | £4,154 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £160,000 | 32 | £5,000 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £160,000 | 38 | £4,211 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £156,017 | 44 | £3,546 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £160,000 | 38 | £4,211 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £150,000 | 39 | £3,846 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £147,958 | 46 | £3,216 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £138,412 | 38 | £3,642 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £186,140 | 52 | £3,580 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £186,140 | 52 | £3,580 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £210,005 | 61 | £3,443 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AW | £214,777 | 59 | £3,640 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £153,436 | 32 | £4,795 |
| KINGS ROAD | SG1 1AT | £200,454 | 61 | £3,286 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £150,000 | 30 | £5,000 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £200,000 | 50 | £4,000 |
| FISHERS GREEN ROAD | SG1 2PB | £475,000 | 123 | £3,862 |
| VINCENT MEWS | SG1 2GB | £484,995 | 121 | £4,008 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £286,950 | 52 | £5,518 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £205,000 | 46 | £4,457 |


| 28/10/2016 | F | FLAT 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28/10/2016 | F | FLAT 38 |
| 28/10/2016 | D |  |
| 01/11/2016 | F | FLAT 13 |
| 01/11/2016 | F | FLAT 19 |
| 03/11/2016 | D |  |
| 03/11/2016 | F | FLAT 43 |
| 03/11/2016 | F | FLAT 44 |
| 03/11/2016 | F | FLAT 67 |
| 03/11/2016 | F | FLAT 68 |
| 04/11/2016 | F | FLAT 41 |
| 10/11/2016 | F | FLAT 40 |
| 10/11/2016 | F | FLAT 41 |
| 10/11/2016 | F | FLAT 42 |
| 11/11/2016 | D |  |
| 16/11/2016 | F | FLAT 69 |
| 17/11/2016 | F | FLAT 20 |
| 17/11/2016 | F | FLAT 21 |
| 17/11/2016 | F | FLAT 22 |
| 17/11/2016 | F | FLAT 33 |
| 17/11/2016 | F | FLAT 34 |
| 23/11/2016 | F | FLAT 42 |
| 24/11/2016 | F | FLAT 1 |
| 24/11/2016 | F | FLAT 3 |
| 24/11/2016 | F | FLAT 4 |
| 24/11/2016 | F | FLAT 5 |
| 24/11/2016 | F | FLAT 6 |
| 25/11/2016 | F | FLAT 28 |
| 25/11/2016 | F | FLAT 17 |
| 29/11/2016 | F | FLAT 17 |
| 30/11/2016 | F | FLAT 12 |
| 30/11/2016 | F | FLAT 4 |
| 30/11/2016 | S |  |
| 30/11/2016 | D |  |
| 01/12/2016 | F | FLAT 18 |
| 01/12/2016 | F | FLAT 2 |
| 05/12/2016 | F | FLAT 19 |
| 06/12/2016 | D |  |
| 06/12/2016 | S |  |
| 07/12/2016 | F | 4 |
| 07/12/2016 | F | 7 |


| ASTONIA LODGE |
| :--- |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| 3 |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| 16 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| 5 |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| ASTONIA LODGE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| 24 |
| 4 |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| 20 |
| 25 |
| TREFOIL LODGE |
| TREFOIL LODGE |


| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £279,950 | 54 | £5,184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £160,000 | 42 | £3,810 |
| VINCENT MEWS | SG1 2GB | £480,000 | 114 | £4,211 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £155,839 | 48 | £3,247 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £217,338 | 50 | £4,347 |
| FISHERS GREEN ROAD | SG1 2PB | £490,000 | 123 | £3,984 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £142,500 | 45 | £3,167 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £142,500 | 42 | £3,393 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £115,000 | 34 | £3,382 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,000 | 43 | £3,488 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £160,000 | 39 | £4,103 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £195,000 | 61 | £3,197 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £144,500 | 43 | £3,360 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £206,000 | 56 | £3,679 |
| GLANVILLE CRESCENT | SG1 4FW | £434,995 | 133 | £3,271 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £144,450 | 48 | £3,009 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £229,950 | 70 | £3,285 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £110,000 | 32 | £3,438 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £155,000 | 48 | £3,229 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £152,450 | 45 | £3,388 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £154,450 | 48 | £3,218 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £202,000 | 51 | £3,961 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £135,000 | 70 | £1,929 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £140,000 | 45 | £3,111 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £135,000 | 42 | £3,214 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £130,000 | 41 | £3,171 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £199,950 | 66 | £3,030 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £299,950 | 60 | £4,999 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £349,950 | 74 | £4,729 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £200,000 | 70 | £2,857 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £254,950 | 53 | £4,810 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 52 | £4,807 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £379,995 | 87 | £4,368 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £485,000 | 123 | £3,943 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 53 | £4,716 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £145,000 | 47 | £3,085 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £267,500 | 58 | £4,612 |
| FISHERS GREEN ROAD | SG1 2PB | £485,000 | 123 | £3,943 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £391,995 | 87 | £4,506 |
| CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £130,000 | 55.7 | £2,334 |
| CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £130,000 | 56.1 | £2,317 |


| 08/12/2016 | F | FLAT 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/12/2016 | F | FLAT 11 |
| 08/12/2016 | F | FLAT 7 |
| 08/12/2016 | F | FLAT 9 |
| 09/12/2016 | F | 8 |
| 09/12/2016 | F | FLAT 8 |
| 09/12/2016 | F | FLAT 46 |
| 09/12/2016 | D |  |
| 12/12/2016 | F | FLAT 44 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 73 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 74 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 75 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 76 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 77 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 95 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 96 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 97 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 98 |
| 15/12/2016 | F | FLAT 99 |
| 16/12/2016 | F | FLAT 36 |
| 16/12/2016 | F | FLAT 42 |
| 16/12/2016 | F | FLAT 43 |
| 20/12/2016 | F | FLAT 21 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 11 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 30 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 23 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 24 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 25 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 31 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 70 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 71 |
| 21/12/2016 | F | FLAT 72 |
| 22/12/2016 | D |  |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 17 |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 24 |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 26 |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 32 |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 4 |
| 22/12/2016 | F | FLAT 43 |
| 22/12/2016 | S |  |
| 22/12/2016 | S |  |

SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
TREFOIL LODGE
SKYLINE HOUSE
BRICKDALE HOUSE
3
GEORGE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
ASTONIA LODGE
GEORGE HOUSE
GEORGE HOUSE
BRICKDALE HOUSE
GEORGE HOUSE
GEORGE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
SKYLINE HOUSE
22
VISTA TOWER
VISTA TOWER
VISTA TOWER
VISTA TOWER
VISTA TOWER
VISTA TOWER
22
8
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
CUTTYS LANE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
VINCENT GARDENS
PRIMETT ROAD
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
POUND AVENUE
PRIMETT ROAD
PRIMETT ROAD
SWINGATE
PRIMETT ROAD
PRIMETT ROAD
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
SWINGATE
FISHERS GREEN ROAD
SOUTHGATE
SOUTHGATE
SOUTHGATE
SOUTHGATE
SOUTHGATE
SOUTHGATE
VINCENT GARDENS
VINCENT GARDENS
SOA

| SG1 1AP | $£ 115,000$ | 33 | $£ 3,485$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 105,000$ | 32 | $£ 3,281$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 120,000$ | 35 | $£ 3,429$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 115,000$ | 33 | $£ 3,485$ |
| SG1 1AB | $£ 192,250$ | 72.05 | $£ 2,668$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 120,000$ | 35 | $£ 3,429$ |
| SG1 1AS | $£ 216,000$ | 57 | $£ 3,789$ |
| SG1 2GD | $£ 509,995$ | 123 | $£ 4,146$ |
| SG1 3EE | $£ 399,950$ | 84 | $£ 4,761$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 152,450$ | 45 | $£ 3,388$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 142,500$ | 42 | $£ 3,393$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 140,000$ | 41 | $£ 3,415$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 132,450$ | 40 | $£ 3,311$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 220,000$ | 62 | $£ 3,548$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 120,000$ | 35 | $£ 3,429$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 120,000$ | 35 | $£ 3,429$ |
| SG1 1AX |  | $£ 115,000$ | $\# D I V / 0!$ |
| SG1 1AX | $£ 145,450$ | $\# D I V / 0!$ |  |
| SG1 1AX | $£ 376,950$ | 72 | $£ 5,235$ |
| SG1 3DZ | $£ 37,450$ | $\# D I V / 0!$ |  |
| SG1 3EE | $£ 399,950$ | 88 | $£ 4,545$ |
| SG1 3EE | $£ 449,950$ | 105 | $£ 4,285$ |
| SG1 1AS | $£ 153,614$ | 32 | $£ 4,800$ |
| SG1 3EE | $£ 254,950$ | 53 | $£ 4,810$ |
| SG1 3EE | $£ 267,500$ | 58 | $£ 4,612$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 151,000$ | 43 | $£ 3,512$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 155,000$ | 49 | $£ 3,163$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 147,450$ | 44 | $£ 3,351$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 210,950$ | 64 | $£ 3,296$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 199,950$ | 61 | $£ 3,278$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 145,000$ | 43 | $£ 3,372$ |
| SG1 1AP | $£ 207,000$ | 56 | $£ 3,696$ |
| SG1 2PB | $£ 485,000$ | 123 | $£ 3,943$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 196,000$ | 68 | $£ 2,882$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 231,500$ | 60 | $£ 3,858$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 215,000$ | 68 | $£ 3,162$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 215,500$ | 68 | $£ 3,169$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 220,500$ | 50 | $£ 4,410$ |
| SG1 1AR | $£ 242,000$ | 67 | $£ 3,612$ |
| SG1 2GD | $£ 424,995$ | 94 | $£ 4,521$ |
| SG1 2GD | $£ 299,995$ | 68 | $£ 4,412$ |


| 23/12/2016 | F | FLAT 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23/12/2016 | F | FLAT 57 |
| 23/12/2016 | S |  |
| 04/01/2017 | F | FLAT 40 |
| 04/01/2017 | F | FLAT 58 |
| 04/01/2017 | F | FLAT 60 |
| 04/01/2017 | F | FLAT 63 |
| 04/01/2017 | F | FLAT 64 |
| 06/01/2017 | F | FLAT 31 |
| 06/01/2017 | F | FLAT 44 |
| 06/01/2017 | D |  |
| 09/01/2017 | F | FLAT 29 |
| 09/01/2017 | F | FLAT 26 |
| 10/01/2017 | F | FLAT 34 |
| 10/01/2017 | F | FLAT 29 |
| 11/01/2017 | F | FLAT 2 |
| 12/01/2017 | F | FLAT 18 |
| 12/01/2017 | F | FLAT 28 |
| 12/01/2017 | F | FLAT 49 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 35 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 10 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 13 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 14 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 15 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 21 |
| 13/01/2017 | F | FLAT 37 |
| 16/01/2017 | F | FLAT 1 |
| 16/01/2017 | F | FLAT 62 |
| 20/01/2017 | F | FLAT 5 |
| 20/01/2017 | F | FLAT 16 |
| 20/01/2017 | F | FLAT 70 |
| 20/01/2017 | F | FLAT 27 |
| 23/01/2017 | F | FLAT 11 |
| 23/01/2017 | F | FLAT 18 |
| 24/01/2017 | F | FLAT 56 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 14 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 15 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 2 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 29 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 40 |
| 27/01/2017 | F | FLAT 22 |


| VISTA TOWER |
| :--- |
| VISTA TOWER |
| 7 |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| 23 |
| VISTA TOWER |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| VISTA TOWER |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| ASTONIA LODGE |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| VISTA TOWER |
| VISTA TOWER |
| SKYLINE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| BRICKDALE HOUSE |
| VISTA TOWER |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| GEORGE HOUSE |
| VISTA TOWER |


| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £215,000 | 68 | £3,162 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £222,500 | 68 | £3,272 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £297,995 | 68 | £4,382 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £239,000 | 59 | £4,051 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £232,000 | 67 | £3,463 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £230,250 | 59 | £3,903 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £235,250 | 67 | £3,511 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £226,000 | 60 | £3,767 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £218,500 | 68 | £3,213 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £234,000 | 60 | £3,900 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £419,995 | 94 | £4,468 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £229,000 | 60 | £3,817 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £150,000 | 46 | £3,261 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £228,000 | 60 | £3,800 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £245,000 | 83 | £2,952 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £165,000 | 68 | £2,426 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £235,500 | 67 | £3,515 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £240,000 | 67 | £3,582 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £248,000 | 60 | £4,133 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £284,950 | 53 | £5,376 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £170,000 | 59 | £2,881 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £170,000 | 67 | £2,537 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £170,000 | 60 | £2,833 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £170,000 | 59 | £2,881 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £212,000 | 68 | £3,118 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £219,000 | 68 | £3,221 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £175,000 | 68 | £2,574 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £214,000 | 68 | £3,147 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £264,950 | 52 | £5,095 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £198,500 | 68 | £2,919 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £195,000 | 68 | £2,868 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AP | £250,000 | 84 | £2,976 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £148,274 | 42 | £3,530 |
| SWINGATE | SG1 1AS | £201,674 | 52 | £3,878 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £234,000 | 68 | £3,441 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £254,950 | 53 | £4,810 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £248,567 | 53 | £4,690 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 52 | £4,807 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £254,950 | 53 | £4,810 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £270,713 | 53 | £5,108 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £210,000 | 68 | £3,088 |


| 27/01/2017 | S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 39 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 12 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 19 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 20 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 25 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 3 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 30 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 38 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 45 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 46 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 48 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 52 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 53 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 55 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 59 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 66 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 72 |
| 31/01/2017 | F | FLAT 9 |
| 31/01/2017 | S |  |
| 03/02/2017 | F | FLAT 26 |
| 10/02/2017 | F | FLAT 8 |
| 22/02/2017 | F | FLAT 33 |
| 23/02/2017 | F | 6 |
| 24/02/2017 | F | FLAT 3 |
| 24/02/2017 | F | FLAT 36 |
| 24/02/2017 | F | FLAT 51 |
| 27/02/2017 | F | FLAT 25 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 1 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 10 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 16 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 20 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 21 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 22 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 23 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 24 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 26 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 27 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 28 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 32 |
| 28/02/2017 | F | FLAT 34 |

5
ASTONIA LODGE VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER 6

AStonia lodge GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE TREFOIL LODGE GEORGE HOUSE VISTA TOWER VISTA TOWER GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE GEORGE HOUSE

| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £304,995 | 68 | £4,485 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £294,950 | 52 | £5,672 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £203,000 | 68 | £2,985 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £217,500 | 60 | £3,625 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £230,000 | 59 | £3,898 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £229,000 | 59 | £3,881 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £219,000 | 54 | £4,056 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £241,500 | 59 | £4,093 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £249,000 | 67 | £3,716 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £248,000 | 59 | £4,203 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £222,500 | 68 | £3,272 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £255,500 | 67 | £3,813 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £228,500 | 68 | £3,360 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £259,500 | 67 | £3,873 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £250,000 | 59 | £4,237 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £244,500 | 60 | £4,075 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £230,000 | 68 | £3,382 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £278,375 | 53 | £5,252 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £221,000 | 60 | £3,683 |
| VINCENT GARDENS | SG1 2GD | £297,995 | 68 | £4,382 |
| POUND AVENUE | SG1 3DZ | £314,950 | 51 | £6,175 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £269,950 | 54 | £4,999 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £369,950 | 83 | £4,457 |
| CUTTYS LANE | SG1 1AB | £185,000 | 58.07 | £3,186 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 52 | £4,807 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £221,000 | 68 | £3,250 |
| SOUTHGATE | SG1 1AR | £215,000 | 68 | £3,162 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 53 | £4,716 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £242,984 | 84 | £2,893 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £238,621 | 83 | £2,875 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £349,950 | 91 | £3,846 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £181,127 | 54 | £3,354 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £235,239 | 75 | £3,137 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £242,003 | 83 | £2,916 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £170,981 | 53 | £3,226 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 53 | £4,716 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £249,950 | 53 | £4,716 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £349,950 | 91 | £3,846 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £235,239 | 74 | £3,179 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £238,587 | 75 | £3,181 |
| PRIMETT ROAD | SG1 3EE | £174,363 | 53 | £3,290 |


| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 35 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 36 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 37 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 38 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 39 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 41 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 6 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 7 | GEORGE HOUSE |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 5 | VISTA TOWER |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 68 | VISTA TOWER |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | S |  | 14 |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | S |  | 16 |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | S |  | 17 |
| $28 / 02 / 2017$ | S |  | 21 |
| $01 / 03 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 54 | VISTA TOWER |
| $02 / 03 / 2017$ | F | FLAT 61 | VISTA TOWER |
| $21 / 03 / 2017$ | F | 11 | TREFOIL LODGE |
| $29 / 03 / 2017$ | F | 1 | TREFOIL LODGE |
| $31 / 03 / 2017$ | D |  | 14 |
| $31 / 03 / 2017$ | S |  | 18 |
| $13 / 04 / 2017$ | D |  | 2 |
| $28 / 04 / 2017$ | D |  | 1 |

PRIMETT ROAD
PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD PRIMETT ROAD SOUTHGATE SOUTHGATE VINCENT GARDENS VINCENT GARDENS VINCENT GARDENS VINCENT GARDENS SOUTHGATE SOUTHGATE CUTTYS LANE
CUTTYS LANE
FISHERS GREEN ROAD VINCENT GARDENS VINCENT GARDENS VINCENT GARDENS

SG1 3EE
SG1 3EE
SG1 3EE £168,580 53 £3,181
SG1 3EE £247,110 $91 \quad £ 2,715$
SG1 3EE £238,621 $74 \quad £ 3,225$
SG1 3EE £309,950 54 £5,740
SG1 3EE £234,563 $77 \quad £ 3,046$
SG1 3EE £252,500 $58 \quad £ 4,353$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SG1 1AR } & £ 213,500 & 59 & £ 3,619\end{array}$
SG1 IAR £247,500 67 £3,694
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SG1 2GD } & £ 364,995 & 87 & £ 4,195 \\ \text { SG1 2GD } & £ 374,995 & 87 & £ 4,310\end{array}$
SG1 2GD £374,995 $87 \quad £ 4,310$
SG1 2GD £424,995 $94 \quad £ 4,521$
SG1 1AR £237,000 $60 \quad £ 3,950$
SG1 1AR £210,000 $68 \quad £ 3,088$
$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { SG1 1AB } & £ 200,000 & 72.05 & £ 2,776\end{array}$
SG1 2PB E460,000 123 E3,231
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SG1 2GD } & £ 399,995 & 120 & £ 3,333\end{array}$

| SG1 2GD | $£ 499,995$ | 123 | $£ 4,065$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG1 2GD | $£ 499,995$ | 123 | $£ 4,065$ |

踢|

## Appendix 5 - CoStar Data

## Office Lease

| Start Date | Address |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $30 / 05 / 2017$ | 92B High St |
| $15 / 05 / 2017$ | Babbage Rd |
| $15 / 05 / 2017$ | Babbage Rd |
| $05 / 04 / 2017$ | 3 Drapers Way |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | 17 High St |
| $15 / 03 / 2017$ | $1-15$ Rutherford Close |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | Babbage Rd |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | $1-15$ Rutherford Close |
| $31 / 03 / 2017$ | Whittle Way |
| $01 / 11 / 2016$ | Rutherford Close |
| $28 / 09 / 2016$ | Babbage Rd |
| $01 / 09 / 2016$ | Danestrete |
| $01 / 09 / 2016$ | 33 Julians Rd |
| $01 / 09 / 2016$ | 15 Town Sq |
| $02 / 08 / 2016$ | 37 The Oval |
| $01 / 08 / 2016$ | Babbage Rd |
| $01 / 08 / 2016$ | 6A Rutherford Clfs |
| $01 / 08 / 2016$ | Rutherford Close |
| $01 / 07 / 2016$ | Ditchmore Ln |
| $12 / 08 / 2016$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $11 / 09 / 2016$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $01 / 06 / 2016$ | Bessemer Dr |
| $01 / 06 / 2016$ | $103 A$ High St |
| $01 / 07 / 2016$ | Rutherford Close |
| $01 / 06 / 2016$ | Danestrete |
| $01 / 05 / 2016$ | Rutherford Close |
| $30 / 04 / 2016$ | Rutherford Close |
| $01 / 03 / 2016$ | Walkern Rd |
| $01 / 02 / 2016$ | Walkern Rd |

Floor
1st
2nd
GRND,1
2nd
BSMT,GRND
1st
2nd
GRND
GRND
GRND
1st
3rd
1st
2nd
GRND
1st
GRND
2nd
3rd
1st
1st
$1 s t$
$1 s t$
GRND, 1
$2 n d$
GRND
$2 n d$
$1 s t$
$1-2$

| Total SF |
| ---: |
| Leased |
| 700 |
| 710 |
| 1,650 |
| 982 |
| 749 |
| 920 |
| 1,425 |
| 1,107 |
| 1,528 |
| 8,543 |
| 695 |
| 618 |
| 986 |
| 802 |
| 3,556 |
| 695 |
| 1,130 |
| 1,514 |
| 508 |
| 7,215 |
| 11,000 |
| 150 |
| 591 |
| 2,020 |
| 1,173 |
| 4,085 |
| 1,355 |
| 2,500 |
| 6,062 |

Industrial Lease

| Start Date | Address |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12/06/2017 | Leyden Rd |
| $12 / 06 / 2017$ | Leyden Rd |
| $15 / 05 / 2017$ | Crompton Rd |
| $15 / 05 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $07 / 05 / 2017$ | Leyden Rd |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $03 / 04 / 2017$ | 28 Wedgwood Way |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $01 / 04 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |
| $01 / 01 / 2017$ | $24-26$ Boulton Rd |
| $23 / 12 / 2016$ | Gunnels Wood Rd |


| Floor | Total SF <br> Leased |
| :--- | ---: |
| GRND | 1,800 |
| GRND | 1,800 |
| GRND | 3,880 |
| GRND,1 | 3,710 |
| GRND | 1,800 |
| GRND | 14,782 |
| GRND | 11,365 |
| GRND | 1,350 |
| GRND | 2,262 |
| GRND | 2,465 |
| GRND,1 | 2,449 |
| GRND | 9,757 |


| Rent/SF/Yr | Service | Business <br> Rates/SF/Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14.00 | FRI |  |
| 14.00 | FRI |  |
| 7.60 | FRI |  |
| 8.62 | FRI | 3.35 |
| 14.00 | FRI |  |
| 6.50 | FRI | 2.57 |
| 6.50 | FRI | 2.57 |
| 8.15 | FRI | 3.50 |
| 7.50 | FRI | 3.85 |
| 8.00 | FRI |  |
| 10.19 |  |  |
| 6.50 | FRI | 2.57 |


| $22 / 01 / 2017$ | Gunnels Wood Rd | GRND | 21,744 | 5.75 |  | 2.61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23/11/2016 | Gunnels Wood Rd | GRND | 2,262 | 7.50 | FRI | 3.85 |
| $01 / 01 / 2017$ | 2 Eastman Way | GRND | 21,320 | 7.50 | FRI | 1.41 |
| $01 / 09 / 2016$ | 6 Fulton Close | GRND, 1 | 13,321 |  | FRI | 2.93 |
| 17/08/2016 | Gunnels Wood Rd | GRND | 14,615 | 5.75 |  | 3.92 |
| $01 / 10 / 2016$ | Babbage Rd | GRND,1 | 18,864 | 7.00 | FRI | 2.80 |
| $01 / 07 / 2016$ | Gunnels Wood Rd | GRND | 3,897 | 6.50 |  | 2.84 |
| $01 / 07 / 2016$ | Gunnels Wood Rd | GRND | 3,820 | 6.50 |  | 2.84 |
| $01 / 06 / 2016$ | Bouldton Rd | GRND | 1,859 |  | FRI |  |
| $01 / 05 / 2016$ | Wedgwood Way | GRND | 670 | 11.19 | FRI | 4.49 |
| $09 / 02 / 2016$ | Whittle St | GRND,1 | 5,048 |  |  |  |
| $01 / 02 / 2016$ | Eastman Way | GRND,1 | 9,250 | 7.52 | FRI | 3.25 |

## Appendix 6 - Residential Appraisals

The pages in this appendix are not numbered.

# New Base <br> Cover 

Stevenage - Residential for CIL Update


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | New Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site make up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 3 | Units | Area | Density | Unit Size | Developed | Density |  | Total Cost | Rate | Locality | een/Bro | ve Use |  |
|  |  |  |  | ha | Units/ha | m2 | m2 | m2/ha |  |  | £/m2 |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast Exten |  |  | 550 | 19.97 | 27.54 | 87 | 48,023 | 2,405 |  | 55,035,438 | 1,146.02 | South East | Green |  |  |
|  |  |  | Beds | No |  | m2 | Total |  | BCIS | CosT |  | Area | Gross | 28.12 |  |
|  | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | Net | 19.97 |  |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 58 |  | 70.00 | 4,060.00 |  | 1,088 | 4,417,280 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 85 |  | 84.00 | 7,140.00 |  | 1,088 | 7,768,320 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 69 |  | 79.00 | 5,451.00 |  | 1,086 | 5,919,786 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 96 |  | 93.00 | 8,928.00 |  | 1,086 | 9,695,808 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | 3 | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 58 |  | 125.00 | 7,250.00 |  | 1,267 | 9,185,750 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 19 |  | 150.00 | 2,850.00 |  | 1,267 | 3,610,950 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 6 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat |  | , | 45 |  | 58.00 | 2,610.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 3,623,202 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 54 |  | 70.00 | 3,780.00 |  | 1,088 | 4,112,640 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 56 |  | 84.00 | 4,704.00 |  | 1,088 | 5,117,952 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 0 |  | 79.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 93.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | , | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 10 |  | 125.00 | 1,250.00 |  | 1,267 | 1,583,750 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  | 150.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 4 | Units | Area | Density | Unit Size | Developed | Density |  | Total Cost | Rate | Locality | een/Bros | ve Use |  |
|  |  |  |  | ha | Units/ha | m2 | m2 | m2/ha |  |  | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | Locar | , |  |  |
| Greenfield 1 |  |  | 45 | 1.30 | 34.62 | 88 | 3,943 | 3,033 |  | 4,522,769 | 1,147.04 |  | Green |  |  |
|  |  |  | Beds | No |  | m2 | Total |  | BCIS | COST |  | Area | Gross | 1.42 |  |
|  | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | Net | 1.30 |  |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 4 |  | 70.00 | 280.00 |  | 1,088 | 304,640 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 7 |  | 84.00 | 588.00 |  | 1,088 | 639,744 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | , | 6 |  | 79.00 | 474.00 |  | 1,086 | 514,764 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 8 |  | 93.00 | 744.00 |  | 1,086 | 807,984 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | , | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 125.00 | 625.00 |  | 1,267 | 791,875 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 2 |  | 150.00 | 300.00 |  | 1,267 | 380,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | , | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 4 |  | 58.00 | 232.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 322,062 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 4 |  | 70.00 | 280.00 |  | 1,088 | 304,640 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 5 |  | 84.00 | 420.00 |  | 1,088 | 456,960 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 0 |  | 79.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 0 |  | 93.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | 3 | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 0 |  | 125.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  | 150.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 84.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






| Number |  | 13 | Units | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | Density erage Unit Size |  | New Base Site make up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Developed | Density <br> m2/ha |  | Total Cost <br> 1,238,730 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rate } \\ \text { £/m2 } \\ 1,540.71 \end{array}$ | Locality -een/Brown Iternative Use |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Units/ha | m2 |  | m2/ha <br> 4,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PDL 4-Flats |  |  | 12 | 0.20 | 60.00 | 67 | 804 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1, } \\ \hline, 40.72 \end{gathered}$ | Brown |  | Commercial |
|  |  |  | Beds | No |  | m2 | Total |  | BCIS |  | COST | Area | Gross | 0.20 |
|  | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | Net | 0.20 |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 7 |  | 70.00 | 490.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 680,218 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0.0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 0 |  | 79.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 93.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | , | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  | 125.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  | 150.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 0.0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | , |  | 79.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 93.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | 3 | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  | 125.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  | 150.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 1 | 3 |  | 58.00 | 174.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 309,494 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 2 |  | 70.00 | 140.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 249,018 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 14 | Units | Area | Density | Unit Size | Developed | Density |  | Total Cost | Rate |  | -en/Bro | Iternative Use |
|  |  |  |  | ha | Units/ha | m2 | m2 | m2/ha |  |  | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{m} 2$ |  |  |  |
| Small A |  |  | 10 | 0.33 | 30.00 | 101 | 1,005 | 3,015 |  | 1,164,166 | 1,158.37 |  | Brown | PDL |
|  |  |  | Beds | No |  | m2 | Total |  | BCIS | COST |  | Area | Gross |  |
|  | Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | Net | 0.33 |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | , |  | 84.00 | 168.00 |  | 1,088 | 182,784 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 2.0 |  | 79.00 | 158.00 |  | 1,086 | 171,588 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 3.0 |  | 93.00 | 279.00 |  | 1,086 | 302,994 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | 3 | 0 |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  | 125.00 | 250.00 |  | 1,267 | 316,750 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | , |  | 150.00 | 150.00 |  | 1,267 | 190,050 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 High* |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 2 High* |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 3 High* |  | 3 | , |  | 84.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat |  | 1 | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,262 | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Terrace |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 84.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,088 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semi |  | 2 | 0.0 |  | 79.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 93.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,086 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Det |  | 3 | , |  | 102.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  | 125.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | , |  | 150.00 | 0.00 |  | 1,267 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flat 1 Hig** |  | , | 0 |  | 58.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | , |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 70.00 | 0.00 | 10\% | 1,617 | 0 |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  | Site 1 | Site 2 western | Site 3 Southeast | Site 4 | Site 5 | Site 6 | Site 7 | Site 8 Highewn | ${ }_{\text {Site } 9}$ | Site 10 | Site 11 | Site 12 | Site 13 | Site 14 | Site 15 | Site 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Northern Extension | Western <br> Extension | Southeast Extension | Greenfield 1 | Greenfield 2 | Greenfield 3 | Greenfield 4 | High Town Centre Flats | Town Centre | PDL 1 | PDL 2 | PDL 3 | PDL 4 - Flats | Small A | Small B | Small C |
|  | Green/brown field |  | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown |
|  | Use |  | Agriculural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Paddock | Paddock | Paddock | Paddock | Retail | Community | PDL | Commercial | PDL | Commercial | PDL | PDL | PDL |
|  | Locality |  | North | West | South East | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Town Centre | Town Centre | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Site Area | Gross | ha | 50.00 | 90.53 | 28.12 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 4.65 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 1.40 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.33 | . 20 | 0.10 |
|  | Net | ha | 25.00 | 47.12 | 19.97 | 1.30 | 0.75 | 0.40 | 3.50 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 1.25 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| Units |  |  | 800 | 1,350 | 550 | 45 | 30 | 16 | 122 | 350 | 50 | 50 | 24 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| Average Unit S |  | m2 | 87.31 | 87.32 | 87.31 | 87.62 | 87.97 | 90.06 | 87.68 | 67.86 | 68.16 | 88.94 | 88.13 | 91.07 | 67.00 | 100.50 | 93.00 | 109.67 |
| Mix | Intermediate to Buy |  | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable Rent |  | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | Social Rent |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.0\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Price | Market | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 |
|  | Intermediate to Buy | f/m2 | 2,438 | 2,438 | 2,438 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,405 | 2,405 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 | 2,015 |
|  | Affordable Rent | £/m2 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 |
|  | Social Rent | f/m2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Grant and Subs | si Intermediate to Buy | £/unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Affordable Rent | £/unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Social Rent | £/unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per Quarte |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Build Time |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Alternative Use | Value | f/ha | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| Up Lift \% |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Additional Uplif |  | £/ha | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Easements etc |  | £ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Legals / Acquisi |  | \% land | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | \% |
| Planning Fee | <50 | £/unit | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 |
|  | >50 | £/unit | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Architects |  | \% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% |
| Qs/PM |  | \% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% |
| Planning Consu | Itants | \% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.00\% |
| Other Professio |  | \% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% |
| Bcis |  | £/m2 | 1,146 | 1,146 | 1,146 | 1,147 | 1,145 | 1,149 | 1,148 | 1,779 | 1,779 | 1,146 | 1,156 | 1,171 | 1,541 | 1,158 | 1,086 | 1,224 |
| Cfsh |  | \% | 1.00\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Energy |  | £/m2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water |  | £/m2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acc\& Adpt |  | £/m2 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| suds |  | £/m2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Small Sites |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6\% | 6\% | 13\% |
| Site Costs |  | \% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Pre CIL 5106 |  | £/Unit |  |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Post Cll s106 |  | £/Unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
|  |  | £/m2 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ит |  | \% |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Contingency |  | \% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 5.00\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
| Abnormals |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% | 5.00\% |
|  |  | £/site | 7,811,800 | 15,185,850 | 2,221,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| finance | Fees | £ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Interest | \% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 6.00\% |
|  | Legal and Valuation |  | 608,000 | 962,000 | 499,000 | 48,000 | 32,000 | 22,000 | 116,000 | 224,000 | 70,000 | 62,000 | 38,000 | 25,000 | 21,000 | 18,000 | 9,000 | 5,000 |
| SALES | Agents | \% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
|  | Legals | \% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% |
|  | Misc. | £ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Developers Prof | \% of costs (before int | terest) | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
|  | \% of GDV |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |













| Evelopumericosis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wo | $\underset{\substack{\text { Lams } \\ \text { sump oun }}}{ }$ | lunit or m2 63,716 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {Iamb }}$ | 3 soan | 202183 |
|  | Phamipre | com |  |  |
|  |  |  | coiche | 1382001 |
| Wstrucrow |  | ${ }_{2}^{1.350}$ | citiver |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | come |  |  | 25906 | ${ }_{\text {n,200711 }}$ |
| funce | rees |  | unam |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {anamo }}$ |
| smes | Ampas |  |  |  |
|  | $\substack{\text { Lemes } \\ \text { mex }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | rofit \% of costs (before interest) |  |  |  |







| Noone | ${ }^{\text {®1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {®1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q2 }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{94}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ |  | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{\text {a1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCOUE | 。 | － | － | － | 。 | － | ${ }^{1200957}$ | ${ }^{1220969}$ | \％ | ${ }^{2120969}$ | ${ }^{1220098}$ | ${ }^{122}$ | 5 | 121095 | 12 | － | 。 | － | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | 。 |
|  | csom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sump ouy | ${ }^{3.1080}$ |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\because$ | ： |  |  | ： | ： | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ： |
|  | 6，so | ： | ： | $\because$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  |  | ! |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ! | ! | : | $\therefore$ | ： | \％ | ： | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sommo | ， | ： |  | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ！ | $\bigcirc$ | ： |
|  | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\xrightarrow{30,73}$ |  | someas | smeen | Smemen | Ssames | soseam | smomea | 30.73 | Is， 31 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 。 | － |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {20，}}$ | $\underbrace{\text { anem }}_{\substack{\text { anden } \\ \text { anem }}}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {20，}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ： | ： | ${ }^{480}$ | ${ }^{9.786}$ | $\stackrel{12682}{120}$ | ${ }^{12.682}$ | ${ }^{1.465}$ | ${ }_{2}^{12,488}$ | ${ }^{1.4689}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{14.682}$ | ${ }^{12.458}$ | ${ }^{\text {92ras }}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4884}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | asom | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| ，hemem | ： | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{3.5092 \\ 6.05}}$ | cos | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{3659 \\ 605}}$ |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{3659 \\ \text { geos }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{35052 \\ \text { ceas }}}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{3659 \\ \text { geos }}}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{3659 \\ 6.05}}$ | coss | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ，ors6 | － | Sos6 | essam | ${ }_{855}$ | estis | ${ }_{\text {88，302 }}$ | ${ }_{888} 8$ | ${ }^{\text {883，32 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Essas }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Essas }}$ | LS53188 | ${ }^{23292}$ | 20687 | ${ }^{2668}$ | \％ | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ataion |  | 15322 | 15997 | 2825 | 3s，00 | 40076 | ${ }^{\text {e23e }}$ | ${ }_{5173}$ | ${ }^{53,96}$ | ${ }^{49895}$ | ${ }^{4.958}$ | 4.008 | ${ }^{20 \times 51}$ | 89 | － | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | － |  | 。 |  |  |
|  |  | 1532 | ग1／5s7 |  | \％1727 | samen |  |  | $2{ }^{268} 27$ | Stcoab | $5_{52,38 \mathrm{Ea}}$ |  | 23，as | ${ }^{1.1,6,45}$ | ${ }^{1278,300}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1．720956 |




| Noone | ${ }^{\text {®1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {®1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q2 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{94}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{\text {a1 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q1 }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mowe | － | － | － | － | 。 | － | 466590 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{23297}$ | ${ }^{\text {g29al7 }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{922987}$ | \％2097 | ${ }^{27297}$ | － | － | 。 | － | 。 | － | 。 | 。 |  | － |
|  | ssomo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sump ouy | ${ }^{2580}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\because$ | ： |  |  | ： | ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ： |
|  | 4.50 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  |  | ： | coin | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ! | ! | : | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： |
| Sommo |  | ： |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| Sutcosasis bee | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {chasi }}$ |  | 32259 | ${ }^{\text {andoes }}$ | ${ }^{42}$ | criose | ar209 | ${ }_{\text {criosa }}$ | 34.68 | ，0as | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | 。 | － | － | － | － | － |  | － |
| 隹 |  |  |  | ana |  |  | cose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ： |  |
| Compery | ： | ： | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{306}$ | ${ }_{0}^{117 \%}$ | $\stackrel{1988}{1988}$ | ${ }_{0}^{23585}$ | ${ }^{23585}$ | ${ }_{0}^{2355}$ | ${ }_{\text {23s5 }}{ }_{0}$ | ${ }^{23585}$ | ${ }_{\substack{15789}}^{10}$ | ${ }_{\text {7asa }}{ }_{0}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  | ： |
| ，manem | 32000 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | ： | ： |  | $\underbrace{\text { ata }}_{\substack{\text { 2ates } \\ \text { atas }}}$ | $\substack{\text { 20，188 } \\ 4.85}_{\text {ats }}$ | $\substack{20.188 \\ 4.358}$ |  |  |  |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | A 18 | \％ossh | satas | S0066 | 6\％\％92 | 6e978 | \％s\％or | ${ }_{\text {Scsiol }}$ | 32，${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{20685}$ | ${ }^{\text {3，}}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ： |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |
| －octuctatuation |  | ${ }^{20,96}$ | 0，795 | 1724 | 23.30 | ${ }^{2225}$ | ${ }^{12,49}$ | ${ }^{\text {aspan }}$ | 1220 | 3329 | ${ }^{30,30}$ | ${ }^{21,79}$ | ${ }^{2055}$ | － | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | － | 。 | 。 |  |  |
| pormen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oecemember | ，momas |  | 20，47 | ${ }^{\text {an2 } 288}$ | א¢Tw | 102911 | ${ }^{23372}$ |  | Samo | 50917 |  |  | 20230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Moome | ${ }^{\text {Q1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Q1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| weove | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | 5 S6598 | ${ }^{785388}$ | ${ }^{182888}$ | ${ }^{573588}$ | ${ }^{858588}$ | 56568 | 。 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 。 | － | $\bigcirc$ | 。 |
|  | 20.700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stamp Duty } \\ & \text { Easements etc. } \\ & \text { Legals Acquisitic } \end{aligned}$ | （8200 | ： | ¿ | ！ | ： | ！ | ！ | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ： |
| Peanipre | $\substack{\text { s．ise } \\ \text { gitas }}$ | ! | ${ }_{\text {ssites }}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  |  | ： |  | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | $\bigcirc$ |
| suicoserscis bee | 。 | 。 |  | ${ }^{1937 m}$ | zaoas | 38989 | cerse | sa，oen | וs\％7 | ${ }_{n}$ ，511 | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 。 | － | － |
|  |  |  | 2089 | Irsom |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { com }}$ |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| cemome | $\therefore$ | ： | 1.08 | ${ }^{\text {ama }}$ |  | ${ }^{8,20}$ | 8200 |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{12080}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | 22000 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\substack{15152 \\ 2052}}$ |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{2727 \\ 3,780}}$ | $\substack{2728 \\ 3728}$ | $\substack{\text { 272a } \\ 3,780}$ | $\substack{15152 \\ 2050}_{\substack{\text { 20，}}}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | Sas | \％ | S3，487 | 33851 | cis | sessi | －34156 | 30930 | ${ }_{23148}$ | mosas | 25.5 | ${ }_{12,57}$ | － |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  |  | 5.13 | ${ }^{524}$ | 1．ssa | ${ }^{18,37}$ | ${ }^{20.05}$ | 22.64 | ${ }^{\text {n．03 }}$ | 23.50 | ${ }^{17,955}$ | 8.90 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 。 | 。 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | S31，12 | smesen | ${ }^{2,339}$ | ง¢，465 | 50， 0 \％ | \％20as | ${ }^{223} 83$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | 。 | － | － |  |  | \％a， |
| Oememberemed | 30．46 | 37745s | P0426 | L2osen |  | ${ }^{21268276}$ | 207897 | －296802 | ${ }_{12059}$ | sstos | ${ }_{15650}$ | 6ase2 | 6esser | Easage | 6asse | ${ }_{\text {asase }}$ | 6ase2 | Gasase | casas | 6ascer | 6asas | 6ass2 | Esase |  |




| dome | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Q | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{9}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{\text {al }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | ${ }^{83}$ | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wowe | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | ${ }^{2046598}$ | 2 C | 3 | ${ }^{204989}$ | ${ }^{2044683}$ | 2 | S | ${ }^{2043689}$ | S | $2{ }^{203985}$ | 3 | \％ | cosor | － |  |  | 。 |  |
| rues | 20.1280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sumpuy | ${ }^{\text {rasasin }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{20,94}$ | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| Peminfe |  | ： | meass | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ctick | ： | cosm | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| arac | － | $\bigcirc$ |  | vassa | Lirser | ${ }_{1}^{1,7593}$ | Lursen | 1.1785 |  | 1.178 sm | 1.1785 | 1278 sen | 1.78 en | ${ }_{1275 \mathrm{sm}}$ | ${ }^{82230}$ | 48238 | тазs | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  |  |  | 3aras | 37.04 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3270} 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coremex | ： | ： | ${ }^{9789}$ |  | ${ }^{203091}$ | ${ }_{\text {2asa }}^{3}$ | ${ }^{23091}$ | ${ }_{\text {2abas }}^{2309}$ | ${ }^{23039}$ | ${ }_{\text {23091 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2asam }}^{230}$ | $\xrightarrow{20030}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {20，}}^{2030}$ |  |  | ， | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | Hisoom | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| ，hemem | ： | ： |  | ： | ： |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { namas } \\ \text { R213 }}}$ |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { mase } \\ \text { R213 }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ： | ： | － |  |  |
|  | 3， 36 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{1,0.6581}$ | ${ }_{1250902}$ | Stibe | ${ }^{1529268}$ | ${ }_{1.658,86}$ | ${ }_{1,68986}$ |  | ${ }_{1.65896}$ | ${ }_{1.689886}$ | ${ }^{1,56886}$ | ${ }_{\text {Lemige }}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13022}$ | ${ }_{\text {s／8888 }}$ | sp2as | ${ }_{\text {LOSPs5 }}$ | 820 | 1，0，02 | － |  |  |  |  |
| alcatacuen mmex |  | ${ }^{\text {¢6739 }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {rosen }}$ | ${ }_{\text {max }}$ | ${ }^{113,50}$ | ${ }^{13,47}$ | ${ }^{20958}$ | ${ }_{129876}$ | O3 | 20022 | ${ }_{\text {max }} 8$ | Sce | ${ }^{\text {s．1．10 }}$ | ${ }^{5322}$ | ${ }^{23} 38$ | 51.1 | － | 。 | － | 。 | 。 |  |  |
| Pomones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sos |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  | Sopat |  | ${ }^{1.6646]}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cher }}$ |  |  | 66876 | \％erive | 2sare | 12970 | ama | ${ }^{22388687}$ | ${ }^{23,43,38}$ | ${ }^{468985}$ | aras | A73939 |  |  |  |





|  |  | nem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | so | ${ }_{\substack{385 \\ 15}}^{\text {nes }}$ |














|  | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sumpoany | $\begin{aligned} & 262,500 \\ & 10,500 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{2 \\ 2050}}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ， | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| Pempee | cis | ： | Siteen | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  |  | ： |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | － | － |  |  |  | 1 | seapl | 264620 | v2，${ }^{\text {aso }}$ | － | － | － | － | 。 | 。 | － | － | 。 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  | ： | ： | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | cin | cos |  | cos | ！ | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | 。 | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | $23^{\circ} 50$ | － | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ․ | ： | ： | 망 |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { and }}}_{\substack{2388 \\ 3908}}$ | $\underbrace{\text { and }}_{\substack{2388 \\ 390}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { and }}}_{\substack{2988 \\ 390}}$ |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | mann | $\bigcirc$ | 30020 | 35820 | \％ | atese | ${ }^{20,085}$ | 304s52 | 150.95 | ${ }_{27,99}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 2，7a }}{ }$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： |  |  |  |  |
| ancasuation |  | 6093 | 123 | ${ }^{\text {unas }}$ | ${ }^{16589}$ | ${ }^{22296}$ | ${ }^{30912}$ | ${ }^{29} 92$ | ${ }^{29,93}$ | 13.90 | 2027 | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | 。 | － | 。 | 。 | － |  |
| Pontocosov |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | coin | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {S5L5．165}}$ |  | \％ex |  |  | ${ }^{125854}$ | \％ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | － | sone |  | Someab |





|  |  | ＊ | mome |  | $\underbrace{\text { che }}_{\substack{\text { prec } \\ \text { cma }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cov }}$ | ${ }_{\text {com }}^{\text {m }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| omoma | ${ }^{1005}$ | 1006 | ${ }^{10}$ |  | ${ }_{3.10}$ | 3.125 so | ${ }^{1008}$ |
| Sommat | 1005 | ${ }_{0}$ | 。 |  | 2015 | 。 |  |
| Nuosabemeat | ${ }^{105}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 。 |  | ${ }_{1}^{2, a 0}$ |  |  |
| Soculeme | 1205 | \％ | － |  | 。 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ： | ： |  |
| Stinemateme |  |  | \％ | mm |  | 3.12 sso | ${ }^{2} .200$ |




$\xrightarrow{3 \text { Cational Poft }}$


|  |  | ${ }^{\text {nie }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{10 \\ 10}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{385 \\ 15}}$ |










| Moome | ${ }^{\text {Q1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{91}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{91}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wcone | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | ${ }^{33987}$ | － | ${ }^{33989}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{33087}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － |
|  | 万500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stamp Duty } \\ & \text { Easements etc. } \\ & \text { Legals Acquisitic } \end{aligned}$ | ， | ： | ！ | ！ | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ！ | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
| Pemin |  | ： |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ¿ | ： | ¿ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  |  | ： |  | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： | ： | ！ | ： | ： |
| suicoserscis bee | 。 | － | $\xrightarrow{8,788}$ | ${ }_{\text {sfrse }}$ | ${ }^{131517}$ | 58 | 13.517 | s\％788 | ss7rs | 。 | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | － | 。 | － | － | － | 。 | － | － |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2888}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack { \text { a } \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{2000 \\ \text { anc }{ \text { a } \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { 2 0 0 0 \\ \text { anc } } }\end{subarray}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 20 }}{2 \times 8}$ |  | ${ }_{2}{ }_{2}$ | $\underbrace{\text { cose }}_{\substack{\text { com } \\ \text { 200 }}}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | ： | $\underbrace{\substack{2848}}_{\text {che }}$ | ${ }^{2888}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{2088}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2ase }}}^{2080}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{2088 \\ 2088}}_{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | $\bigcirc$ | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | $\therefore$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{5}^{0}$ | ： | ： | $\therefore$ | ： | ： | 。 | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |
|  | ： | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\therefore$ | ： | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\substack{10,198 \\ 1200}}$ | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： | ： |  |
|  | ${ }^{11460}$ | － | 10，46 | 86091 | ${ }_{\text {spoas }}$ | ${ }_{624}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {e2as }}$ | ${ }_{7,538}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 n 88 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ！ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  |  | 1.668 | 1.90 | 3.38 | 475 | ${ }^{7.109}$ | ${ }^{8.47}$ | 5232 | ${ }^{2} 28$ | 2.480 | 243 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 。 | － | － | 。 | 。 |  |  |
|  |  | ． 1.46 |  |  | IS5200 | 6，59 | 110.62 | 5r，me | 8\％， 3 \％ | $2{ }^{206}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － | － |  | － |  |  | 1 ，27，76 |
| Oemembeimed | －110．60 | ，122ns | ${ }^{228858}$ | 318．85 | －73837 | Sasic | ${ }^{3} 5014$ | A17800 | 40，48 | ．12899 | 18278e | 129780 | 128276 | ${ }_{\text {12erge }}$ | 12978 | ${ }_{122} 186$ | crise | ${ }^{58}$ | 182786 | 120788 | ${ }_{\text {cereme }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cereme }}$ | Ierze |  |

Green/brown field
Use

| Site Area | Gross |
| :--- | :--- |
| Units | Net |
| Mix | Market <br> Intermediate to Buy <br> Affordable Rent <br> Social Rent |
|  |  |

Alternative Land Value $\quad$| $£ /$ ha |
| :--- |
| $£$ sit |

Uplift
Viability Threshold

Residual Va Gross

Additional Profit

| Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 4 | Site 5 | Site 6 | Site 7 | Site 8 | Site 9 | Site 10 | te 11 | Site 12 |  |  |  | Site 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern | Western | Southeas | Greenfiel | Greenfiel | Greenfield 3 | Greenfield 4 | High Town | Town Centre | PDL 1 | PDL 2 | PDL 3 | PDL 4-Flats | Small A |  | Small C |
| Extension | Extension |  | d 1 | d 2 | Green | Greent | Centre Flats | Flats |  |  |  | PDL4-Hat |  |  |  |
| Green | Green | Green | reen | Green | Green | Green | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown | Brown |
| Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultur al | Paddock | Paddock | Paddock | Paddock | Retail | Community | PDL | Commercial | PDL | Commercial | PDL | PDL | PDL |
| 50.00 | 90.53 | 28.12 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 0.46 | 4.65 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 1.40 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 25.00 | 47.12 | 19.97 | 1.30 | 0.75 | 0.40 | 3.50 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 1.25 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 800 | 1350 | 550 | 45 | 30 | 16 | 122 | 350 | 50 | 50 | 24 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 75.00\% | 75.00\% | 75.00\% | 75.00\% | 75.00\% | 75.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 21.00\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 17.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 9.00\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 7.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| 1,250,000 | 2,263,250 | 703,000 | 71,000 | 50,000 | 23,000 | 232,381 | 420,000 | 300,000 | 840,000 | 420,000 | 210,000 | 120,000 | 200,000 | 120,000 | 60,000 |
| 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 20,000,000 | 36,212,000 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 568,000 | 400,000 | 184,000 | 1,859,048 | 105,000 | 75,000 | 210,000 | 105,000 | 52,500 | 30,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 15,000 |
| 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| 21,250,000 | 38,475,250 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 639,000 | 450,000 | 207,000 | 2,091,429 | 525,000 | 375,000 | 1,050,000 | 525,000 | 262,500 | 150,000 | 250,000 | 150,000 | 75,000 |
| 26,032 | 773,215 | \#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\# | 1,840,576 | 1,162,024 | 5,890,349 | 1,144,893 | 1,341,556 | 1,332,468 | 1,810,625 | -105,094 | 1,796,637 | 1,935,411 | 1,432,407 |
| 1,852,064 | 1,485,551 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 2,116,662 | 1,543,041 | 5,890,349 | 1,144,893 | 1,502,543 | 1,554,546 | 1,810,625 | -105,094 | 1,796,637 | 1,935,411 | 1,432,407 |
| 46,301,598 | 69,999,170 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 846,665 | 5,400,644 | 4,123,244 | 572,447 | 1,878,179 | 932,727 | 633,719 | -21,019 | 598,879 | 387,082 | 143,241 |
| 34,022,853 | 46,467,778 | \#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 888,102 | 700,238 | 3,823,641 | 4,138,327 | 211,591 | 931,062 | 446,848 | 400,858 | -176,838 | 376,036 | 254,987 | 70,881 |
| 655 | 531 | 874 | 531 | 459 | 640 |  | 232 |  | 267 | 258 |  | -281 | 374 | 457 | 215 |

## Appendix 7 - Older People's Housing Appraisals


Sheltered Brown
$\underset{\substack{\text { SHELTRED } \\ \text { Brownifeld } \\ \text { 25\% }}}{\text {. }}$

Extracare Green

Extracare Brown


## Appendix 8 - Non-Residential Appraisals



| Indus | strial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Greenfield |  |  |  |  |  |  | Brownfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | cil | £/m2 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 |
| Income | m2 |  | 1000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | £/m2 |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | Capital Value | 100.00\% | 1,000,000 | 1,00,000 | 1,00,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,00,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
|  | Buyers Costs | 4.50\% | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
|  | Capital Value |  | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 | 955,000 |
| Costs | Land Used | Coverage | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% | 66\% |
|  |  | ha | 0.152 | 0.152" | 0.152" | 0.152" | 0.152" | $0.152^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.152"\# | 0.152' | 0.152" | 0.152 | 0.152" | 0.152" | 0.152" | 0.152 |
|  |  | £/ha | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
|  |  | Uplift $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 25.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
|  |  | Site Cost | 64,394 | 64,394 | 64,394 | 64,394 | 64,394 | 64,394 | 64,394 | 113,636 | 113,636 | 113,636 | 113,636 | 113,636 | 113,636 | 113,636 |
|  | Stamp Duty (on VT) | 4.00\% | 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,576 | 4,545 | 4,545 | 4,545 | 4,545 | 4,545 | 4,545 | 4,545 |
|  | Acquisition | 1.50\% | 966 | 966 | 966 | 966 | 966 | 966 | 966 | 1,705 | 1,705 | 1,705 | 1,705 | 1,705 | 1,705 | 1,705 |
|  | Strategic Promotion |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Pre Planning |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Construction | /m2 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 | 752 |
|  |  | £ | £ 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 | 752,000 |
|  | Infrastructure | 15.00\% | - 122,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 122,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 | 112,800 |
|  | Abnormals | 5.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37,600 | 37,600 | 37,600 | 37,600 | 37,600 | 37,600 | 37,600 |
|  | Fees | 8.00\% | 69,184 | 69,184 | 69,184 | 69,184 | 69,184 | 69,184 | 69,184 | 72,192 | 72,192 | 72,192 | 72,192 | 72,192 | 72,192 | 72,192 |
|  | S106 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | CIL |  | 0 | 20,000 | 40,00 | 60,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 | 120,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 40,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 | 120,000 |
|  | Contingency | 2.5\% \& 5\% | \% 21,620 | 21,620 | 21,620 | 21,620 | 21,620 | 21,620 | 21,620 | 45,120 | 45,120 | 45,120 | 45,120 | 45,120 | 45,120 | 45,120 |
|  | Finance Costs |  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |
|  | Sales | 2.50\% | 12,500" | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | 12,500 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500" | 12,500 | 12,500" | 12,500 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 12,500 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 12,500 |
|  | Misc. Financial |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,00 |
|  | Subtotal |  | 1,011,646 | 1,031,646 | 1,051,646 | 1,071,646 | 1,091,646 | 1,111,646 | 1,131,646 | 1,078,462 | 1,098,462 | 1,118,462 | 1,138,462 | 1,158,462 | 1,178,462 | 1,188,462 |
|  | Interest | 7.00\% | 35,408 | 36,108 | 36,808 | 37,508 | 38,208 | 38,908 | 39,608 | 37,746 | 38,446 | 39,146 | 39,846 | 40,546 | 41,246 | 41,946 |
|  | Profit \% GDC | 20.00\% | 209,411 | 213,551 | 217,691 | 221,831 | 225,971 | 230,111 | 234,251 0 | 223,242 | 227,382 | 231,522 | 235,662 | 239,802 | 243,942 | 248,082 |
|  | costs |  | 1,256,464 | 1,281,304 | 1,306,144 | 1,330,984 | 1,355,824 | 1,380,664 | 1,405,504 | 1,339,450 | 1,364,290 | 1,389,130 | 1,413,970 | 1,438,810 | 1,463,650 | 1,488,490 |
| Residual | Land Worth | Site | -301,464 | -326,304 | -351,144 | -375,984 | -400,824 | -425,664 | -450,504 | -384,450 | -409,290 | -434,130 | -458,970 | -483,810 | -508,650 | -533,490 |
|  | Existing Use Value | £/ha | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,00 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
|  | Viability Threshold | f/ha | 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 425,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
|  | Residual Value | f/ha | -1,989,662 | -2,153,606 | -2,317,550 | -2,481,494 | $-2,645,438$ | -2,809,382 | -2,973,326 | $-2,537,369$ | $-2,701,313$ | -2,865,257 | -3,029,201 | $-3,193,145$ | $-3,357,089$ | -3,521,033 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Additional Profit |  | -365,858 | -390,698 | $-415,538$ | -440,378 | -465,218 | -490,058 | -514,898 | -498,086 | -522,926 | -547,766 | -572,606 | -597,446 | -622,286 | $-647,126$ |
|  |  |  | -366 | -391 | -416 | -440 | -465 | -490 | -515 | -498 | -523 | -548 | -573 | -597 | -622 | -647 |









HDH Planning and Development Ltd is a specialist planning consultancy providing evidence to support planning authorities, land owners and developers. The firm is regulated by the RICS.

The main areas of expertise are:

- Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)
- District wide and site specific Viability Analysis
- Local and Strategic Housing Market Assessments and Housing Needs Assessments
- Future Housing Numbers Analysis (post RSS target setting)

HDH Planning and Development have clients throughout England and Wales.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inspector Louise Crosby MA, MRTPI from the Planning Inspectorate was been appointed by the Secretary of State to carry out the examination. The hearings took place in three stages between $17^{\text {th }}$ January 2017 and $30^{\text {th }}$ March 2017.
    ${ }^{2}$ Consultation on the Proposed Main Modifications started at 12 noon on Monday 12 June and ran until 12 noon on Monday 24 July, 2017.
    ${ }^{3}$ Report to Stevenage Borough Council by Louise Crosby MA MRTPI an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government Date 18 October 2017

[^1]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{http}: / /$ planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/revisions/23b/030/
    ${ }^{5}$ Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government v (1) West Berkshire District Council \& (2) Reading Borough Council. Court of Appeal $11^{\text {th }}$ May 2016 [2016] EWCA Civ 441. Case No: C1/2015/2559.
    ${ }^{6}$ As set out from paragraph 4.42 of the 2015 Viability Study.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ From PPG Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 55-001-20150318
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{www}$. gov.uk/government/publications/fixing-the-foundations-creating-a-more-prosperous-nation
    ${ }^{9}$ https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/housing-white-paper

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/community-infrastructure-levy-review-report-to-government
    ${ }^{11}$ From section 5.1.1

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ Residential Property Focus. Savills. Issue 12017 - http://pdf.euro.savills.co.uk/uk/residential-property-focus-uk/residential-property-focus-issue-1-2017.pdf

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ The Land Registry makes all transactions available as and when they are registered via the 'beta' format tool at https://www.gov.uk/government/statistical-data-sets/price-paid-data-downloads. It does take some time for transactions to be registered - we estimate this to be about 4 to 6 months.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ Private Registered Provider Social Housing Stock in England: Statistical Data Return dataset 2016 (SDR16_Aff_Rents_by_LA_GN)
    https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/558686/SDR Data Release 2016 v01.xlsx

[^7]:    ${ }^{15} £ 4,200 @ 6 \%$ Yield $=£ 70,000 /$ room .60 rooms at $22.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=1350 \mathrm{~m}^{2}+20 \%$ circulation space $=1,620 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ Land value estimates for policy appraisal. Department for Communities and Local Government, December 2015

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ Point 2, Page 15, Land value estimates for policy appraisal. DCLG, December 2015

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ This was discussed at some length at the Local Plan hearings.
    ${ }^{19}$ This was prepared by EC Harris.
    ${ }^{20}$ Delivering sustainable buildings: Savings and payback. Yetunde Abdul, BRE and Richard Quartermaine, Sweett Group. Published by IHS BRE Press, 7 August 2014

[^11]:    ${ }^{21}$ REPORT TO GEDLING BOROUGH COUNCIL, THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE REF PINS/N3020/429/4, MAY 2015

[^12]:    Source: HDH (September 2017)

[^13]:    ${ }^{22}$ Greater Norwich Development Partnership - for Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk Council. by Keith Holland BA (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI ARICS Date: 4 December 2012
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