

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
| 1.0      | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>I am a resident in the old town since 2000.</p> <p>During the past 20yrs the parking for residents has become more difficult in part because of the huge carpark adjacent to waitrose carpark being made a pay and display so local workers look for alternative parking nearby, families growing up and children increasing the number of cars per household.</p> <p>The increase in commuters is another huge factor.</p> <p>The proposed residential parking plan for streets on one side of Letchmore Road is understandable .</p> <p>But I cannot understand the reasoning behind the decision to stop at one side of Letchmore road.</p> <p>The streets on the other side already have commuters, local workers parking on a day basis.</p> <p>Once the restrictions come into place all that is going to happen is these workers and commuters will join the ever growing number parking on the other side of Letchmore Road.</p> <p>Most of these streets have very little if any available off street parking for residents.</p> | <p>This response is concerned with the knock-on effects of the proposed scheme, and likelihood of parking displacement into other streets.</p> <p>Residents in surrounding streets were included in the survey conducted last year, and this probability was drawn to their attention, however overall they were not in favour of pre-emptive action to address it and parking controls to prevent problematic parking cannot be pursued without the support of residents.</p> <p>If following the completion of this project residents in those streets experience difficulties, any requests they wish to make for parking controls will be considered for progression as they would in any other street.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>Families with children who now have cars are not going to purchase 3 or 4 permits to cover all their vehicles, they will park the excess number ' just across' the road which will have a huge impact on residents who live there.</p> <p>Streets which have no off street parking for residents should be included in this plan otherwise you are not solving anything just moving and exacerbating the problem for those residents living on the other side of the street.</p> <p>I struggle to leave my house in my car these days as I get anxious about parking and unloading on my return,if you do not reconsider the proposed area this will have a huge impact on myself and many other people in the same situation with mobility issues but dont qualify for disabled parking.</p> <p>Please please reconsider this plan, think about the knock on effect.</p> |                  |
| 2.0      | Blyth Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p>  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |            |           | <p>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions</p> <p>I am writing on behalf of my mother whom is disabled and housebound, as she has carer's coming to her 3 times each day, every week, plus family to tend to other household needs, is it possible to have a bay marked outside her home 33 Stanmore Road, Stevenage SG1 3QA, or the kerb outside her house to be dropped with lines showing no parking, she does have a disabled parking permit, but can only be used with her in the car, please advise accordingly how best to support the needs of my elderly mother. For some considerable time questions were raised by other residents to make Stanmore Road a one-way system, thereby easing the congestion at either end of the road. Surgery end would be a suitable entry and have top end of Stanmore Road which leads into Basils Road made a No Entry. Traffic also comes in from Letchmore Road, and this leads to all sorts of traffic problems. Thank you in advance for your co-operation</p> | <p>The proposed Caring Visitors Parking Permits would provide for the necessary caring visits referred to in this response.</p> <p>Making a street one-way is a matter for Hertfordshire County Council.</p>   |
| 3.0      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>While I am in full agreement for the long overdue resident permit scheme, I feel strongly that the costs are ridiculous!</p> <p>You are penalizing families with more than one</p>   | <p>The proposed costs are in line with the expectations provided to residents when they were surveyed in 2019, and which a majority of responses found acceptable, calculated with the objective only of making the scheme financially viable. See "Affordability" and</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>car! This is greed, a profit making scheme!</p> <p>Am I correct in saying that as we have 3, my wife's car, daughters car and my van, I have to pay £266.00 a year to park in the road we live in? Its disgraceful. If anything it should be slightly cheaper for 2nd and 3rd car or at the very least the same £60 per permit!</p> <p>Every resident I speak to says the same, very unfair and greedy to charge more per permit Most families have more than one car today! Its not as if there is a congestion issue with residents cars as this is proven on a Sunday when there is lots of space. Its the high street staff who congest the street and now we could suffer because of the prices!!</p> <p>That's not to mention family who regularly visit in the day time, more money to be made!</p> <p>I actually have another car (4th) which I rent a garage from SBC and that cost seems to go up every year and is no longer a reasonable cost anymore, I keep asking if I can buy the garage but "No, not at this time" I'm told.</p> <p>Please reconsider the permit costs with an understanding for families. We have endured years of not being able to park in the roads we live in because of SBC changed the High St parking times and started charging which resulted in</p> | <p>"Possible courses of action" in consultation report. There is no intention to generate a "profit".</p> <p>Graduated permit costs reflect the greater utility enjoyed by multi-car households storing their vehicles on street, and serve to encourage reduced car ownership where possible in future while helping enable affordability for single vehicle households.</p> <p>On average households in this area own around 1.2 cars, suggesting that most would only need one permit.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |            |           | residential streets becoming congested<br>The general view is that, we the residents are in agreement to pay for permits to be able to get parked where we live but for a fair cost ie £60 max per permit, discounted for 2nd,3rd   |   |
| 3.1      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>How do we give views on this before February 13th or to the local councillor? Is there poll for this?</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 20 Jan 2020, at 09:28, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>If the scheme goes ahead as proposed, the cost of three paper permits in one household would indeed be £266, or slightly less if using virtual permits. On average households in this area own around 1.2 cars, suggesting that most would only need one permit, and the proposed costs are in line with the expectations we shared with residents when surveying their views last summer. Proposed prices work out at between £1.08 and £2.30 per week, with the variable pricing both reflecting the greater utility experienced by households who park</p> | The resident was advised their previous comments had been logged, how to respond further, and how to contact their local councillors. |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |            |           | <p>more vehicles and providing a nudge towards decreased car ownership.</p> <p>That said the purpose of the consultation is of course to find out residents' views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure your concerns are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but when this may be will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 3.2      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>We have been crying out for help for years</p>  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |            |           | <p>regarding not being able to park in our road, however these prices are too much! It should be cheaper for second and third car, you are penalising families with more than one car. It's not right! I believe the answer is to give staff of the high street free parking in a designated car park in Primett rd, where the lorry's park sometimes. This is always empty. There is ample space for residents in the roads around the old town, it's the business cars that take advantage of free parking in these roads. Give them a car park that's free. Also add signs in all these roads showing (Residents parking only) This should deter most shoppers or business cars.</p> <p>At least try this for a period.</p> <p>Also if the permit scheme goes ahead the high street business cars will just go out to further roads and congest them, moving the problem!</p> <p>Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>It would be not be appropriate for the Council to provide free or discounted parking for employees of certain businesses, encouraging them to travel in an unsustainable manner and giving them preferential treatment over other members of the public. Nor would it be viable to administer such an offer given the operational practicalities of the car park entry systems.</p> <p>Advisory signs of this sort cannot lawfully be erected on the highway.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0 above.</p> |
| 3.3      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>I've left my view on the link site.</p> <p>Have you considered allowing free parking for all that can prove they work in the High street? The large car park in Primett Road is always very empty, the far end where lorry's park sometimes. This would solve most of the problem with congested residential roads!</p> <p>There is ample space in and around the old town, it's the all day workers that don't want to pay for car parks. So give them a free area.</p>   | <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p>   |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |            |           | <p>Also put up signs in the proposed roads showing Residents Parking Only and this would deter many shoppers and workers</p> <p>Then I'm sure this would be great for everybody.</p> <p>Regards</p>  |  |
| 3.4      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>Hi again</p> <p>The more I think about this proposed scheme the more it makes me agree and I along with many will not be paying £266.00 for our family's three vehicles to park where we live!</p> <p>The council decided to charge for parking in the car parks around the high street which in turn lead to business staff who will not pay to park, congest the residential roads</p> <p>So why on earth should the people who have lived in these roads for years have to pay!</p> <p>The council and the business cars caused the problem and it should not be down to the residents to pay to help resolve it!</p> <p>Regards</p> | <p>If permit parking controls are implemented, and a resident declines to pay for a permit or permits, it will be their responsibility to find alternative legal parking for their vehicle(s). If they do not and park in contravention, they will be liable to receive a penalty charge.</p> <p>To provide a permit parking area without charging those who benefit from it would mean the cost ultimately falling on all residents in the Borough regardless of whether they live in the affected streets or even own a car. See officer comments on response 3.0 above.</p> |
| 3.5      | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>Hi</p> <p>Apparently Ingleside Drive have them? And it does deter hospital visitors..</p>   | <p>The signs referred to on Ingleside Drive are on private land, not on the public highway.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address                  | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|--------------------------|--|------------------|
|          |                          | <p>It would be beneficial as low cost and even if 50% of people were deterred it would be good</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 5 Feb 2020, at 10:35, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your further email, I have logged this also.</p> <p>We are only allowed to put up signs on the road saying there is a parking control where there actually is one so wouldn't be able to place advisory signs I'm afraid. We also know from locations near the new town centre where other departments have done (so on SBC owned land rather than the road) that without enforcement such signs will simply go ignored by commuters/workers.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 4.0      | High Street<br>Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Drapers Way</p>  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>As a resident on the high street we do not have the privilege of parking outside our homes. We have to adhere to the parking restrictions on the high street, meaning I spend my life moving my car or walking in excess of ten minutes. It is a constant battle to beat the parking attendant and I have always felt it is unfair that we are unable to park anywhere near our homes, I would say the only place in Stevenage where you can't. The council carparks are too expensive in excess of £50.00 a month which adds to already extortionate costs of living on the high street. The majority of the time I am not at home during the restrictions but on the day or two that I work from home and on a Saturday I have no where to park, which results in me parking in nearby residential streets which are also subject to these changes, which means I would actually have no where to park my car.</p> <p>An alternative would be to give discounted chips for the council carparks, but I would be more than happy to pay for a permit if it meant I could enjoy parking outside my home out of restricted times and not having to set constant alarms to move my</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>This resident would be eligible for a permit under the proposals and would therefore be able to park in permit holder or shared use bays.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>car in fear of getting a ticket. I have also been a victim of car vandalism on the high-street, including my car being keyed, scuffed with sandpaper, driven in to, egged and covered in ketchup. if I was able to park under my window on Drapers Way it would mean I had the reassurance that I could see my car and not having to rush to move it at 8.30am every morning.</p> <p>I appreciate that not everyone will be in favour, as many of those already have the option of parking outside their properties, but as we do not have this facility I am very much in favour of the changes. Thanks.</p>   | The support is noted, though unfortunately changes may have to be made in this location: see "Other issues" in consultation report. |
| 5.0      | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>. I have lived in this road since 1995 and there was never a parking problem until the council introduced Pay and Display car parks.</p> <p><b><u>I am strongly against any parking permits</u></b> within Basils Road. I own and use my Renault Master LM35 Business DCI to undertake my work, I also own a Renault Trafic SL27+DCI 115, which my partner uses for her business, and I own a Jaguar XJ which I use for domestic and pleasure use. Under these ridiculous parking permit proposals I will have to pay <b><u>a total of £249.00 (tax)</u></b> to be able to park my vehicles within a road where I already pay Council Tax. As my Renault Master weighs in on the registration document at 3500 kg and you decide that I will not be allowed</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>Vehicles of 3,500kg would be permitted a</p>                               |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|--|
|          |         |  | <p>to park in the Permit scheme, what provisions are being made for me and anyone else with the same size vehicle to park? <b><u>We need our vans for our work.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>If I am being forced into buying permits that I don't want, why am I, and other residents with two or more cars being penalised. Surely all permits should be the same price.</u></b></p> <p>When I rang the planning officer (he was told the call was being recorded), 6th January at 13.00, I was informed that he had spoken with other councils and this was their charging method, don't you have a mind of your own and make you own decisions based on the resident complaints. He also told me that no decision had yet been taken and that he had already given the parking contract to a company to enforce.</p> <p>I was also told that 90% of the resident in Basils Road, Grove Road and Stanmore Road, (these were the only roads getting the permits), wishing to have Parking Permits, 90% of how many residents?</p> <p>The Old Town of Stevenage is dying and this is only going to make the situation worse, you are going to drive away the last few people that use</p> | <p>permit if the scheme goes ahead; it is vehicles with a maximum authorised mass greater than this that would be unable to park during controlled hours.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>This refers to a conversation in which the resident did not allow the officer to complete their answer beyond the initial introduction that it is common practice; see officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>It is correct that no decision has been taken; the member of the public was not advised that a contract had already been awarded but that enforcement would be conducted within an existing contract.</p> <p>This inaccurate information appears to have been communicated in error by an unidentified officer or councillor, and cannot be commented on.</p> <p>If this scheme goes ahead it is not considered likely to significantly impact customers visiting the Old Town, who would benefit from</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>the last few remaining shops.</p> <p>When are you going to wake up?</p> <p><b><u>Are you that desperate to introduce Parking Permits to make more money?</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Are these charges going back into residential facilities within the Parking Permit scheme?</u></b></p>   | <p>additional spaces being made available for up to three hours where at present there are yellow lines or all-day parking prevents them from stopping, in addition to the existing bays.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>Any surplus this would be spent on local transport and environmental improvements in accordance with legal requirements.</p> |
| 5.1      | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I cannot believe your response to my email, in particular your paragraph covering the enforcement. Perhaps you would like me to send you a transcript of our conversation in which you clearly stated that you had already awarded the contract to a firm. It was also one of your colleagues who informed me that the response was 90 percent. You really do need to be singing from the same song sheet. One lie after another. You also told me that you had contacted other councils regarding the cost of the permits and that you were following suit, now you say that the cost is made up from the survey from last summer. Yet another lie.</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 20 Jan 2020, at 13:00, tro</p> | <p>The resident has misunderstood the information they were given.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>As can be seen in the reply text, this is not what was stated: nor is it untrue that expected costs were shared with residents and that residents who replied to the survey supported the introduction of permits.</p>                                       |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p data-bbox="730 276 1189 308">&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p data-bbox="730 379 1093 411">Thank you for your email.</p> <p data-bbox="730 451 1447 675">Vehicles of 3.5tonnes which can be driven on an ordinary driving licence, such as your Renault Master, would be permitted a permit if the scheme goes ahead; it is vehicles with a maximum authorised mass greater than this that would be unable to park during controlled hours.</p> <p data-bbox="730 715 1451 1082">The proposed costs are in line with the expectations we shared with residents when surveying their views last summer, when as you have been told most said they were in favour of permits. Proposed prices work out at between £1.08 and £2.30 per week, with the variable pricing both reflecting the greater utility experienced by households who park more vehicles and providing a nudge towards decreased car ownership.</p> <p data-bbox="730 1121 1447 1369">Enforcement of this scheme would form part of the overall Parking Enforcement Contract, it is not a separate contract that would be let, and if the scheme goes ahead the charges would be necessary to cover both the external expenditure of providing enforcement within that contract and internal costs to administer the scheme.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>I am unsure upon what occasion you were told that “90% of the resident in Basils Road, Grove Road and Stanmore Road, (these were the only roads getting the permits)” were in favour as I don’t recall such specifics forming part of our telephone conversation, though it may have been mentioned that the proportion in favour was as high as 90% in some streets. Within the streets covered half of properties responded to the survey, a proportion that is high enough to be considered likely to give a genuinely representative view, and 73% of responses were in favour with 23% against and 4% not stated; if you discount those properties that would not need a permit as they have sufficient off-street parking for all their vehicles the proportion in favour increases to 76%, with 23% against and 1% not stated.</p> <p>If this scheme goes ahead it is not considered likely to significantly impact customers visiting the Old Town, who would benefit from additional spaces being made available for up to three hours where at present there are yellow lines or all-day parking prevents them from stopping, in addition to the existing bays.</p> <p>The proposed restrictions have not been put forward to make money, and if they should go</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>ahead and result in a surplus this would be spent on local transport and environmental improvements.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure your concerns are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but when this may be will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |   |
| 5.2      | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>Further to our previous emails I am finding it hard to get my head around any excess from the charges of the parking permits. We are going to have to pay a further 2.4 per cent on our council tax and now you have said that any surplus from the parking permits is going to community projects, and as I said before another way for this council to raise money. I have heard that there could be a surplus of over £100k. This must tell you that the permits are over priced. Personally I'm not interested in funding any community projects apart</p>  | <p>There is no evidence for where the figure for £100,000 surplus comes from. It is grossly at odds with officers' estimations, being several times the likely total income before any costs are then incurred.</p> <p>No mention has been made of "community</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>from the money taken from me via council tax. Had the permits been free for the old town area, I would be more than happy to go ahead with this project. Have you considered making the paved area in front of the Stanmore road health centre into parking bay for them, this would free up around 6 bays from Stanmore road? The comit newspaper report says that with the permits residents will be able to park in front of their own home, what planet do you and they live on?</p>  | <p>projects”.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>This area is private land, owned by the Health Centre. It is believed the Health Centre plans to do exactly this.</p> <p>The council has no control over the drafting and editorial decisions of the press.</p> |
| 5.3      | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I do not see how the parking restrictions are going to benefit the residents in the street. The money earned by the permits will not be going towards helping out the residents in the street and will be going in the councils already VERY large funded pot. The restriction timings will not make a difference to the local area as these are not the affected times. I have 3 vehicles at my address</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 5.2 above.</p> <p>The proposed days and timings of restrictions reflect the preferences of residents when surveyed, in so far as is possible. See</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                                     |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | and I am being FORCED to pay for them. I feel it would be more appropriate to provide residents with free permits, as most areas in and around Hertfordshire adopt this courtesy procedure to keep their residents happy. It seems the only thing the 'extra' money is going to are 'community projects', I have never in my whole 27 years of living in Stevenage seen any sort of benefit to these 'community projects' and don't feel it substantial enough to spend our hard earned money on these things. The council tax is already being increased by 2.4% so I am unsure why EVEN MORE money needs to be ploughed into the old town. In actual fact the Old town is in a worse state than I have ever seen it before, so please advise where this extra money is going and what the community projects will include?? As I clearly am not being advised correctly or the money isn't being used correctly, either way it is not good enough. | "Possible courses of action" in consultation report. |
| 5.4      | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>You told me via email last week that the surplus money was to go on community projects. Do you know what you are talking about? Are you in the right job?</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 14 Feb 2020, at 09:11, tro</p>   | See officer comments on response 5.2.                |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear Mr Young</p> <p>Thank you for your further comments sent in via online form on Tuesday of this week. These have also been logged for inclusion in the considerations once the consultation has ended.</p> <p>I am unsure what “extra money” you refer to. If the proposed permit scheme goes ahead, in the unlikely event that it makes a surplus this would form part of the Special Parking Account and how it is spent would be detailed in the Annual Report.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |   |
| 6.0      | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Please could you look into the south side of high street parking for permits too.</p>  | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>I have sent in many emails voicing my concerns about how bad the parking is due to non residents parking throughout the day.</p> <p>We have issue from commuters parking from 5am-7pm, people using old town and town &amp; also from the people living in flats which have options of permits for residents but they choose not to pay &amp; park on the south high street.</p> <p>This has been a great concern for 3 years and is getting worse.<br/>There are plenty of pay and display areas for people shopping/using trains.</p> <p>We get people parking and leaving their vehicles for days and not moving also get people parking and going off in different cars and not returning until late at night. People are also very inconsiderate about how they park, if you can fit 3 cars in an area they will park for only 2 cars can park &amp; this is very frustrating.</p> <p>This is a massive struggle for me due to having two small children, I can't even park to go into my house or even bring in my shopping. I am having to park in the pub which I get blocked in &amp; also have to risk parking in the doctors car park which have clamping restriction.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>Please can you review the permits in this area.</p> <p>South High Street.</p>   |                                       |
| 6.1      | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I have received the letter in regards to the statutory consultation and I have put in my comments.</p> <p>I am contacting you in order to gain further clarification as a result of the proposed parking changes in Stevenage Old Town. There are no proposed changes in my area which I have already expressed an issue with but I believe that commuters will seek other areas to park when permits are put in place therefore leaving the south side of the High Street at risk of further traffic and therefore making the issue with parking in my area worse.</p> <p>Will the parking permits mentioned in the letter for High Street residents include those who live at this end of the Old Town? Or will it be possible to install a timed parking restriction that will prevent commuters from parking at certain times and instead encouraging those to use the pay and display areas that will ultimately generate revenue for the council?</p> <p>Please let me know what is being planned for this part of the High Street,</p> | See officer comments on response 6.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| 7.0      | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your recent letter Ref: TPE/03/19-1/F</p> <p>RE: Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town</p> <p>Your proposal has not taken into consideration <b>any</b> provision for Business Owner's or their Workers to park their vehicles.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p>   | One of the purposes of the proposals is to deter such commuter parking in residential streets. Workplace travel planning is a matter for the employer. |
| 7.1      | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your e-mail.</p> <p>I do not consider my comments as an objection. Merely an observation that local business parking has not been considered. A review of parking taking into considerations people trying to work in the area.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>----- Original Message -----</p> <p><b>From:</b> <a href="#">tro</a></p> <p><b>To:</b></p> <p><b>Sent:</b> Monday, January 20, 2020 2:35 PM</p> <p><b>Subject:</b> RE: 7.0 [External] Business Owner's/Workers parking</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> | The clarification is noted.  |

| Ref. no. | Address      | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|---|--|
|          |              | <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments as an objection and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made. I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but when this may be will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |  |
| 8.0      | Walkern Road | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have never struggled with parking and I don't think I should be forced to pay to park where I live. As a resident I am being punished because other people drive to go to the old town? That is</p>   | <p>Permit parking proposals reflect the overall preferences of residents in the area affected when surveyed last year.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | ridiculous. I am not willing to pay this and I don't see it as fair or necessary in anyway. If you drove past my house today you would easily find a space. It's really not necessary and I feel like it is just another way for you to make money. If this goes through all I will be doing is parking further up the road, which I'm sure others will do, which is going to do nothing but block that end of the road up.   |   |
| 8.1      | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I completely object to this. I live at ## Walkern Road and I have never had a problem parking. You could drive past my house now and easily find a space. I am not willing to pay this and I'm sure plenty of others aren't so all that's going to happen is we all park at the other end of the road and then that will be blocked. I do not understand how I, as a resident, should pay because other people want to drive to the old town. I am also being asked to pay to solve a problem that isn't there. This just seems like a way for the council to make money. I have not been a part of any of the two previous surveys, where were these? When were they sent out? Where can I find the results of the survey? Please reply quickly as this is very concerning to me. I am not willing to fork out any money to be allowed to park outside my own house. I have also filled out the form online to make sure it is seen by someone.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.4 and 8.0.</p> <p>If this resident is not yet experiencing difficulties, it may be that their neighbours favoured permits on preemptive grounds foreseeing the likely possibility of parking displacement into their area if streets with greater issues at present receive protection.</p> <p>Surveys were sent in 2016 June 2019 to all addresses in the area. 2016 survey results are summarised on the Council's website and the results of the 2019 survey are planned to be published in due course.</p> |
| 8.2      | Walkern      | Stevenage | Hi  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|---------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          | Road    | <p>Where can I view the prior surveys? As it states in the letter there was two however I haven't received any. When were they sent?</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 20 Jan 2020 14:41, tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email and online form.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objections and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> | See officer comments on response 8.1. |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | Yours sincerely   |  |
| 8.3      | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>Hi</p> <p>Thank you for reply. It's good to know the majority of my road agree with me that parking is not an issue and are against the plans. Which makes it even stranger that we would now be included in the proposals.</p> <p>Also I never received the letter in July so if the results of that survey are different it could possibly be that the data is not accurate or representative.</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 22 Jan 2020 14:33, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The findings of older of the two surveys, which was sent out in July 2016, can be found on the Council's website at<br/><a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/186581/">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/186581/</a></p> <p>The more recent was sent out in July 2019, but the</p> | <p>A majority of survey responses in that part of the street where permits have been proposed were in favour, although the street as a whole were not.</p> <p>The survey response rate is considered to have been high enough that its findings would be representative.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>findings document is not publically available as it contains personal data.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>  |  |
| 8.4      | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>Hi</p> <p>June or July we still didn't receive a survey so I'm still questioning the reliability of this survey.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 22 Jan 2020 14:49, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>I beg your pardon, I hadn't checked the more recent date as I was confident in my memory, but looking in the file it went out in June rather than July.</p> | <p>While it is possible that some individuals did not receive or did not open their surveys, which were generically addressed, the response rate is considered sufficient to be representative while this next stage of consultation ensured that all residents had an opportunity to express their preferences.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b></p> <p><i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i></p> <p><i>Stevenage Borough Council</i></p> <p><i>01438 242 296</i></p> <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link</i><br/> <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy"><u>http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</u></a></p> <p><b>From:</b> tro<br/> <b>Sent:</b> 22 January 2020 14:33<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 8.2 [External] RE: Proposed Traffic Order Old Town Objection</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The findings of older of the two surveys, which was sent out in July 2016, can be found on the Council's website at <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/186581/">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/186581/</a></p> <p>The more recent was sent out in July 2019, but the findings document is not publically available as it contains personal data.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |  |
| 9.0      | High Street | Stevenage | <p>As an Old Town resident, I read the letter on the new parking restrictions with interest. However, I was disappointed that The Grange appears to have been omitted from the plans. I live at 3 High Street (next door Thomas Alleyns) and suffer from the daily problem of trying to get into and out of my driveway, where cars have parked along The Grange and are restricting my access. I know that the residents from The Grange and Old Swann Court have been in touch with the council to make you aware of the access problems, so I do not plan to go through these again. Please could you confirm what your plans are for The Grange – maybe double yellow lines? I fear that your resident parking permits will only make the parking on our side road worse (if that is possible), as you will have pushed the problem further down the road.</p> | <p>It had been anticipating that the County Council were going to propose yellow lines in The Grange, so they were not included in these proposals. As this has since not come forward it is now expected to form part of another project.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments      |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|
|          |            |           | I look forward to your response.  |                       |
| 10.0     | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you, it's been a long time coming and so glad for all your hard work in proposing this permit scheme.</p> <p>I am pleased with a permit scheme in place the residents will be able to park near their homes, the pricing structure is perfect.</p> <p>Hopefully the those that use the roads to park their vehicles will now use the car parks and give SBC the revenue from them.</p> <p>It's so nice that SBC has listened and taking action</p> <p>Once again thank you</p> | The support is noted. |
| 10.1     | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your reply, ## Grove Road, sincerely hope the permit scheme is out in place</p> <p>Kind regards<br/>NNNN</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 20 Jan 2020, at 15:01, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p>  | The support is noted. |

| Ref. no. | Address                       | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
|          |                               | <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered as part of the decision making process.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number to help with our understanding of the distribution of consultation responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 11.0     | Olde Swann Court<br>Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p>   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I am a director of the management company that represents residents at The Grange and Olde Swann Court and I am writing to ask you to expand the scope of your parking proposals to include restrictions in Old Stevenage.</p> <p>This is the only access for residents at The Grange and Olde Swann Court, and for Thomas Alleyne School. The parking situation has progressively worsened and now it is usual for 6-8 cars to be parked along one side of the road, and around the bend towards Olde Swann Court. Sometimes cars are partially parked on the pavement making walking on the pavement difficult. They are there from before 9.00 am to about 4.00pm.</p> <p>At our last directors meeting, we discussed the number of cars now regularly parking in and obstructing passage along The Grange and there were a number of specific issues that are of real concern. Every resident has, on occasions, been required to back out into the main road due to congestion in The Grange. The Highway Code quotes: 'Do not reverse from a side road into a main road'. Residents are being forced to break</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>the law. This can occur at any time but is especially bad at the end of the Thomas Alleyne School day when parents, teachers and students are going home. There is a steady stream of vehicles from the school grounds, through The Grange to the High Street and anybody trying to access The Grange from the High Street is forced to reverse. The High Street is a 2-lane, one way, busy road and it is a great concern that an accident will be inevitable. We have repeatedly made representation to our local council and the county council but they have done nothing.</p> <p>The second area of great concern is in the event that emergency vehicles are required to attend a property at The Grange, Olde Swann Court or, indeed, Thomas Alleyne School, some would find it impossible to get through The Grange. This is particularly true of larger vehicles like Ambulances and Fire Engines. In an emergency, minutes can be vital. As an enclosed living environment, we are required to carry out regular Risk Assessments, and, at the moment risks associated with partially blocked access have no solution, quite rightly a concern to residents. I presume the same applies to Thomas Alleyne School as, like The Grange, they only have vehicular access via The Grange road. I dread to think what chaos would ensue if there were ever a major incident at the school. The school is aware of the problem and has, from time</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>to time, put out 'No Parking' cones but these are largely ignored as people know they have not been placed by the Police and cannot be enforced!</p> <p>No resident wants to be caught in an avoidable accident. No resident wants their property or person compromised by a delay in the arrival of an emergency vehicle. Please can you help?</p>   | See officer comments on response 9.0 above.   |
| 12.0     | Primett Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Primett Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Firstly, the planning proposal map attached to the letter sent to residents dated 16Jan20 failed to clearly display the proposed time limited parking areas along Primett Road, specifically at the North end of the road by the Ashcroft flats.</p> <p>My objection is initially based on the fact that the Council have failed to supply this important information to the residents of the Ashcroft flats as the printed map obscures the area due to a printer bar code on the left of the page.</p> | The council has no duty to supply plans at all, nevertheless a plan was provided but this was only intended to provide an indicative overview. Officers were unaware of unfortunate clipping by the printer code until after the mailing had been instructed when it was too late to do anything about it. More details including larger scale street-by-street plans were available on the Council's website and to view at Daneshill House. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>Additionally the document fails to note how many parking bays are being proposed immediately in front of the flats and also adjacent to the existing flats car parking area.</p> <p>The planning proposal has failed to take into account the very high number of commercial vehicles that already use the dead end of Primett Road for delivery to businesses,. There can be x 3 40' lorries queued at the rear of Tesco Express making deliveries. These vehicles not only use the dead end area, which is being proposed for parking, for turning these large vehicles, but the lorries also park one behind the other immediately at the delivery gate, reducing two lane access. If the planning for the 3hr parking were to be allowed in the area immediately in front of the flats, 9 to 12, then the road would be completely blocked on both lanes.</p> <p>This area of Primett Road is already highly congested with commercial and private vehicles with regular double parking happening in the car park behind the library.</p> <p>Further parking in this limited area should not be encouraged as the lack of spaces is already impacting residents of the flats who often find their assigned parking space in the private car park,</p> | <p>The extents of proposed bays were available to residents on the Council's website and to view at Daneshill House.</p> <p>Proposed parking in one part of the turning head would not obstruct turning, and is in a location where vehicles are already known to wait idling demonstrating that parking here would not cause significant difficulties. Any minor inconvenience could be mitigated by Tesco retiming their deliveries to avoid the queuing described.</p> <p>The carriageway in this location is more than 5.5 metres wide, meeting the technical requirements to be considered suitable for parking on one side of the carriageway.</p> <p>The creation of additional on-street parking would help to relieve parking congestion such as this.</p> <p>Management of private parking is a matter for</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>being used by visitors to other businesses on Primett Road and the High Street.</p> <p>Finally I fail to understand how Primett Road should be adversely impacted by the 'clear and strong demand' for residents parking on roads on the other side of the High Street.</p> <p>The inclusion of Primett Road in the proposal does not appear to be related at all to the demand for permit parking elsewhere, but seems to have been added on to the proposal to increase short stay parking bays for commercial businesses, such as The Hive on Bell Lane which was allowed to open as office space without any provision for parking.</p> <p>Regards,</p> | <p>the owner.</p> <p>Making parking available to assist businesses and residents to be able to park and have visitors is not considered an adverse impact.</p> |
| 13.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>Referance permit parking Stevenage old town Well done at last with introducing parmit parking. I live at 66 Basils rd, and experience chaos with inconsiderate people with cars and SUV's parking across my drive and sometimes leaving the vehicle to drop of or pick up their children from school or the child care nursery across the road..Some people are leaving the cars parked all day in various places up and down the road Hopefully permit parking will help to stop this Kind Regards</p>   | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p>   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| 14.0     | Walkern Road  | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have lived in walkern road for 10 years and have always found a place to park even with all the accessive yellow lines ,some obviously needed near Barclay school,but the rest are unessacary. I presume the scheme is going to go ahead as like anything people object to in stevenage it is not listened to.and I strongly object to the fact that the permits include Saturday's WHY.?Its much quieter in our road.Speaking to neighbour's we feel that you just want to raise more revenue and what is this money going to be spent on.</p> | <p>Proposals reflect the overall preference of residents when surveyed last year.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> |
| 15.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Whilst I'm not opposed to a permit solution I am really worried about the ability for my family to visit me under the restrictions proposed. My children regularly visit me to check in and make sure I'm okay. I would like the possibility of purchasing a annual visitors pass that could be provided to any visitor. The current proposal for 20 is clearly not sufficient for a whole year. This wouldn't even suffice for one month. I don't want to be in a position where I become isolated and people stop visiting me because its just too difficult to park.</p> <p>I would like consideration therefore for the permits to work they do in Hatfield where home owners can purchase annual visitor parking tickets for them to display in the window for short periods of time. Restricting additional permits to households only will not work and I'm extremely worried about your proposals.</p> | Changes to the proposals have been recommended to address concerns such as this. See "Affordability" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report. |
| 15.1     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your prompt response to my query on the consultation process.</p> <p>Whilst I fully understand everything you have said, it still remains that I will be required to purchase daily vouchers for my family to come and see me which is going to cost me in excess of around £40</p>   | See officer comments on response 15.0.  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                      |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|
|          |               |           | <p>per month. This is totally unacceptable. I already pay council tax to live here and I object to having to pay more money to have people come and see me. I'm happy to pay a one off fee for a visitor ticket but I just can't afford what you are proposing. How can you put forward a proposal that will financially impact people in this way it is totally unacceptable.</p> <p>Many people in this street are either retired or like me close to retirement and therefore rely on regular visits from family and friends. I urge you to reconsider this proposal and at least offer an annual visitor pass to each household where they are only applying for one household pass.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                                       |
| 16.0     | Townsend Mews | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>While I understand why Permit Parking controls</p>   | See officer comments on response 1.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>are being proposed, the introduction is not going to solve the issue of parking. All it is going to do is displace the problem to the streets outside the area.</p> <p>What will then happen is that residents in those areas will lobby for their own permit parking zones, and the process will be repeated over and over.</p> <p>In effect, Stevenage will soon become a 'patchwork quilt' of resident parking areas, all over the town. You only have to look at London to see how this works.</p> <p>Stevenage however is not London. People need cars as the public transport provision is nowhere near as good.</p> <p>This proposal is ill thought out. Perhaps if the council did not start to charge for the car parking in the car parks off of Primmatt Road (which used to be free) then there would not be so much of the issue that has not developed for these residents.</p> <p>The council is also not taking a holistic approach here. It continues to approve developments where there is not enough parking for the proposed number of homes. The proposed development on the icon site is a prime example of this. Where do you think people are going to park?</p> | <p>Car park charges serve to manage travel demand, and are set accordingly. Their reduction or withdrawal would serve to encourage trips by car contrary to local and national transportation and environmental policies. The historic decision to introduce such charges is not part of this consultation and cannot be amended as part of this project.</p> <p>Parking at new developments is expected to be provided in line with the Parking Provision SPD. This seeks to balance adequate parking provision to meet genuine need with discouraging excess car ownership and use.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                               |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | Come on SBC.,.. you can do better than this.<br>Where is the joined up thinking?   |  |
| 17.0     | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I can not afford the parking permit, it is not fair. I live here and i can not afford to pay for the permit</p> | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4. |
| 17.1     | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>This is absolutely pathetic, especially the visitors</p>  | See officer comments on response 15.0.         |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>permit side of things. My mum visits me a few times a week at least. I can't afford to keep paying for visitors permits. You don't think about people on benefits.</p>  |  |
| 18.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>We ask you to please read this letter as a resident of one of the roads referenced in the consultation – <b>not</b> as a member of the council:</p> <p>We can't emphasize how strongly we (and other neighbours) feel with regards to the proposed parking permits planned for the various roads around the Old Town.</p> <p>Firstly why we should have to pay for ourselves as residents to park here - outside our own houses!</p> <p>As a resident of Basils Road – we agree that at times, the road does get used for parking by non-residents, people who come to the town to work – and in general, this doesn't cause any issues – many of us go to work so aren't here to worry about it Monday - Friday! But why on earth make the permit restrictions include Saturdays?! This makes no sense at all - and I would like to fully understand the reasoning behind the permits being needed at weekends.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>We feel very strongly that the inconvenience and not to mention the cost that these permits will cause to both us as residents and you all at the council – will far outweigh any benefit that they may bring.</p> <p>We ask you to put yourselves in our shoes and consider these points as a resident of the road – not as a council member.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>  |   |
| 18.1     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for taking the time to reply to my email.</p> <p>I was unaware that some people had wanted the permits 7 days a week (I can't imagine they had really thought that through!) so can see why 6 days would offer a compromise - the ideal compromise however would be to either have permitted parking for 5 days a week (Mon-Fri) <b>or</b> at weekends - but not both.</p> <p>If it is decided that the permit parking must go ahead - can I request that different payment options be considered, particularly for the resident permits? When you break it down to the £1.08 - £2.30 each week as you did - it doesn't sound so much, but to pay for 2 or possibly 3 permits in one go - has the potential to cause an issue for a number of families - us included.</p> | <p>Changes to the proposals have been recommended to allow lower permit prices, and shorter duration permits to spread the cost for residents. See "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Many thanks again for taking the time to read my email.</p> <p>Kind regards<br/>NNNN</p> <p>On 21 Jan 2020, at 13:09, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objections and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>If the scheme did go ahead, the charges would be needed to cover the costs of administering it, and enforcing the restrictions which would require dedicated attention. Carrying out parking enforcement doesn't cover its own costs, so offering free permits would effectively mean the cost being borne by all council tax payers</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address       | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------------|--|------------------|
|          |               | <p>regardless of whether they benefitted from having permits to limit parking to residents. The costs would also act as an encouragement to consider reduced car ownership for those residents who would find it practical, whilst being set at a level that is far from prohibitive and works out at £1.08 to £2.30 a week.</p> <p>The proposed days of operation are based on what residents told us they wanted when we surveyed them last year – and many said they'd prefer seven days a week, which would be even more onerous.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 19.0     | Townsend Mews | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>As a resident of Townsend Mews I find it extremely unhelpful that the Council wish to enforce this new parking situation. I have young children who attend Letchmore Infants School and Clare's Little Bears as well as running a local business from my home and this will significantly hinder our lives. We have two vehicles as both myself and my husband work and due to shift patterns are unable to rely on public transport therefore we have to find alternative parking for one car on a daily basis. This is currently a difficult situation however we are always aware of parking restrictions and are conscious of other residents in the local area therefore ensure we park our vehicles respectfully in roads in the old town. This will now mean that we are unable to park our second car anywhere near our home and will mean that I have to reduce my hours at work to have enough time to park in other parts of the old town whilst then walking to school to pick up my children. Especially seeing as under the proposed restrictions we would not be allowed to pay for a permit for the area which is directly by our home. I think it would be more beneficial to the residents of the old town if the Council spent time and money solving the issue with the homeless people who are living in Holy Trinity Church, the drug paraphernalia often found where my children play</p> | <p>Townsend Mews is a recent development providing an appropriate level of parking for its residents in line with the Council's Parking Standards SPD. It is not incumbent on the Council to provide parking. More sustainable school travel is a desirable outcome.</p> <p>The implementation of these proposals would form part of the Special Parking Account, funds from which cannot be spent on such purposes.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |               |           | in King George Playing Fields, finding businesses to rent the empty spaces in the Old Town High Street, bringing a Post Office back to the Old Town and supporting local businesses who do currently exist in the local area instead of penalising those of us who live there.   |                                       |
| 20.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I vehemently object on the following grounds:<br/>1. There is not a sufficient parking problem to justify a permit. All parking issues on Stanmore road relate solely to the doctors surgery or local nursery and school nearby. I work from home and am therefore aware of traffic during the hours suggested. Our road is not used by people work or shopping in the old town and cars are rarely located on our road for more than 1 hour (unless they are residents cars). The introduction of permits will not resolve the issues experienced a peak times (with the school/nursery/surgery)</p> | See officer comments on response 8.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|---|
|          |         |  | <p>2. The operational hours advertised at Monday to Saturday 8:30am to 5.30pm are too long. I take particular offence to the restrictions on Saturdays as this has a determination effect on my family life. To have to pay for family visits when there is an abundance of parking available on our street on Saturdays is grossly unfair.</p> <p>3. The detrimental impact this will have on the Old town. The Old town is a vital part of the community and with the already massive loss of Waitrose, this will further discourage people for using the Old town.</p> <p>4. The cost. In addition to paying my road tax, to expect me to pay £56 per year to park on my road, and £1 everytime I have a visitor and an additional £10 everytime I get a new car feels very excessive when in the 13 years I have lived on this road I have never been unable to park on my road, during the day or over the weekend. It is a completely unnecessary expense for me to incur (as mentioned above). I can't help but feel this is simply a money making exercise at the expense on local residents!</p> <p>5. Why have Stanmore road residence not been given the same rights as other streets in regards to parking in the 3 hour bays?</p> <p>I feel very strongly that permit parking controls should NOT be put in place on Stanmore road and</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>Three hour limited waiting bays without an exception for permit holders is consistent with proposals for limited waiting bays near services in other streets within the propose permit area to allow access to those services.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | will have a detrimental effect on our road and local community.  |  |
| 21.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I fully support the proposals made to create a Permit Parking Area on Basils Road, and other roads nearby. These changes will significantly improve the quality of life for residents, reduce noise levels, and by reducing the volume of cars using the road as a parking place and stopping the current level of inconsiderate parking by non-residents. It will also stop the practice of some drivers using the road as a 'park and ride', by leaving their vehicles for the day and continuing their journey in colleagues' cars or by walking to the station. It may also help cut down on anti-social behaviour caused by customers of the Wetherspoons and Social Club as they will no longer be able to leave their vehicles overnight on Fridays. i believe these proposals will also benefit my elderly neighbours who have to make frequent</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>hospital/medical trips in their car, only to return to no parking and having to park some distance from their home.</p> <p>It has taken too long for the council to implement these proposals, but I am very pleased that they will hopefully be in place soon.</p>  |  |
| 21.1     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I'm writing in relation to the current proposals to create a permit parking area on a number of roads around the Old Town, including my own (Basils Road). Our road suffers from a combination of High Street workers and shoppers; train commuters, tradesmen using it as a 'park and ride' facility as they head off to other jobs in colleagues' vehicles and customers from the Wetherspoons and Social Club. If we wish to use our own car during the day (Mon-Fri) it is essentially impossible to find a space on our return and on occasion we have to use the paying car park. Also, my own vehicle was damaged by a Wetherspoons customer who drove into it and failed to stop.</p> <p>I have seen some negative comments regarding the current initiative, and wanted to write and express my full support for the proposals. The parking situation on our road is intolerable, and has been for some time now. As the council's own 2004 parking strategy notes, the changes to parking rules for the High St in 2011 caused a significant displacement to our and surrounding</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments                       |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>roads. This was further exacerbated by the unwelcome decision to allow Whetherspoons to open a beer garden.</p> <p>Objective 5 of the parking strategy is: "To reduce the parking nuisance caused by migration of both long-term and short-term parking to residential streets adjacent to the High Street, Old Town". I would argue that the current proposals are entirely in line with this objective and should be implemented as soon as possible, Also, in the 2017 survey carried out by the Council, 72% of those responding within the proposed area (37% of all households) supported the introduction of the permits, with a majority indicating they were prepared to pay for them.</p> <p>After waiting almost a decade for something to be done to address this issue, I would strongly urge that you support these proposals and implement them without further delay.</p> <p>I would be happy to discuss this further with you if helpful.</p> |  |
| 22.0     | Church Lane | Stevenage | <p>I would like to share my objections to the above proposal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Regular Visitors / Child Sitters – My neighbours have two children and their parents come round four times a week to</li> </ol>  | See officer comments on response 15.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>look after the children. They arrive at approx. 16:45 therefore they would need a full day pass for 45mins stay. Based on this the extra cost would be £208 so including the proposed costs for parking (£146) they would be charged a stealth tax of £354 per year. I also do not know when visitors come along – Does this mean I need to purchase passes “just in case”.</p> <p>2. Policing of the permits – I spoke to Mt Phil Howard who advised that this is being policed by two methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Wardens patrolling</li> <li>b. Residents contacting the council (Public Communications)</li> </ul> <p>Option A) - He advised that no further wardens were being recruited and these areas would be added into their KPI's. How are they going to achieve these extra KPI's as well as their current KPI's and what are the KPI measurements/targets to be achieved ? If I am expected to pay for a permit I would expect the council to provide the correct ratio of wardens for the town parking.</p> <p>Option B) - . I questioned public calling the council/number made available. If it was a virtual permit we would not know if they are part of the scheme or not but he stated we should still contact them. So does this not</p> | <p>As compliance is improving in other parts of the town, such as the Broadwater streets where a restriction on pavement parking was introduced last summer, we believe that it would be possible to patrol this area within existing resources – so there would be dedicated attention to the permit area, whilst patrols elsewhere could be reduced. If additional resources were found to be needed, we would look at increasing them.</p> <p>It is only reasonable for the Council to be open to requests for enforcement and offer a reactive service to help residents who are concerned because they believe they are</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>mean I would be calling the council constantly, which I will, to report a car parked, and if so am I not doing the councils job for them so should receive a wage ?</p> <p>3. You are proposing a small number of residents in the high street and adjacent roads will be offered permits/visitor passes as well so you are also increasing the number of cars onto these roads. How is this beneficial ?</p> <p>4. What is the extra money being spent on and how much is expected to be raised by this ? – I agree that initially signage would be needed but if no further signs post year one, so what are you spending this on ? If no more wardens are being recruited there are no extra wages. What is the amount of money expected to be raised by this “scheme” ?</p> <p>5. Doctors Surgery, Schools/Children’s Nurseries/Dentists – You mention some limited waiting parking bays – How many are proposed ?. Where do you expect people to park when visiting these places. Car Parks are available, but this is another income for the council from the car owner. One Car Park on Church lane is permit, and the other is always full. The larger car parks are the other side of the</p> | <p>witnessing a contravention. There would of course be no obligation upon residents to do so and proactive patrolling does typically achieve high levels of compliance.</p> <p>These residents are likely to already park within the proposed permit parking area so allowing them to continue to do so would represent no change while ensuring residents are treated fairly.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 5.0.</p> <p>The extents of proposed bays were available to residents on the Council’s website and to view at Daneshill House.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>high street from the schools, nurseries, doctors and dentists</p> <p>6. Public Consultation Meeting – I have requested that a public meeting be organised for this but advised it will not be – Why not ? I feel the council are railroading this through the system without taking comments seriously from the public. I know from a previous meeting my neighbour went to that this is proposed to being in April, so with all the intentions you have of taking comments on board, you already have plans in place to start in April – Is this not the case ?</p> <p>This is another stealth tax on the car owner and should not be put in place, and another Parking Tax from SBC</p> | <p>Holding a consultation meeting would only serve to exclude those who are unable to attend and give undue weight to the views of those who shout loudest. There is no intention to “railroad this through” and the written consultation process gives all residents a fair and equal chance to express their views and have them taken into consideration.</p> |
| 22.1     | Church Lane | Stevenage | <p>Can you advise, if I have an electric car with a charger unit outside my property how I would be expected to charge my car with the proposed permit scheme.</p> <p>I understand there is no guarantee of parking spaces however within our road we have never had issues and all residents work closely together when parking vehicles. There are 7 houses and 7 cars and we have not experienced issues with parking.</p>  | <p>This would not be affected by the proposed permit scheme.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>As electric cars are the way forward with bans imminent on diesel and petrol cars does the permit scheme need to be rethought to cover this ?</p> <p>NNNN<br/>TTTTT TTTTTT</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 22 Jan 2020, at 12:19, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objections and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>With regard to your specific questions</p> | <p>The proposed permit scheme is suitable for the uptake of electric vehicles.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Many residents in permit areas find it advisable to keep some visitor vouchers on hand “just in case”, but with the proposed “virtual” option it would also be possible to get them instantly so long as you had already set up an account and provided the necessary evidence to show that you are a resident.</li> <li>2. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. As compliance is improving in other parts of the town, such as the Broadwater streets where a restriction on pavement parking was introduced last summer, we believe that it would be possible to patrol this area within existing resources – so there would be dedicated attention to the permit area, whilst patrols elsewhere could be reduced. If additional resources were found to be needed, we would look at increasing them.</li> <li>b. It is only reasonable for the Council to be open to requests for enforcement and offer a reactive service to help residents who are concerned because they believe they are witnessing a contravention. There would of course be no obligation upon residents to do so</li> </ol> </li> </ol> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>and proactive patrolling does typically achieve high levels of compliance.</p> <p>3. A person living in a flat above a business on Church Lane or the High Street is no less a resident than someone in the residential streets, and for them too the proposed permit area covers the closest available unrestricted parking, so it is only fair to them to allow them similar treatment. Residents of Church Lane and the High Street are likely to already park within the proposed permit parking area so allowing them to continue to do so will not increase the number of cars on these roads.</p> <p>4. The proposed charges are intended only to cover the costs of the scheme including its ongoing administration (the cost of permits and other stationery, back office systems and staffing), maintenance (of signs and lines) and enforcement and to encourage people to consider other more sustainable modes of transport. It should be noted that PCNs alone don't cover the cost of carrying out enforcement, especially in permit areas where compliance is tends to be high, and patrols would be specifically dedicated to cover the streets in question. Not knowing how many permits and vouchers residents will use, we can't know precisely what</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>money will be raised, but should there be a surplus this would be spent on transport and environmental improvements in line with legislative requirements. Typically residents will “overbuy” in the first year, particularly with visitor vouchers, then use up their “stock” in the second so it is only in the third year that “normal” behaviour is seen so if the proposals go ahead then at that point prices could be adjusted based on what is possible.</p> <p>5. The extents of proposed limited waiting bays can be found on the council’s website at <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/proposed-traffic-orders/225306/">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/proposed-traffic-orders/225306/</a></p> <p>6. Far from trying to push these proposals through without consulting we have written to every property within the affected area, and those close to its edge, to encourage everyone to tell us what they think so that their views can be fully considered. This will allow a measured decision to be made based on what the public have told us, with a clear audit trail. Holding a consultation meeting would only serve to exclude those who are unable to attend and give undue weight to the views of those who shout loudest. At the same time, whilst attendees might feel that they have “had their say” we</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>would not have a proper record of their specific preferences and attempting to make decisions based on “the feeling of the room” from a limited sample of residents would be inequitable. I don’t have any details of the meeting you refer: though I have heard a rumour that somebody held one late last year, I don’t know who it was or what was discussed. Council officers have not attended any meetings in the Old Town since one convened by the residents’ association that we attended in to advise the findings of a previous survey, in July 2017. It is not the case that the scheme is “a done deal” or the decision has already been taken, and if it is in due course decided that it should go ahead I can’t see it being implemented in the timescale you suggest, so no it isn’t the case that we “already have plans in place to start in April”.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 22.2     | Church Lane | Stevenage | Thank you for your response.   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                                    |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>Apologies, I don't think I was clear. If I have a EV charger attached to my property, installed by myself, how would that work</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>Sent from <u>Mail</u> for Windows 10</p> <p><b>From:</b> <u>tro</u><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 10 February 2020 10:15<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Cc:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 22.1 [External] TPE/03/19-1/F - Parking Proposals in StevenageOld Town - OBJECTION</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>Should the proposed permit area go ahead, on-street electric car charging would be the same as in any other street.</p> <p>As things currently stand, any on-street EV chargers would have to be installed by Hertfordshire County Council, though it seems likely the Borough Council will have this ability added to our Agency Agreement in future.</p> | <p>This would operate as in any other location.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments                               |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>In the absence of formal parking restrictions the space adjacent to the charger could be used by any vehicle despite it being there, but being in a permit area would mean that vehicle would need a permit or visitor voucher. Either SBC or HCC could seek to make a traffic order that would restrict the space next to the charger to electric vehicles (not requiring a permit/voucher) or to only electric vehicles with a resident permit/visitor voucher, which could be subject to time limits or not depending on thinking at the time.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |  |
| 23.0     | Southend Close | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>When we received notice of potential parking permits I rejected the proposal knowing I can not afford the extra £60 a year, now these permits have been put in place I am in the predicament of not being able to afford my permit.</p> <p>Any help would be greatly appreciated with how I am ment to afford this, as I cannot pay this and need my car for my 2 children and work. Thank you.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>  | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4. |
| 24.0     | Church Lane    | Stevenage | <p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I think I may have already sent a draft of this email</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>by mistake, sorry.</p> <p>We received the communication today from the council re: parking permits in th Old Town. We appreciate the need for permits but feel very strongly that this is an extra tax on residents not fortunate to have the benefit of off road parking. One councillor has told us the charge was to cover costs, signage etc..really, every year? As you are no doubt aware we pay very high Council Tax and we feel the first permit should be covered by our Council Tax and a charge made for second and third vehicles. I am sure this would easily cover signage costs. The visitor permit is also too long , we feel £20 for a book of 40 4hour permits would be much more beneficial to the residents as whole day visitor passes are very wasteful, of our money. We would be very interested to see a breakdown of money that the council receive and where the money is to be spent. I do hope the above will be taken into consideration before any decision are made about charges.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>Permit parking income and expenditure forms part of the Special Parking Account and what is received and how it is spent would be detailed in the Annual Report.</p> |
| 25.0     | Alley's Road | Stevenage | <p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I am a resident in Alley's Road, I received your letter to introduce a permit system, albeit I find this the right way to go it does not help me in being able to ensure a parking bay as your proposal for the permit system runs from 8:30am – 17:30pm, we are out all day and return after 17:30pm</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alley's Road" in consultation report..</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>generally when the parking nightmare begins, so I do not see the benefit only an extra cost that I could do without and no guarantee of parking, an utter waste of time? What should be done is the council introducing double yellow lines on the tight bends on the road at the top and bottom to ensure that for one fire engines can get up the road and bigger vehicle deliveries and two to allow people walking on the pathways to not have to step into the road as cars/vans are parked so far onto the pavements.</p> <p>I really think this needs more time to understand what is required, as a permit system under these rules will not work and will become just another cost to the residents which will cause an up roar as the parking nightmare starts in the evenings and overnight, what are the council doing about that??</p> | <p>Restrictions to address this have been noted for possible inclusion in future proposals once the current project has been completed.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report..</p> |
| 25.1     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I live at ## Alleyns Road on the bend at the mouth of the road, where the yellow lines would help conjection</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b> tro [<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>]</p>  | See officer comments on response 25.0.  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |                |           | <p><b>Sent:</b> 22 January 2020 13:04<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Cc:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> [EXTERNAL] RE: 25.0 [External] Parking Proposals in Alleyns Road</p> <p>Dear NNNN<br/> Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and that they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 26.0     | Southend Close | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/> Southend Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/> Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/> I am extremely unhappy about the introduction of a cost for residents to obtain parking permits to park their cars near their homes. Currently, while there may not always be space to park (as non-residents use the parking) residents can park nearby their homes for free. While the introduction of permit parking for residents is a good idea to limit the use of parking by non-residents, the incurrence of a cost for residents to obtain these permits is not the solution and is only putting residents in a worse off situation! I feel that this treatment is very unfair for residents and is at the expense of the residents, as supposed to the non-residents who take advantage of the parking, as they would have to pay for something they didn't need to before and shouldn't need to in the first place! Please reconsider the permit charge, or at least change the permit-requiring days to Mon-Fri (as weekends is often when residents have family/friends visiting). Otherwise I would rather</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |             |           | not have to pay for a permit and just take the chance that there may not be a space available.   |                                       |
| 27.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I welcome the permit parking but believe that the council proposals do not go far enough - I think most residents in the street welcome the scheme but I for one feel that that the proposed enforcement restrictions should be extended to at least 8.30pm every evening - the street serves as a parking zone for patrons of the Standing Order Pub and other High Street Pubs and as I like many residents who commute do not get home until after 5.30pm parking is always problematic after this time - increasing the exclusion period will also discourage anti social behaviour in the street. In this regard I refer to drug dealing and consumption. I have witnessed several youths inhaling helium or taking drugs in their cars before either going to the High Street or more worrying before driving away. There are a lot of families in</p> | See officer comments on response 5.3. |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments      |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|
|          |                |           | the street with children and this is a major issue that would be discouraged if they had to park somewhere else (preferably non-residential).  |                       |
| 28.0     | Alleyns Road   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>This is a great idea since the time limits were placed on the old town high street parking has become very difficult in Alleyns Road with staff of the old town businesses and their customers park in Alleyns road. It is also a good idea to help reduce the number of cars owned in Alleyns Road. Since the older generations have passed away, the new owners have brought with them many more cars. One house in the street, which does not have a driveway, owns 6 cars. This is a great idea and I give it my FULL support.</p> | The support is noted. |
| 29.0     | Southend Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed</p>   |                       |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|--|---|
|          |         |  | <p>restrictions in:<br/>Southend Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I am a pensioner living on Southend close, as are a high percentage of the residents. I strongly object to having to pay for parking on the street that i live. I understand the need for parking permits but feel residents should receive them free. I struggle to live on State Pension without the added cost of parking!</p>   | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.  |
| 30.0     |         |  | <p>Further to the proposals for permit parking only in the above streets, amongst others, I would like to object.</p> <p>Had SBC not enforced parking restrictions in Church Lane and the car parks in Church Lane some years ago this situation would not have arisen. I completely agree that local residents should be able to park near their homes, however why should they have to pay for the privilege? This is all to do with SBC greed. I can't imagine the administration to issue an annual parking permit on-line is £56!</p> <p>I have worked in old Stevenage since 2009, and myself and office colleagues frequent the local</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |            |           | <p>businesses for lunch, shopping etc so bring economic benefit to the area.</p> <p>I believe the cost of the local car parks on Church Lane is approx. £40 p/m - however I, and a number of my colleagues, work 3 days a week and are frequently not in the office on all of those days visiting clients - by the time you deduct annual leave, bank holidays, courses etc the daily cost out of net wages is significant. In addition to this I don't believe there will be enough spaces in the car parks - so where do the office/shop workers park???</p> <p>I have recently had a full knee replacement so can't walk extensively at the moment, so it would appear I have no choice except to pay car park costs, assuming I get a space.</p> <p>Come on SBC, you already charge high prices for the station car parks (£8 for 3 hours the other day!!!). Surely you can go back to making all car parks free of charge in Church Lane and residents to have free permits.</p> <p>Please respond directly to my concerns.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> <p>It is believed that there is adequate capacity in off-street car parks, while parking availability and pricing will encourage those who can to move to more sustainable modes of transport. It is not incumbent on the Council to provide parking.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, 16.0 and 30.0.</p> |
| 31.0     | Grove Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments      |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|
|          |            |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I'm in full agreement with your planned residents parking proposal, ASAP please.</p>   | The support is noted. |
| 32.0     | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Great idea to do resident permit in grove road , many shop keepers all park in our road . There are 3 cars just from the staff of the hair barbers and staff from Costa and all the other shops , it seems to have got worse over the years , so many times when I finish work at 2 and arrive home at 3 pm I can't park I. The road .</p> | The support is noted. |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| 33.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>If you could log my comment as an objection that would be fantastic, I live at NN Alleyns Road. The general consensus of my household, of 4 adults, is that we aren't happy with the permit parking along this road and we do not see how it will affect the parking down Alleyns Road when the most difficult time to park is past 6pm as everyone works monday-friday and the only benefit we will possibly see from it is Saturday however parking is usually very easy then too.</p> <p>Also just to be clear if you own a driveway and park it on there you do not need to pay for a permit? So those that have the driveway are therefore at an advantage over those that don't? Is that not slightly unfair as we are all still parking down the street, the dropped curb still takes up the space that a car would meaning there's less parking, however those with drives do not need to pay for the permit?</p> <p>Also the proposed price of £1.08 and £2.30 a week means nothing if it's being requested as a lump sum, I can't recall how the council would force the residents to pay for this from the flier that was posted.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>NNNN.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3 and 8.0 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>This is the unavoidable reality of any permit parking scheme.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>On 21 Jan 2020, at 08:36, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>Having surveyed residents last year on their views in principle we are now consulting on specific proposals, and while at that time a majority of residents were in favour we now wish to garner everyone's views before any decision is made on whether to go ahead and if so whether first to make changes to the scheme.</p> <p>If you'd like me to log your comments and questions as an objection please can you let me know, and confirm your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>Enforcement officers have no way of knowing or checking where a given car's owner lives, so it is only by obtaining proofs in advance and issuing a permit that a control can be put in place that allows residents to park but excludes others.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>It is necessary to charge for permits to cover the costs of administering and enforcing the scheme, and increased ease in parking would improve the amenity of the area for residents. If residents weren't charged for their permits, the costs of running the scheme would effectively become a charge on every resident in the town, whether they benefit from it or not. Should charges make a surplus, this would be spent on transport and environmental improvements as is required by the legislation, which could mean anything from footway repairs to tree planting to public transport measures.</p> <p>Tiered pricing is common practice, both reflecting the greater utility experienced by households who park more vehicles and providing a nudge towards decreased car ownership. Proposed prices work out at between £1.08 and £2.30 per week.</p> <p>If you don't have off street parking and the scheme were to go ahead, your options would be to purchase a parking permit or to leave your vehicle elsewhere if you do not wish to receive a PCN. Last summer's survey did show higher support for permits among those without drives, or with more cars than they could fit on their drive, than when properties not needing a permit are included, suggesting that residents in your position would generally support these measures.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b><br/> <i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i><br/> <i>Stevenage Borough Council</i><br/> 01438 242 296</p> <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</a></i></p> <p><b>From:</b><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 18 January 2020 15:59<br/> <b>To:</b> tro<br/> <b>Subject:</b> [External] Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town</p> <p>Dear Stevenage Borough Council,</p> <p>I have a few questions regarding your recent implementation of the Parking Permit system along Walkern Road, Alleyns Road, Albert Street etc.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>First of all I am curious as to how implementing the parking permit will affect the parking situation along these roads. As a resident of Alleyns Road I can confirm that I have never had a problem parking along our road between 8:30am and 5:30pm on Fridays to Saturdays. Also the "clear and strong demand from residents" surely must be due to non-residents parking on the roads therefore why must us as residents of these roads be penalised and forced to pay for a permit. Why can we not be excused from the permit if we can prove we are residents on these roads?</p> <p>I would also like to know, if this is put into place, where the money that you will be receiving this will go and how it will be used to "improve the amenities for residents"? As I am unaware of any on going or proposed projects to improve the area surrounding the old town. Will this go towards bringing in more high end stores into the old town? Will we see better business opening up and making the town a nicer and more enjoyable place to live? Also will it make parking easier for those who wish to shop in the town?</p> <p>I am also curious as to why it is so expensive for multi car households? Why are households with more car owning adults forced to pay a substantial amount more than others? Why is there tiered</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>See SBC email reply contained within response.</p> <p>See SBC email reply contained within response.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                               |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>price system for multiple cars that stacks? It seems less like you are trying to regulate the parking around these areas and more like you are trying to squeeze more money out of residents in this area.</p> <p>Finally I would like to question what will happen to those houses with driveways as it seems unfair that us that don't have them are forced to pay to park on the streets we live on. Personally my house requested approval for our curb to be dropped so we could build one (thus removing a car from the street and making more room) however we were denied this. Am I right in thinking that we must now pay to park our car on the road despite the fact we tried to build a drive way?</p> <p>Thank you,</p> | See SBC email reply contained within response. |
| 34.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | My view is that the parking issue is caused mainly by the number of cars per household. The proposal will make no difference to parking in the evenings and the cost is therefore not justified.  | Restricting households to three permits is likely to deter excess car ownership above this number. See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 8.0. |
| 35.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I have received your letter regarding the parking permits in and around the old town. I have strong reservations for this and the detriment that it will cause to the old town as a community. Please see my bullet points as follows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed cost per household for both permits and visitor parking? I presume this will increase year on year? How will this affect families not so financially fluid as to be honest as full time worker but with a family to support, I feel the additional £142.00 plus visitor permits is not achievable. With the cost of having to keep two vehicles on the road as my husband is self employed this is an additional cost I cannot afford, so will you be showing any reduction in my council tax?? I cannot see that during the week your permits will assist me as we both work so therefore a considerable additional outgoing, for no return do you agree?</li> <li>• How will this affect the vulnerable adults in the area with this being implemented? Take</li> </ul> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>for example the number of elderly people in the old town, who have already lost their local supermarket and post office and now may have to pay for family to visit them????? How would a pensioner afford this? Are trying to isolate our community from people that matter?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We seem to have TWO empty car parks in the old town? Both in Primett road...Waitrose empty.....why could this not be utilised more?</li> <li>• Why is scheme being run Monday to Saturday? The old town is already dying a death with the departure of a supermarket and businesses moving away, are you trying to kill it off even more? Even the town centre will offer free parking to encourage people to the businesses, why are you trying to eliminate this in the old town? We need support to bring more into the old town and not push it away? Towns such as Biggleswade also offer free parking to bring business to their town? The main high street does not have enough parking as it is, hence the spill into the road around it....lose this lose custom.</li> <li>• How will weekday business be affected? Again killing off our community?</li> <li>• You are proposing to install 3 hour bays into Stanmore Road (but not other streets) but</li> </ul> | <p>See officer comments on responses 16.0, 30.0 and 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>The Old Town benefits from free on-street parking for customers every day, and additional free limited waiting bays have been included in these proposals. See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 20.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>as I understand, residents will NOT be able to use this? So automatically you are reducing our parking spaces out of peak hours and causing an issue when people can currently park of an evening. Also if we are able to use these bays overnight.....I have to be gone from them by 8.30am? I have a young family and cannot always be in my car at a set time? So will I have to incur additional charges?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Also double yellow lines around the top bend in Stanmore...I AGREE wholly with this decision as emergency vehicles cannot get through BUT! Again doing this will reduce the current parking down our road, even less parking than there currently, it makes no sense????</li> <li>• As a past governor at the local school in Letchmore Road, how is this going to impact the working parents? I myself work in the Pin Green area and my child is at Almond hill...4 days a week I do not have the luxury of walking him to school, walking home and leisurely driving to work I HAVE to drive....so I can imagine the same goes for parent in the not so near vicinity to the school, where will they park now....no doubt in roads without permits but still in a short walk, so you are just pushing the problem elsewhere to other roads?</li> </ul> | <p>Residents would be able to park in these bays, in the same manner as other members of the public.</p> <p>If parking overnight it would be necessary to move from these bays three hours after restrictions started, not at the start of restricted hours. There are no proposed charges for these bays.</p> <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is not unreasonable to prioritise passability and road safety over parking capacity, which would in any case be improved by the removal of non-residential parking.</p> <p>A number of responses raised similar concerns. See "School and nursery parking" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Doctors here offers a vital service to young and old...it can be busy I agree but people need to go there and some need use of a car....the front of the surgery it's a giant concrete slab, why would you not consider making this work for people, you could invest money and make parking spaces along the front of the surgery therefore eliminating some of the congestion during surgery hours.</li> </ul> <p>Personally I feel there is not a sufficient parking problem to justify a permit. All parking issues on Stanmore road relate solely to the doctors surgery 8.30am-6pm....times when most of the residents here are off to work??? Not really a solution is it, just a way to grab money from us?</p> <p>I would like to discuss these matters further, I there any meetings to consult further with our residents? I will also be sending a copy of this to the old town councillors and the comet.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.2</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 22.0.</p> |
| 36.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>The problem on this road is that there are too many residents cars, the parking problem gets worse after 5.30 and then through the evening. Asking the residents to pay for a permit will not resolve this.</p> <p>The road suffers during the day from school drop off's and pick up's and the doctors surgery. I don't think the introduction of parking permits will stop this as the parents and patients will continue to park on the road regardless of having a permit or not.</p> <p>Adding yellow lines takes away parking spaces - perhaps making the road one way will help a lot more.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 8.0, 8.1 and 16.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 35.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 35.0.<br/>See officer comments on response 2.0.</p> |
| 37.0     | Albert Street | Stevenage | <p>REGARDING PARKING PERMIT PROPOSALS IN STEVENAGE OLD TOWN.</p> <p>THIS TENANT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE COMMENTS ON THE PARKING PERMIT CHARGES.</p> <p>HE HAS BEEN ADVISED HE WILL HAVE TO PAY 60.00 UP FRONT FOR HIS PERMIT BUT STATES HE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO AFFORD</p>   | <p>See officer comments o response 3.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | THIS AMOUNT ALL IN ONE GO.<br>HE WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT A PAYMENT PLAN SHOULD BE PUT INTO PLACE TO ASSIST WITH THESE PAYMENTS AS ALL TENANTS IN THESE AREAS WILL BE IN THE SAME SITUATION  |  |
| 38.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I don't think the parking permitted area of the Old Town needs to come up as far as Alleyns Road especially not knowing the impact of other permitted areas yet. The proposed timings are going to be a logistical nightmare for residents, a huge added cost to living in the case of visitor permits and the whole thing is going to make living stressful and an extra worry. Therefore, I want to submit an objection as I am not in support of the proposal.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 5.3.</p> |
| 39.0     | High Street  | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have been working in the local community, and use these roads to park down to come to work.<br/>I and many people I know that work in the high street can not afford parking prices, so therefore will not be able to continue to work for the old town, causing businesses to go down.<br/>There should be a road for people who work for the old town to park, or lower cost parking permits. Either that or we should be able to buy a parking permit for these roads.</p> <p>.</p> | See officer comments on responses 3.2, 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0. |
| 40.0     | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I have just received you letter explaining the proposals for residents permit parking.</p> <p>What I am shocked about is you state following two previous surveys there is a clear and strong demand for permit parking and then go on to list all the streets affected but forgot the South High Street.</p>   | See officer comments on response 1.0.                      |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments                          |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>What about us residents in ( south high street) we are having to put up with not only commuters, new businesses, old town and new town shoppers, King George surgery patients but now the new flats (Platform )that were supposed to have free parking for their residents now being charged resulting that many of them are parking outside our houses on the high street.</p> <p>I have requested permit parking before but was told that the council would not consider it. Now we find we have been Totally overlooked again even though we are the closest to the station.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |   |
| 41.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>There is no problem parking in the street</p>  | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>Monday-Friday 8.30-6. Permits will not reduce the problem of parking in the road at nighttime. The street is old and narrow with most households owning 2+ cars. Permits will not alleviate this problem. I fear that the very expensive permits will not guarantee me even being able to park in the road when I return from work at 6pm. I'll be paying for a permit and having to find a space in the parallel road (Haycroft) which will surely cause more problems elsewhere? To my knowledge there is no problem with parking during the weekdays and I've never witnessed anyone parking in Alleyns toad and going into the town. There is often a lot of space to park during the day time it is the evening when residents return home often unable to park outside my own house. If the permits guarantee me a bay outside my home then that would be something I'd be happy to pay for otherwise I see no point. I think it will just cause more problems for residents in other streets. In regards to Albert street most of the residents are elderly and do not own vehicles again there is no problem down this road I often see ample parking day and night time.</p> | <p>8.1, and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 34.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |
| 42.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>There is no evidence to suggest any daytime issues with parking in Alleyns Road. I would be keen to understand how this assessment has been made? Alleyns Road is not an adjacent road to the High Street and is a 10 minute walk from Hellards Road (where the restriction is proposed to extend to), to the High Street. It is highly unlikely shoppers, workers looking for on street parking will add additional demand to Alleyns Road. Why is Alleyns Road being treated differently to roads in closer proximity, such as Haycroft Road and Pound Lane? The parking issues in Alleyns Road occur in the evening, when people return home from work. These proposals do nothing to alleviate resident parking issues on the street.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1, and “Alleyns Road” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |
| 43.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I felt I should contact you with regard to the proposed parking permits in the Old Town, in their current format I am against them for the following reasons;</p> <p><b>Point 1:</b></p> <p>we live opposite the car park entrance from the doctors and your proposal is suggesting to remove parking from our road by making the road by the side of the doctors time limited parking between</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>8.30-5.30. And at the same time if this proposal goes ahead residents living in the High Street and surrounding areas will be allowed to apply for permits in our road. So you are removing spaces from residents but adding people allowed to park in our road. So I can't see how this permit is going to guarantee me to park on my road. And if it doesn't guarantee me a space on my road what am I paying £60 per year for?</p> <p>I also believe that it will make parking for the patients impossible. The parking that is meant for them will of course also be used by other people so not guaranteed for patients and there are not enough spaces for them. When you are ill and need to go to the doctors the last thing you need is to stress about parking.</p> <p>The flow of parking on our road is fluid, cars come and go all the time and spaces will always become available, even if you need to wait 5 min.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that the doctors have a huge bit of space available right out front that could be converted to parking spaces – I have attached a picture. Why is this space not being utilized by way of a drop kerb? And why are some of these not being made available for parking? Instead it is proposed to retain the existing disabled bays which most of them are not anywhere near the doctors!</p> <p><b>Point 2:</b></p> <p>Why are the permits Mon-Sat? If the issue is</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 20.0.</p> <p>It is never possible for a permit parking scheme to guarantee a parking space, however it does restrict the entitlement to park to permit holders and visitors during controlled hours. See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.2.</p> <p>These Disabled Persons' Parking Places are provided to help residents who need them.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>people parking on our road that are commuters (which seems to be the reason mostly given) then usually these people work Mon-Fri. And honestly, it is not usually an issue parking on this road at all on a Saturday because actually the doctors are normally closed or only operates a reduced surgery. Why do we need this restriction on a Saturday? Weekends are when people come and visit and now we have to pay for this even though normally spaces are available anyway. Also another point that our issue parking on this road is doctors related.</p> <p><b>Point 3:</b></p> <p>The cost of these permits. Why is each additional car more expensive? One car takes up the same space as the next one. And why do we have to pay for visitors to come and visit? If anyone elderly is relying for someone to come and help or just visit they now have to pay for this. Anyone not well and needs a friend to pop in now has to make sure they have these visitors passes as potentially there really is nowhere to park. Why are we making every-day life more difficult for people? If these parking permits do get issued and we have no choice in the matter and are forced to pay to park our cars on a public road (for which we pay tax already) then I think the council should at least reduce the fees and make the permits only available Mon-Fri. Issue the first car for free and give a certain amount of visitors spaces for free as</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|---|
|          |         |  | <p>well. We already have parking wardens patrolling the roads so can't see any additional costs here and the rest is just to cover admin so that can't need a lot of money. Unless, of course, the council would like to make a profit and if that is the case then I would expect that these permits would then be issued absolutely everywhere in Stevenage so that we are all equally taxed, or a reduction in our Council Tax accordingly to reflect the cost. Can you perhaps give an indication of where the increased revenues from tickets issued for illegal parking will be spent? I would assume that an Old Town project be chosen and funded from all the extra funds raised from parking costs for residents and ticket sales.</p> <p><b>Point 4:</b></p> <p>I have not seen the results of these surveys but when we asked on our street, we managed to ask about 20 neighbors, of which 16 were against and 4 were for the permits. It's obviously not all of the houses but does give an indication.</p> <p><b>Some Solutions</b></p> <p>For me the ideal outcome would be to install a dropped kerb in front of the GP surgery to increase the parking in Stanmore Road, parking here is fluid and if more spaces were available it would be even easier for patients visiting the doctors. If we have to have permits – which I feel will happen as it is a revenue raiser for the council – no additional road parking should be lost for</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.2.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>residents, with the dropped kerbs installed for patients only and permits only being required Monday to Friday so when family visits at the weekend they are not charged to see us as the issue seems to be commuters.</p> <p>It seems that the approach has been a blanket approach for all of the roads regardless of what the issues actually are, I don't believe anyone has actually spend a day in any of the roads to observe the flow of traffic or find out who parks and when. As mentioned the parking is fluid on our road and comes and goes all day, as NNNN works from home she has viewed this on a daily basis. I look forward to hearing from you and hope a common sense approach can be reached.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>It is a necessary requirement that restrictions within a single Permit Parking Area are consistent.</p> |
| 44.0     | Chambers Gate | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have not seen any evidence of mitigating measures to control the risk of the inevitable increase in traffic in the streets surrounding those</p>  | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                       |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | where permit parking is being introduced. The proposals will simply result in the traffic being displaced. There are already traffic issues with families dropping off and picking up kids at Letchmore Road school and these proposals will exacerbate an issue which the school is already aware of, and on which the school has had cause to issue numerous emails to parent/carers due to complaints from neighbours.   | See officer comments on response 35.0. |
| 45.0     | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I participated in the survey which outlined proposed permit parking in part of Stevenage Old Town and at the time I would have welcomed permits along Haycroft Road and am now a little dismayed to find that we are not included in the proposed scheme.</p> <p>At the time of writing there are at least 5 (if not more) cars parked at the bottom of the road where I live left by people who are either walking to the station or working in the Old Town. Parking is difficult at the best of times as you can appreciate but I feel that when these new permits are introduced, that we will find yet ever more cars parking in Haycroft Road which is going to cause the current residents much distress.</p> <p>Can I please request that you also introduce the Permit scheme in Haycroft Road as I feel this would alleviate the issue at the bottom of the road</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0.  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>and also the turning at the top in Primrose Hill Road which is very congested by Fairlands School parents.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>  |  |
| 45.1     | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>Dear Mr Howard</p> <p>Thank you for your reply to my concerns, however I am disappointed with the response. I understood that the inhabitants of Haycroft Road were canvassed last year when the questionnaires were sent out about Residents Parking Permits and I amongst others who I have spoken to indicated that they would be in favour of the scheme to ease the already congested parking situation. Also I think it would be a good idea to take into account the huge parking area which is hardly used by the Primrose Court residents and if part of this could be set aside for Haycroft Road residents this would go some way to relieving the parking issues we already experience.</p> <p>I understand that you stated that my concerns would be taken into consideration at the meeting on 14th February but I am not totally convinced that this will be the case and am guessing that to get to the point of residents parking permits in Haycroft Road this will be some time before it can</p> | <p>Although the resident is disappointed, no other response would be truthfully possible.</p> <p>This would be a matter for the Housing team that manage Primrose Court, and may be discussed with them should permits be proposed in Haycroft Road in future.</p> <p>It was not stated that concerns would be taken into consideration at a meeting, but as part of the consideration process following the end of the consultation period. These concerns have been highlighted to decision makers in this document, together with</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>be put in place.</p> <p>Very disappointed with the response and feel that the parking situation is only going to escalate in the meantime.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>## Haycroft Road</p> <p>Sent from my iPad</p> <p>On 27 Jan 2020, at 13:59, tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments, and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>If it were decided to put forward permits in</p> | <p>relevant officer comments, though it does not appear practicable to act upon them at the present time.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>Haycroft Road as well, we'd need to consult on this to give everyone there a chance to have their say.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>  |   |
| 46.0     | Albert Street | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>My husband and I are the owners of the fish and chip shop on Albert Street. We welcome the proposal but would like to request an extension of the criteria for<br/>parking permit to business owners as well. We have been deprived of any parking slots since the landlord erected new residential flats at our back yard a few years ago. With the implementation of the new permit parking for "residents only" we</p> | <p>It was decided by councillors having considered responses to the 2019 survey that businesses within the permit area should not be made eligible for permits or visitor vouchers. See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments                                |
|----------|---------|--|--|---|
|          |         |  | would therefore be left with no where to park our cars at all, and this would make it very hard for us to run our business.  |   |
| 47.0     |         |  | <p>Good Morning Elected Representatives of the Public,</p> <p>You have recently published your proposed changes to the parking 'situation' within the Old Town. Whilst there are MANY benefits that the changes would have for the residents of the area, it does appear that you have overlooked (or just failed to address) that the Old Town is home to many business's that don't have the space to provide parking.</p> <p>This is obvious as if this wasn't the case then residents wouldn't have an issue.</p> <p>This takes us to the alternatives. Clearly, the goal here is to get car users to park in either Primett Road South or Church Lane South. With an expected increase in traffic to these parking locations the council can expect revenue to increase from parking.</p> <p>However, people who work in the Old Town are NOT prepared to pay £46 per month for a season ticket. I propose as you establish some form of scheme with the local businesses so that their employees (in which I am one of) can purchase a</p> | See officer comments on responses 3.2 and 16.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>reduced rate seasonal ticket.</p> <p>From a purely profit-driven perspective, this would increase your revenue as it will actually entice car users to use your overpriced parking lots. Otherwise the consequence will just be old town workers parking a bit further back. And using alternative non-mentioned roads such as bridge road more often.</p> <p>Not to mention, you plan to charge residents to charge in front of their own houses or risk a ticket... these changes are frankly purely driven by a loose idea of increasing revenue without actually thinking about how it will effect the people concerned. People won't suddenly start riding bikes, they will just be more annoyed.</p> <p>I would expect that you will actually receive an increase to the amount of requests for disabled parking.</p> <p>Thanks for reading, I hope you consider a better solution.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>This is not the case. See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> |
| 48.0     | Albert Street | Stevenage | <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>With regard to your letter of the 16th January, I would like to comment as follows:-</p>   | <p>It is not possible to reserve parking spaces for</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>I am an Albert Street Resident (ie one of the old folk) and feel very strongly that a FREE, designated parking space should be allocated to our SHO who is required to go off site to attend meetings and assess people who live out of the Albert Strt/Southend Close Scheme. Such a parking space would also be of immense help to the roving SHOs during the evenings and weekends when shoppers and pub customers clog these streets.</p> <p>Also, I know several of Residents who require carers each day: in one particular case, 4 times per day. Under the proposals, this would mean that a carer would need to park, run in for a permit, return to their car with it, return to the residence, do their work, go back to their car to retrieve the permit and return it to the Resident before finally returning to their car to depart. This would mean that either the Resident would have much less time being cared for (and we all know they don't get much time) or they will have to pay for the extra time involved in collecting/returning the permit. Assuming 10 minutes for all the faffing around, this could mean paying for an extra 30 minutes to 1 hr <b>each day</b>. An expensive proposition for someone on a pension.</p> <p>Would it be possible for consideration to be given to this problem and a solution found? Perhaps a</p> | <p>specific individuals. If the proposals do go ahead SHOs would however be eligible for specialist permit types that allow provision for essential users who must drive to the streets to deliver a public service.</p> <p>The proposed free Carers Permits would be available to directly to care providers with clients in the permit area as well as to the residents needing care, so where someone needs multiple paid carers every day this would help to avoid excessive delays/costs.</p> <p>Carer spaces would still have to rely on some form of permit to allow the enforcement team</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>couple designated carer spaces in Albert Street and Southend Close?</p> <p>I do realise that having one set of regulations for several streets and perhaps slightly different ones for just 2 streets presents a problem but we cannot stop getting old (if only!) and ill and a community of elderly people does present specific requirements.</p> <p>May I also point out that for some, particularly those OAPs on a tight budget, paying £60 in one hit could be extremely difficult.<br/>I'm sure if they were able to pay in two £30 or even three £20 installments it would be enormously helpful.</p> <p>For myself, as a non-driver, I will be more than happy to pay for Visitor Passes.</p> <p>Thank you for your time in reading the above.</p> <p>Regards</p> | <p>to know whether a car belonged to a carer or not, so would not offer an improvement on this whilst taking away space that might be wanted by residents or their other visitors.</p> <p>It is believed that adequate provision can be and is made within the proposals.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0 and “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p> |
| 49.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>I would like to:</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I reject to the design of your proposals on the grounds of safety.</p> <p>I spoke to Mr Howard at length when this proposal was first advised to residents. He assured me the design would have to consider the requirements of our school. In the design that has been issued i see no consideration, worse still no contact has been made to the school to discuss the requirement or the design.</p> <p>The design as it stands will cause an increased pressure to the areas outside the proposed permitting zone, increasing residential issues and increasing risk to children, as despite arguments of alternative travel options by parents the 330 pupils at Letchmore cant all walk to school twice a day, this was known by the County Council when it extended the school and would have been known to you if you had taken the time to ask during your design stage.</p> <p>We have made contact with local road safety team to obtain their view and request a meeting with your design team to discuss the above and wider details of your design including the a more</p> | <p>See “School and nursery parking” and “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p> <p>The school was contacted at each stage of investigation in the same way as residents and others.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>It is unclear why walking a similar distance from a different street would be less safe.</p> <p>The County Council were consulted prior to public consultation beginning and did not raise or share such concerns.</p> <p>The road safety team has not raised any concerns with SBC prior or subsequent to this.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | detailed of the problem statement your design is resolving.  |   |
| 49.1     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have submitted an objection via the on-line portal but I wanted to write to you directly too.</p> <p>The school reject to the design of your proposals on the grounds of safety.</p> <p>Mr Howard we spoke at length when this proposal was first advised to residents. You assured me the design would consider the requirements of our school.</p> <p>In the design that has been issued I see no consideration, worse still no contact has been made to the school to discuss the requirement or the design.</p> <p>The design as it stands will cause an increased pressure to the areas outside the proposed permitting zone, increasing residential issues and increasing risk to children, as despite arguments of alternative travel options by parents the 330 pupils at Letchmore can't all walk to school twice a day, this was known by the County Council when it extended the school and would have been known to you if you had taken the time to ask.</p> <p>We have made contact with local road safety team to obtain their view and request a meeting with</p> | <p>See "School and nursery parking" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 49.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 49.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address    |       | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|------------|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |            |       | <p>yourself to discuss the above and wider details of your design, including more details of the problem statement your design is attempting to resolve.</p> <p>Hope to speak to you soon.</p>   |                                       |
| 50.0     | Long Ridge | Aston | <p>As far as we know no steps were taken by the Council to stop Waitrose closing and now you purpose throttling trade by severe parking restrictions. This is a real blow to the Town.....</p>   | See officer comments on response 5.0. |
| 50.1     | Long Ridge | Aston | <p>Dear Mr. Howard, thank you for your reply. While I understand the need for residents' parking, much of it will be needed at night while I can see that shoppers who park in the nearer streets now may well be diverted elsewhere for their purchases. However, having looked in more detail at the car parks off Primett Road, there seems to be adequate space so I withdraw my objection.....NNNN, # Long Ridge, Aston</p> <p>On Tue, 28 Jan 2020 at 13:24, tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN,</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find</p> | The objection's withdrawal is noted.  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments as an objection, and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address, or that of the business where you work, to help us to understand the distribution of the responses we receive.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 51.0     | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Please see our previous 2016 <u>constructive</u> email below that was very well received by the SOTBCP and in particular Waitrose! <b><u>It appears to have been completely ignored and with 2019 being the worst retail year for 25 years/since records began, it's actually getting worse!</u></b></p> <p>Our feedback is exactly the same, so see below.</p>   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                          |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>Kind regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 07 September 2016 14:23<br/> <b>To:</b> tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt;<br/> <b>Cc:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: Parking Survey - Stevenage Old Town</p> <p>Dear Mr Howard</p> <p>Thanks for your survey but it appears to be missing the bigger picture re the challenges of the decline in High Street business(s):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We believe the Old Town High Street thrives because of the free parking it offers to its customers (especially when compared to Herford and New Town Stevenage which has numerous empty shops)</li> <li>• The 3 hours limit works well as it keeps the car rotation going and also prevents employees and commuters abusing it</li> <li>• But the local retail parks and new town centre Tesco Extra nearby also offer free parking to customers and employees: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ the local Retail parks are investing</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <p>No alteration to this is proposed.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>heavily in improved shops so we need to <u>maintain</u> a competitive advantage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Retail Parks are growing in popularity for the ease and convenience of parking (Brent Cross and Lakeside have free parking)</li> <li>• There is also considerable “spare” parking behind the High Street (next to The Comet offices) that is available which should be more utilised with free parking for High Street employees and this would alleviate parking on the side streets where residents are understandably frustrated at the inconvenience it causes them</li> <li>• The majority of shop employees are paid lower wages but they are very important to this Retail “Ecosystem”, especially when they would also have free parking in the Stevenage Retail parks – so we need to ensure they are also appropriately looked after</li> </ul> <p>So our recommendation is to make the public car park free for retail/High Street employees, provided they can prove that they are employees of the High Street Shops and businesses as we already pay considerable business rates and find it tough enough to compete with onslaught of on-line only businesses with far fewer expenses. This</p> | <p>Additional three hour free bays are proposed in this area.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2 and 16.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>would be a “win/win”, helping residents and the shops with more convenience to customers and in turn the council with a thriving high street and more employment</p> <p>Trust this helps and we look forward to hearing from you</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>  |   |
| 51.1     | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your email dated 28/1/20. You say that you were unable to put forward the proposals we would have liked and our comments will now be put forward as an objection but with no explanation to help us understand why? I therefore fail to comprehend why you would not allow all businesses on the Old Town High Street, provided they can prove that they are employees of the High Street businesses, free parking/access to the car parks on Primett Road, which seem to be empty most of the time and kept primarily for the Charter Fair. This in turn would free up the parking outside the homes of our local residents allowing them and their visitors to park without the need for permits which seems to be the fundamental issue which has led to this parking problem. I also fail to understand how increased numbers of time-limited waiting bays to allow more customer parking will resolve the central issue here?</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2, 16.0 and 30.0. The Primett Road car parks are well used public facilities, accounting for over a quarter of a million parking sessions a year.</p> <p>This appeared to be a specific request of this correspondent and it is unclear why they now criticise it.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>Also, may I please ask, do all council employees pay to park in Daneshill House or Pegs Lane?</p> <p>We understand that you have to listen to everyone; we in turn have spoken to the SOTBCP and customers whom all agree with our very straightforward and constructive solution. PLEASE just let the High Street business employees use the large <u>empty</u> car parks (public and now the additional Waitrose one). It would not impact your parking revenue from them and businesses in the High Street need all the help they can get.</p> <p>Please also see attached article from the weekends Telegraph – another article highlighting the risks to Town Centres!</p> <p>Kind regards.</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b> tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt;<br/> <b>Sent:</b> 28 January 2020 13:41<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 51.0 [External] Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town - your letter dated 16th January 2020.</p> | <p>This is not relevant to the proposals; it is for each business to decide whether to bear the costs of providing their employees with parking.</p> <p>Hearsay cannot be a material consideration in determining whether to go ahead.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address                   | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------------------------|--|------------------|
|          |                           | <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>Your comments were not ignored, and although we have not been able to put forward the proposals that you would have liked we have sought in the proposals to support businesses through increased numbers of time-limited waiting bays to allow more customer parking.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors once the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments as an objection, and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 52.0     | Walkern Road<br>Stevenage | <p>Good Morning</p> <p>We are residents of Walkern Road in Stevenage</p>   |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|---|
|          |         |  | <p>Old Town, where the permit parking proposals are looking to be introduced.</p> <p>We voted, and are still in favour, of the permits being introduced.</p> <p>However we do feel the hours of the proposals are excessive. We felt the main reasons for the permits being introduced was to stop business and their staff using surrounding roads for free parking. We do not feel shoppers have any major impact on the parking, and due to the nature of retail hours any shoppers parking leave the road from approx 4pm clear so there is space for residents to park after work hours.</p> <p>Having full day parking restrictions will have a major financial impact on residents, as well as the yearly permit cost. As an example, visitors visiting elderly relatives to drop off shopping , grandparents visiting grandchildren , will cost £1 each and every time - we estimate this will cost us at least an additional £15 per month. This is excessive.</p> <p>My parents live near the railway station in Letchworth which used to have similar problems with commuters parking for the entire day. Fixed hours of 10-11 and 3-4 for permit parking only resolved the problem entirely , yet gave some</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>flexibility for visitors. Why cannot these be used instead.</p> <p>There is also no need to permits on Saturday either.</p> <p>This would then reduce cost for the enforcement team and in turn reduce the excessive permit costs.</p> <p>To be clear, we are still in favour and thank you for looking to take action and listening to the community. However, we would please ask you to consider the above.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>   | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p>                     |
| 53.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I write further to a letter I received on the 16th of January regarding the introduction of permit parking in the Old Town.</p> <p>As a resident of Stanmore Road and a one-car household, I am broadly supportive of the general proposal to control parking.</p> <p>However I do object to the proposed introduction of limited time parking along a significant stretch of road outside the health centre on Stanmore Road. As you will be able to see if you visit the road during an evening, Stanmore Road is completely full of residents’ cars. By limiting the area available</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 20.0 and 35.0 and “Surgery parking” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>to be used by residents on a 'full-time' basis by such a significant extent, I will no doubt find myself having to park in this area when returning from work etc because all other areas are full. This is very regularly the case now. Following the introduction of these restrictions, I will then be forced to ensure I am able to move the car after 3 hours or the next morning in order to comply. This is often not convenient and of course there are no guarantees there will be an available space in the proposed residents' area when i need to move the car.</p> <p>Crucially, the surgery has plenty of private car parking space in any case.</p> <p>Whilst I understand that visitors to the surgery might need overflow parking space occasionally, I would suggest that residents of Stanmore Road are also able to park in the limited parking zone at any time in order to solve this problem. Another solution would be to remove the limited parking zone altogether and allow visitors to the surgery who need to park on Stanmore Road to display temporary exemptions in their windscreens, to be dispensed directly by the surgery.</p> <p>In summary, I believe the introduction of the time limited parking - given its significant area - will lead to more difficulty for residents of Stanmore Road -</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 20.0 and 35.0 and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 46.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      | Content   | Officer comments                              |
|----------|--------------|---|---|
|          |              | <p>presumably counter to the intention of the whole parking control initiative.</p> <p>I loom forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Regards,</p>  |   |
| 54.0     | Alley's Road | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I would like to express my opposition to the introduction of resident parking permits on Alley's Road and would be grateful if you could take the time to read my submission in full to fully appreciate my reasoning.</p> <p>Please note, that I only have one car and so this is not going to be an expensive scheme for me. Nor do I believe this to be a revenue raising mission for the Council. Having worked for local authorities for many years, I understand the multitude of pressures you face as an officer!</p> <p>As I stated in my previous submission to you, the challenge with parking on Alley's Road is not currently caused by parking on the High Street. A simple visit to the street in the middle of a weekday would suffice as evidence for this. The reason for residents current frustrations with parking come from the fact that most households do not have driveways, there is only space for one vehicle per household on the road, and most households own more than one vehicle. It's simple mathematics.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                                  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>The suggestion that parking for the High Street 'may' become a problem with the introduction of resident parking permits elsewhere is unlikely, due to the proximity of Alleyns Road from the High Street. With the current proposals, the Council are seeking for residents to be charged between £60-£366 per household (depending on how many cars they have) and their existing parking frustrations will not be removed. Why irritate residents more with a costly bill, when an existing problem is going to remain? Let's be honest, if a household can afford three cars, they will be able to live with (but clearly be angry with the Council about) a £366 a year bill.</p> <p>Although I appreciate that it is easier and possibly cheaper for the Council to introduce these schemes all at one time, it would be a more sensible approach to ballot Alleyns Road residents two months after the introduction of permits elsewhere to see if parking displacement has become an issue. This will prevent any unnecessary introduction of the scheme, which as you have pointed out previously, does not raise revenue (profit) for the Council.</p> <p>I hope other neighbours will share my frustrations and look forward to being provided with, or researching the feedback from the consultation.</p> | <p>See "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|------------------|
|          |              |           | <p>I would be extremely grateful if you could confirm receipt of my opposition to these proposals.</p> <p>Many thanks,</p>  |                  |
| 54.1     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I completely understand – many thanks for the update.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b> tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt;<br/> <b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2020 09:54<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Cc:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 54.0 [External] Resident Parking Permit Proposals for Alleyns Road (Ref: TPE/03/19-1/F)</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>I don't necessarily disagree with your general analysis of the parking situation in Alleyns Road, and we do generally find that where residents are</p> | n/a              |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>not yet experiencing a problem they will be unhappy about making changes to pre-empt them.</p> <p>Nevertheless it was decided that the wider area should be surveyed as well as the streets where we are confident that residents do suffer from commuter parking issues, so that residents would have the opportunity to ask for their street to be included if they were worried. When did so last year 80% of responses from Alleyns Road said they were in favour of having permits (with a response rate of 44%). After discussion the street was added to the draft scheme, which now gives residents the opportunity to decide whether they wish to go ahead or not based on a more developed proposal.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors after the consultation has ended on 14 February to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments, and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                       |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | say.<br><br>Yours sincerely  |  |
| 54.2     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>This is simply not necessary. Existing parking problems are caused by households having more than one vehicle and there being insufficient off-street parking to accommodate this. The Council's claim in its "Statement of Reasons" that "[a]t present non-residential parking in the streets where new permit parking controls are proposed places those streets under a high level of parking pressure, with a significant effect on residents' quality of life" is a complete fallacy for Alleyns Road. Any visit to the street during normal working hours will see the street at less than 50% of its parking capacity. Other reasons provided include "to relieve and prevent congestion" and "in the interest of preserving or improving the amenities of</p> | See officer comments on response 54.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address      | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|--------------|--|------------------|
|          |              | <p>the area" - both of which are not relevant to Alleyns Road or its residents. The Council gives no further (which means no relevant) reasons for these proposals. If the reason is (as has been suggested) that Alleyns Road MAY suffer parking issues as a result of restrictions imposed elsewhere, then the council should put Alleyns Road proposals on hold until a period after there introduction (for example 2-3 months) before re-consulting residents. This will give local people the opportunity to make an informed decision based on evidence rather than a shoot-from-the-hip panic response. I am not comparing this to Brexit in its impact (obviously), but let's not repeat the mistake of putting things to votes, referenda and consultation, without first arming people with sufficient information to make a decision. Democracy is not about votes or consultation alone, it is about empowering local people to make informed decisions. For clarity, at this particular time, I am OPPOSING the introduction of parking permits in Alleyns Road.</p> |                  |
| 55.0     | Alleyns Road | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p>  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>People do not currently park here for the town. There is a parking problem on this road, but it is caused because many people have more than one car and there simply isn't the space. You previously claimed that it may get worse with the introduction of other schemes nearby, but if that's the case, why not ballot us a few months after their introduction to see if it has had an impact. There's no point in us all paying more money when this won't solve the current problem!</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.   |
| 56.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>Good morning, I am emailing in respect the councils proposal to have residents parking permits. I am not in agreement with this. The hours you propose to charge residents for parking on our street are quiet times due to most of us being at work and returning pass the allotted time of 5.30.</p> <p>I propose parking permits are not introduced at this time, but that Alleyns Road residents are balloted two months after the introduction of parking permits on Albert Street and Grove Road for our views.</p> <p>Regards</p>  | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 56.1     | Alleyns      | Stevenage | Good afternoon, my house number is ##.   | n/a  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          | Road    | <p>Regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 29 Jan 2020, at 10:04, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered after the consultation has ended on 14 February and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | Yours sincerely  |  |
| 57.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The Monday-Saturday 8.30-5.30pm times are crazy. This gives residents hardly any breathing room and will in turn create an administration nightmare for residents like us. Having a young family and working we rely on family members and friends to do school pickups and drop offs twice a day, plus childcare for younger child not in school. This means we rely on different people parking outside our house multiple times a day and walking to Letchmore School or The Priory Nursery in Stanmore Road to collect our children. They will then stay at our house until we are home from work. This is without considering other visitors (friends/cleaners/builders etc) we have on a weekly basis. With the parking restrictions coming into place it would cost us a fortune buying permits and it is causing us huge stress and</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>anxiety.<br/> We originally said we were in favour to having parking permits in our street however I want to retract our original position and for the record say we are against having parking permits on any basis in Alleyns Road.</p>   | See officer comments on response 8.0 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report |
| 57.1     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern</p> <p><b><u>OBJECTION TO PARKING PERMITS IN ALLEYNS ROAD</u></b></p> <p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed parking permits for Alleyns Road.</p> <p>We are a one car household and are fortunate to be able to park off the road as we have a driveway. We use the drop curb in front of our house for visitors. This effectively means that we do not take up a space on the road and nor do our visitors. The issue we have is that we are both working parents and rely on parents/carers to take our children to/from school (Letchmore) and nursery (The Priory, Stanmore Road). This means we have people coming and going multiple times a day to provide wrap around childcare for our young children and therefore park outside our house. If Alleyns Road had parking permits this</p> |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>would be an administrative nightmare for us as a family and very costly on a weekly basis in visitor permits. The only option to avoid costly permits would be for us to park on the road and leave our driveway for visitors which we do not want to do. We would prefer our car off the road regardless, but it then means it is not as safe for us to get our children in and out the car and into the house safely and away from the road. More poignantly it would mean another vehicle is then parked on the road therefore taking a space and creating less parking on the road for our neighbours. We would also have to pay for a permit which is an additional cost to our household when we should be able to park for free and off the road. Either way, there is going to be an unfair added cost to us.</p> <p>The timings proposed (Monday-Saturday 8.30am-5.30pm) are excessive and leave little leeway or let up for residents. Please note the road is almost empty at times during the day on weekdays and is only busy in the evenings and on weekends. So, busier at times outside your proposed permitted hours so renders the proposal pointless and just a logistical and expensive nightmare for residents.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>We feel the potential extra cost to households is an unfair!! It would be an additional cost of living to every household in Alleyns Road which we do not want.</p> <p>We live in Alleyns Road due to the great location to commute into London for work, we also walk to school and nursery and into the Old Town to support local businesses. We do not use our car for commuting or dropping the children off at school or take up parking in the High Street or Old Town. We feel the introduction of permits would be a massive punishment for residents and is not the answer to the parking issues in the Old Town.</p> <p>On another note I have seen first-hand the almost empty car parks at the back of the Old Town (old Waitrose car park and along that road). These should be offered to businesses for staff parking and made readily available for visitors to the Old Town. I think introducing permits is a massive detriment to the survival of the Old Town and is not the answer.</p> <p>Lastly, I would like to point out that we originally sent back the survey last July saying we would</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2, 5.0 and 51.1.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>encourage and be in favour of parking permits in Alleyns Road. We would like to RETRACT our response and again, express that we fully OBJECT to having permits in Alleyns Road.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>   |  |
| 58.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I would like clarification as to whether or not the commercial premises in Basils Road will be eligible for a parking permit. Their commercial vans currently compromise residents' parking in the road.<br/>Will residents with commercial vehicles be able to use a parking permit for these?<br/>I feel that the time limit of 5.30pm is too early as many residents will arrive home from work after this time and not be able to find a parking space.<br/>I would like to see yellow lines on the bend in Basils Road near Church Lane. When there are</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 46.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See "Other issues" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>cars parked either side, emergency and other large vehicles have great difficulty negotiating the corner.</p> <p>I would like further information on how the visitors permits will work. How long will they be valid for; will residents be able to buy more than 20 vouchers per year?</p> <p>Subject to answers to the above I am in favour of the proposals.</p>  | The support is noted.  |
| 59.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>this has been a long time coming. the frustration one feels at not being able to park in the road you live on gets to be exhausting when people are using it as car park to go to the shops / train station / play groups..<br/>to assist people to use the car park at the end of basils road, maybe the lighting system there could be improved as I know some lights do not even work at the back of the car park near the church..</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> |
| 60.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>So pleased this is to be introduced, the proposal seem fair. I also work locally in the High Street and Whilst some people may be inconvenienced I have been so fed up with being unable to park anywhere near my own home if I go out in the daytime so this will be a massive improvement to the quality of life of the residents.</p>                                   | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> |
| 61.0     | Drapers Way | Stevenage | <p>May I congratulate your council on excellent proposals for residents parking in Stevenage Old Town.</p> <p>However I have one serious objection, namely the proposal to create parking bays in Drapers Way. This very busy thoroughfare is also access for the Drapers Arms where very large brewer's drays, gas cannister lorries, and food delivery vans arrive most days. So allowing parking on the other side of this small street will completely block it for some considerable periods of time thus making it <u>impossible</u> for emergency vehicles who</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See "Other issues" in consultation report.</p>                         |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>may wish to access the senior citizens complex in Primett Road, businesses, Driving Test Centre etc.</p> <p>I can supply photographs of evidence any time if required.</p> <p>I would strongly advise that parking bays are not created there, especially as they are not needed due to the two extremely large seriously underutilized car parks off Primett Road.</p> <p>Regards</p>   |                  |
| 61.1     | Drapers Way | Stevenage | <p>Thank you<br/>Our business address is<br/># Drapers Way<br/>Stevenage<br/>SG1 3DT</p> <p>So we have daily opportunity to monitor the situation and I repeat my comments re the huge under used car parks off Primett Way<br/>kind regards<br/>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b> tro &lt;tro@stevenage.gov.uk&gt;<br/><b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2020 13:48<br/><b>To:</b><br/><b>Subject:</b> RE: 61.0 [External] Old Town Parking Proposals</p> | n/a              |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>We have observed disabled drivers regularly using their blue badges to park on the north side of Drapers Way without causing issues, so this didn't seem a problematic location to add some parking to help local business' customers and also provide for residents living above businesses, only to see exactly the loading you describe taking place when putting up the consultation notices. Unfortunately it is a vagary of making site visits that they are only ever snapshots so can occasionally miss intermittent activities. This is definitely something that will want looking at, and part of the value of public consultations is to help avoid making mistakes.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered after the consultation has ended on 14 February and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |                  |
| 61.2     | Drapers Way | Stevenage | <p>Dear Mr Howard</p> <p>Our address is:</p> <p>BBBBBBBBBBBBB<br/># Drapers Way<br/>Stevenage<br/>Herts<br/>SG1 3DT</p> <p>Kind regards<br/>NNNN</p> <p><b>From:</b> tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt;<br/><b>Sent:</b> 29 January 2020 13:48<br/><b>To:</b><br/><b>Subject:</b> RE: 61.0 [External] Old Town Parking Proposals</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> | n/a              |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>We have observed disabled drivers regularly using their blue badges to park on the north side of Drapers Way without causing issues, so this didn't seem a problematic location to add some parking to help local business' customers and also provide for residents living above businesses, only to see exactly the loading you describe taking place when putting up the consultation notices. Unfortunately it is a vagary of making site visits that they are only ever snapshots so can occasionally miss intermittent activities. This is definitely something that will want looking at, and part of the value of public consultations is to help avoid making mistakes.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered after the consultation has ended on 14 February and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments      |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|
|          |            |           | <p>decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |                       |
| 62.0     | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I'm so pleased that we are nearing the implementation of permit parking, it's been a long road (excuse the pun) and things are even worse than when I first attended a meeting some four years ago. I'm aware via social media that certain people are planning to petition against the execution, some complaining that they knew nothing about it but then have only recently moved to the area.</p> <p>As a resident of Grove Road, I believe we possibly suffer more than most, the naysayers seem to be from Stanmore (plus a few Walkern Road) of who's parking issues I know nothing about. I would be furious if these people could bring this whole thing tumbling down, if they feel they don't have an issue I would hope that wouldn't prejudice the implementation in Grove Road. Even as I type, I'm parked across my kindly neighbour's drive – the very last space available when we returned at 3.30pm.</p> | The support is noted. |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |            |           | <p>I believe the pricing is just about perfect, although I can understand why those with three cars and more might balk, it would also appear that the cost of visitor passes is causing some consternation, maybe a 15-30 minute rule might allay that.? I personally have no issue and would happily work around the hours where possible. Saturday is also very important, I would say Saturday may be even be worse than the rest of the week, not so much the train commuters but just very busy in and out all day long.</p> <p>Anyway, congrats on nearly getting this over the finish line, we literally can't wait to be able to choose when we want to go shopping and additionally not worry about having to get our very young grandchildren to our house from 100 yards or more.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>PS. I'm also somewhat concerned that you will largely be hearing from those that aren't happy with the scheme, many think it's a done deal and possibly not comment.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3</p> |
| 62.1     | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>My house number is ## Grove Road.</p> <p>One thing I forgot to mention is that the disabled</p>   | <p>A review of disabled bays conducted in</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>space outside number ## should be withdrawn as the person is very old and has been housebound for years now. I don't mean to sound harsh but it really is a wasted space.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 29 Jan 2020, at 14:18, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views on both sides, and all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered after the consultation ends on 14 February and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> | <p>autumn 2019 indicated that this disabled bay is still required.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>  |   |
| 63.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>there are no issues with parking day time during the week.the road is mostly empty during these times.however when residents return in the evening some with two and three cars that is when the problems arise.i propose that permits are not introduced and wait and see how it works on albert st and grove rd.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report |
| 64.0     | Church Lane  | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Church Lane</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Hi,</p> <p>I live at 6 Church Lane, currently, the parking proposal is for three-hour parking outside my house. I would like this changed to permit parking.</p> <p>I think the parking scheme is a good idea as the parking in the old town does need 'calming', although I feel that I and other residents on the stretch of Church lane between Stanmore Road and Basils Road should have the permit parking put in place and not a mix of three-hour parking restrictions/permit parking.</p> <p>I wouldn't be happy and would challenge a scheme that asked me to pay for a permit to park in public parking bays limited to three hours, it would mean I would have to pay for a permit to park on my street even though it is marked pink (three-hour parking) therefore I would have none of the benefits of the orange zone designated permit parking and would be competing with</p> | <p>The proposed restriction in this location is for three hour limited waiting with an exemption for permit holders, rather than three hour parking only. This would be consistent with other bays in Church Lane, and seeks to strike a balance between providing for residents and supporting access to the nearby surgery and local businesses.</p> <p>The resident would benefit from the ability to exceed the three hour time limit, and to park in other locations subject to the permit parking controls. While subject to some competition with the public, the three hour time limit ensures turnover of non-resident parking giving more opportunity to park than at present when commuters may park here all</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>others trying to park.</p> <p>I don't see why I should pay for a permit then have to compete for parking with non-permit holders. I would, however, be happy to pay for a permit if the bays outside my house are changed to an orange zone (permit only parking).</p> <p>Kind regards</p>  | <p>day. Unlike other residents it would also be possible for this resident's short-stay visitors to park without needing to use a visitor voucher.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.4. It would not be practical to administer a scheme with different pricing for different properties and while the resident may feel they receive less value from their permit they receive a saving in reduced need for visitor vouchers.</p> |
| 64.1     | Church Lane | Stevenage | <p>Hi,</p> <p>I live at <u># Church Lane</u>, currently I can park outside my house for free, the parking proposal is set to change to three-hour parking bays. I would like this changed to permit parking.</p> <p>I think the parking scheme is a good idea as the parking in the old town does need 'calming', although I feel that I and other residents on the stretch of Church lane between Stanmore Road and Basils Road should have the permit parking put in place and not a mix of three-hour parking restrictions/permit parking.</p> <p>I wouldn't be happy and would challenge a scheme that asked me to pay for a permit to park in public parking bays limited to three hours, it would mean I would have to pay for a permit to</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 64.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                       |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>park on my street even though it is marked pink (three-hour parking) therefore I would have none of the benefits of the orange zone designated permit parking and would be competing with others trying to park.</p> <p>I don't see why I should pay for a permit then have to compete for parking with non-permit holders. I would, however, be happy to pay for a permit if the bays outside my house are changed to an orange zone (permit only parking).</p> <p>Kind regards</p>          |  |
| 64.2     | Church Lane | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Church Lane</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Hithere,<br/>I live at ## Church Lane, currently, I can park outside my house for free, the parking proposal is set to change to three-hour parking bays. I would like this changed to permit parking.</p> | See officer comments on response 64.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Personally, I think the parking scheme is a good idea as the parking in the old town does need 'calming', although I feel that I and other residents on the stretch of Church lane between Stanmore Road and Basils Road should have the permit parking put in place and not a mix of three-hour parking restrictions and permit parking.</p> <p>I wouldn't be happy and will formally challenge a scheme that asked me to pay for a permit to park in public parking bays limited to three hours, it would mean I would have to pay for a permit to park on my street even though it is marked pink (three-hour parking) therefore I would have none of the benefits of the orange zone designated permit parking and would be competing with others trying to park.</p> <p>I don't see why I should pay for a permit then have to compete for parking with non-permit holders. I would, however, be happy to pay for a permit if the bays outside my house are changed to an orange zone (permit only parking).</p> <p>This decision will not only affect me and my family, but it will also affect other residents and future residents of Church Lane.</p> <p>There is parking provision for the Old Town shops bars and restaurants on the High Street,</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>there are also two large car parks to the west of the High Street. and a smaller car park on Church Lane. Surely these would suffice, the large car parks to the west.</p> <p>Please inform me of any meetings scheduled to take place where this proposal will be discussed.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>   |   |
| 65.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>DEAR Sir</p> <p><u>I DO NOT PARKING PERMIT IN ALLEYNS RD- ONLY ENOUGH SPACE ON THE RD FOR NE CAR A HOUSEHOLD. AND YOU GO TO GIVE UP TO THREE PERMIT TO HOUSEHOLD..</u></p> <p>IT WILL NOT WORK THE WAY</p> <p>Yours FAITHFULLY</p>  | There is currently no limit on the number of vehicles in a household, so a three permit limit represents a reduction. The proposed three permit limit reflects the overall preferences of residents in the area affected when surveyed last year. |
| 66.0     | Church Lane  | Stevenage | <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town</p> <p>Your Ref TPE/03/19-1/F</p> <p>I refer to your letter of January 16<sup>th</sup> 2020, with enclosed map and wish to strongly object to your proposals in relation to our cul-de-sac section of Church Lane.</p> <p>So far as the residents/Council Tax payers in the lane are concerned parking is not an issue, and we have long come to an understanding between ourselves which works very well, on how to park.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>We rarely( possibly once or twice a year) have cars parked in the lane which do not belong to residents or their friends or family, and wish for these satisfactory arrangements to continue, needing no interference from the Council.</p> <p>It is perceived that the Council is creating a means of revenue, for their own benefit and not to help the residents. This may be due to your losing income from nearby shops.</p> <p>You have recently driven out Waitrose from the High Street due to the obscenely high Business Rates which were not affordable, and we have lost this wonderful store and a proper Post Office due to the lack of comprehension of the results of such inaction.</p> <p>The residents of the Old Town can no longer 'do' a meaningful food shop by foot, the other Supermarkets being to far away, especially for the many elderly and infirm locals.</p> <p>It is also perceived that this will also affect many other High Street stores who benefitted from the Waitrose store being nearby. Many stores will close, the High Street will become derelict, and you will lose far more revenue, You are guilty of a real lack of vision, and will destroy the lovely environment which we enjoy.</p> <p>This will create good grounds for us to plead for a reduction of our rateable values, and the</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>These comments do not relate to the proposed parking controls.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |              |           | <p>Independent Rate Tribunal may well agree with this!</p> <p>Please, Please reconsider your proposals regarding our section of Church Lane,</p> <p>Yours Faithfully.</p>  |                                       |
| 67.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>You are proposing charging residents an extortionate amount of money to park in front of their own houses. In some cases, residents will be charged almost £400 per year just to park on the street that they live on - it's laughable. I recently learned I will also need to pay £100 to have a white line painted across my driveway which, when added to the prospective permit cost, is not acceptable. I could justify this cost alone but along with any prospective permit charges along with guest passes this is simply not justifiable</p> | See officer comments on response 3.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>whatsoever. Yes bring in permits, but you can't expect residents to pay for the privilege. Between 9am and 5pm Alleyns Road is not generally busy whereas outside working hours the road is packed which indicates that non-residents don't tend to park there during work hours so the permits may not even be necessary at all, specially not at such a cost. I doubt you will find many people on our street who agree to your proposals.</p>  | <p>See officer comments on response 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 68.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I am concerned that putting restrictions during the school run will result in a child being injured or killed. I have witnessed in the past when a driver has stopped in the road and the child runs across to the gates.<br/>How about a two period time from 9:30 - 2:30 and 4:00 - 5:30. I also think the schools should have an opinion.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>                              |
| 69.0     |             |           | With regards to the proposal to introduce parking permits to some of the residential streets around  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |                 |           | Old Town. Whilst I understand some of the residents frustration, introducing parking restrictions between the times stated is not necessary. To stop commuters parking, there is only the need to stop parking from 10-3. Many of the elderly residents living Southend Close/Albert Street can receive visitors without having to pay for visitors permits! The council should not be discouraging visiting the elderly | See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.<br>See officer comments on response 15.0. |
| 70.0     | Stanmore Road   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>discrimination in being forced to pay to park outside home - permit charges are unacceptable at that rate..</p>                      | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.  |
| 71.0     | Brick Kiln Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I do not see this as a way of fixing the parking issues in the old town, this is purely a way for the council to charge residents more money for something a majority would not welcome. This would also have an impact on the businesses in the High Street as it would restrict the flow of custom to that of mainly the immediate surrounding area.</p> <p>I believe this would be a massive blow to Stevenage's ability to encourage more businesses to start up as it is another problem to overcome.</p> <p>Come on Stevenage Council, wise up! Would you rather have a quick gain now for a long term detriment? This is such an archaic approach to a simple solution. Instead of this shambolic approach simply introduce parking restrictions between 10-11am Mon-Fri as those that are in place around other areas of Stevenage. These are the same restrictions that are used in some areas of London and work extremely well! Quick fix, low cost, easy to enforce!</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>A one hour single yellow line would not be practical for residents who do not have off-street parking, so a permit based restriction would still be needed. See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address             |                            | Content  | Officer comments                               |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
|          |                     |                            | If this does go ahead then there is something seriously amiss going on in the Council. Listen to YOUR customers please, We The Public, We The Taxpayers!   | See officer comments on response 8.0.          |
| 72.0     | Orchard Crescent    | Stevenage                  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Stanmore road is not crowded in the day.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1. |
| 73.0     | Roads Policing Unit | Hertfordshire Constabulary | Thanks, noted, no objection, regards   |  |
| 74.0     | Albert Street       | Stevenage                  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p>  | The support is noted.                          |

| Ref. no. | Address      |  | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|--|---|--|
|          |              |  | Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br>Will the visitors passes be on certain dates? Or can be used anytime   |  |
| 75.0     | Alleyns Road |  | <p>Good afternoon,<br/>I am a resident on Alleyns Road and have recently received your proposal to introduce parking permits on the street.</p> <p>I objected at the time when the original questionnaire came out and continue to object to this. I do not believe Alleyns Road requires a permit. Most, if not all cars parked on the street belong to those who live on the street. The issue itself comes from the numbers of cars a household owns and also from prayer time that happens in the building on Albert Street. But it does not warrant permits for the proposed length of time each day.</p> <p>This will cost the residents a huge amount of money for no real benefit. We shouldn't have to pay to park on our own street and equally the cost for visitors passes is ludicrously high.</p> <p>Please accept this email as objection to the proposed permits.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> |
| 75.1     | Alleyns      |  | Thank you. It's ## Alleyns Road.  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          | Road    | <p>I must admit I am also concerned about the impact permit parking will have for Letchmore School and Letchmore Road. My daughters attend the school and whilst we have the luxury of being close enough to walk to school, for those who have to drive and specifically those who have to juggle an Almond Hill and Letchmore drop off, it is going to cause chaos. More importantly it is going to make Letchmore Road even more dangerous.</p> <p>Thanks<br/>NNNN</p> <p>On 31 Jan 2020, at 10:24, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The proposal to put forward permits, and the proposed days and times of operation, are based on the majority view when we surveyed residents last year.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views now that there are more concrete proposals, and after the consultation</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments                       |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                |           | <p>ends on 14 February all the comments and objections we receive will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if or how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>                    |  |
| 76.0     | Marymead Drive | Stevenage | <p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I am writing to comment on what I understand is being proposed for parking in the Old Town and in particular for Basils Road. I am a member of Bunyan Baptist Church which, as you know, has quite an extensive frontage on Basils Road. However I am told that the new system would be a residents' only permit scheme and that Bunyan would therefore have no permits at all. This seems extremely ill judged in that Bunyan is actively involved in the community and that perhaps two permits would not inconvenience other residents but would make life much easier for a busy church</p> | See officer comments on response 46.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | <p>used throughout the week. In addition, what would happen for funerals if the hearse is not allowed to park during a funeral or similarly, wedding cars not allowed to park during a wedding? Surely they could not be expected to carry a coffin from the car park or the bride not being able to arrive outside the church?</p> <p>I would be glad to hear why, for example, two permits would not be considered to be a reasonable solution given that that that would still leave over half the frontage for others to use.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> | There is a standing exemption for funeral corteges and wedding cars, so they would not be affected by the proposed controls. |
| 77.0     | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>You are planning to permit only half of Walkern Road which doesnt include the part where I live.</p>   | See officer comments on response 1.0.  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>This sounds great but I feel all those who live on the part where it is permit will just move their vehicles up to the end where I live to prevent having to pay. I also have no issue currently at our end of the road and dont wish to pay to park outside our property. I also feel the council should allow the first car free. It is unfair to home owners who pay their taxes to have to pay to park all vehicle. Additionally, I feel the visitor permits are a money making scheme as the restrictions are all day. Would it not be possible to restrict an hour in the morning and an hour in the afternoon so that people parking all day would be unable but residents would be ok? That way people popping in to see friends/elderly relatives/meetings wouldnt need a visitors permit wasted on an hour visit. Also I feel it would be beneficial to offer discounted/free parking to staff working on the high street seeing as the car parks at the back of the high street have been empty since the closure of waitrose.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> |
| 78.0     |               |           | <p>I pay my road tax for my car to be on the road soi park outside my house if you think im paying more you've got another thing coming i will not pay.and thats definite eny court action and i will just simply buy an old car tax insure and mot so its legal and will not pay eny court action and i will set it on fire.</p>  | <p>See officer comments on response 3.4.</p>  |
| 79.0     | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |                |           | <p>restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>As a resident of Haycroft Road I am already concerned about the number of people parking in our road during the day. They are obviously working or using the High Street and avoiding the car park charges. As we are not included in the permit scheme this situation will get worse in our street as many neighbouring streets are covered by the scheme. Please include Haycroft Road in the parking permit scheme.</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |
| 80.0     | Victoria Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I'm in agreement with parking permits as it is increasingly difficult to get into the street I live in</p>   | The support is noted.                 |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | and park due to inconsiderate park by others who park on corners. However I do feel that the price of permits is a bit expensive.  | See officer comments on response 3.0 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.   |
| 81.0     | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>We think that the proposed Permit parking for the Old Town will be of benefit for the residents. In addition I note that there is a further proposal to introduce "no waiting" restrictions at property entrances. Although we live in Basils Road our garage is in Church Lane situated between the Alms Houses and No. 6 Church Lane. We have not used the garage to put the car in for some time as drivers park across the entrance preventing access or exit. Will a "No waiting at any time" notice be put at this entrance so that the garage can be used again?</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>Yes, in the form of double yellow lines.</p>  |
| 82.0     | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for clarifying my query.</p> <p>In truth, it would appear that we, as a business, have effectively lost 'our' parking space adjacent to the garage.</p> <p>Given the necessity of having to move our vehicles every 3 hours due to existing parking restrictions and whilst I can appreciate the need to investigate alternative parking options for residents, unfortunately, I can't honestly see any benefit from these proposals for us as a business.</p>  | <p>The area referred to is not a parking space, it is part of a goods vehicle only loading bay that is inappropriately marked with a "KEEP CLEAR". The use of "KEEP CLEAR" markings in this manner is contrary to government guidance and should not have been implemented in the past. Replacing this with "no waiting at any time" as has been proposed will allow non-commercial vehicles to load and unload; it does not otherwise change what activities are or are not allowed.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>As suggested, please take my conclusion into account when you apply your final conclusion.</p> <p>Finally, please accept my thanks for your attention to my concerns.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 29/01/2020 14:12, tro wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The KEEP CLEAR markings shouldn't really have been put down to start with as their specified use is to deter queuing traffic rather than to prevent parking but they have no legal/enforceable standing. However, one of my predecessors felt that they would be appropriate to deter drivers from parking across business' entrances along Church Lane.</p> <p>At the moment, where the KEEP CLEAR is across a dropped kerb within a goods vehicle loading bay, parking is limited to commercial vehicles that are loading/unloading, whilst the dropped kerb</p> | <p>At the same time, replacing the marking with yellow lines as proposed would help to clearly delineate where vehicles should or should not be left, and help motorists to know where different parking restrictions start and end so that they do not accidentally contravene them and receive a PCN.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>(regardless of road markings) means that a ticket can be issued at your request if someone is blocking your access without your consent.</p> <p>If we were to go ahead with the double yellow lines, this would mean that vehicles can still stop so long as they are loading/unloading, and this wouldn't be limited to commercial vehicles. The dropped kerb restriction would remain unchanged.</p> <p>I'd therefore regard the actual impact of putting in yellow lines as minimal in terms of what drivers can/can't do, but it would help drivers avoid confusion about where each restriction starts/ends and getting tickets as a result.</p> <p>Do let me know what you think, and I can ensure it is taken into account in the considerations once the consultation is over.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b></p> <p><i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i></p> <p><i>Stevenage Borough Council</i></p> <p><i>01438 242 296</i></p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link</i><br/> <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</a></p> <p><b>From:</b><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 27 January 2020 16:31<br/> <b>To:</b> tro<br/> <b>Subject:</b> Re: [External] Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town (Your Ref: TPE/03/19-1/F)</p> <p>Dear Mr Howard</p> <p>Once again, thank you for your response.</p> <p>As you can see from the attached pic, our 'KEEP CLEAR' marking is part of a loading bay that adjoins a parking bay, so I imagine you wouldn't be able to withdraw the double yellows on that basis?</p> <p>Is there any chance the 'KEEP CLEAR' markings can be retained which would then obviate the need for the double yellow lines?</p> <p>Many thanks</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>NNNN</p> <p>On 27/01/2020 08:42, tro wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your further email.</p> <p>The yellow lines would allow you to load/unload but would not allow you to park.</p> <p>Where it's only your business' access that would be affected, and as it falls if I remember rightly all within a single bay type rather than being where a parking bay and a loading bay meet, we can look to withdraw the proposed yellow lines if that is your preference.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b></p> <p><i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i></p> <p><i>Stevenage Borough Council</i></p> <p><i>01438 242 296</i></p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link</i><br/> <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</a></p> <p><b>From:</b><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 22 January 2020 09:23<br/> <b>To:</b> tro<br/> <b>Subject:</b> Re: [External] Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town (Your Ref: TPE/03/19-1/F)</p> <p>Thank you for your response.</p> <p>However, I'm still confused as to whether we will still be able to park outside our garage (as a parking bay - not on the highway) if you are introducing yellow lines on the highway?</p> <p>I hope my question makes sense!</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>NNNN</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>On 22/01/2020 09:00, tro wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The proposed scheme as it currently stands doesn't include provision for businesses to have permits. Bays along Church Lane that are currently limited to three hours are proposed to allow permit holders to park for longer, but will still be available for up to three hours for everyone else. We don't anticipate a significant impact from the few residents living above premises on Church Lane/High Street occupying these spaces during the working day.</p> <p>The KEEP CLEAR markings are currently a source of confusion as they are within marked bays, which shouldn't be the case, and make it less clear where different bays start and end resulting in drivers coming to shop mistakenly parking in goods vehicle loading bays rather than the time limited parking bay they expected. We're proposing to replace them with yellow lines to make this clearer and hopefully help people avoid getting tickets; at the same time it is legal to load and unload on yellow lines just as it is in a loading bay, so there should be no loss of amenity to</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|--|------------------|
|          |         |  | <p>businesses receiving/despatching deliveries.</p> <p>I hope this is the information you need, if you have any further questions do ask, and do please get in touch if you'd like to make a formal response to the consultation.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b></p> <p><i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i></p> <p><i>Stevenage Borough Council</i></p> <p><i>01438 242 296</i></p> <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link</i><br/> <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</a></p> <p><b>From:</b><br/> <b>Sent:</b> 20 January 2020 11:50<br/> <b>To:</b> tro<br/> <b>Subject:</b> [External] Parking Proposals in</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Stevenage Old Town (Your Ref: TPE/03/19-1/F)</p> <p>Good morning</p> <p>I write with regard to the above.</p> <p>We are a business (Any Kitchen Ltd) currently renting 101A High Street SG1 3HR. Our property includes a garage at the rear on Church Lane where, according to your letter and plan dated 16 January 2020, double yellow lines will be introduced.</p> <p>To quote your letter, <i>"some yellow lines are also proposed, on the north eastern bend of Stanmore Road and to replace <b>KEEP CLEAR</b> markings on <b>Church Lane</b> and in other locations to prevent obstruction."</i></p> <p>Can you please confirm if this means we are included in the proposed permit scheme (as a business)?</p> <p>As we have a "KEEP CLEAR" sign outside our garage (necessary for deliveries) are we still able to park in that designated space i.e. inside the proposed double yellow lines as opposed to on the actual road?</p> <p>Thank you</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 83.0     | Albert Street   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The address given is my business address. It's a hair salon which I have owned for 23 years. I feel that the change in parking could seriously affect my business. I realise there is a huge problem with commuters parking there all day and that needs dealing with but not at the cost of the locals. The many elderly people in Albert st rely on family and friends to visit them and do shopping etc which will be made difficult and costly. I don't know where I stand in this as I am not a resident but my staff and I need to be there all day. I don't have a solution. Maybe a time limit on parking would work although this still won't solve the problem for my staff</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |
| 84.0     | Ingleside Drive | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing in regards about the Old Town Permit</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>that is being considered at the moment.</p> <p>This is a huge cause of concern to me as I have one child who goes to Letchmore Infants school who is in Reception class and my son who is attending Clares Little Bears preschool. I come from Ingleside Drive which is approximately 20 - 30 minutes walking distance with 2 young children so I do drive them to and from school.</p> <p>I park in Stanmore road at the moment as I find this the easist and safest place to park as we are not able to park outside Letchmore School because of parking restrictions and road safety. I completely understand that this may affect some residents living in Stanmore road by the annoyance of people parking outside their homes but as I understand the road has always got space for me to park.</p> <p>I do believe this will have a really negative effect on the community. Not only is mental health and emotional well-being huge at the moment but by doing this it causes more problems on people's health. It affects people who use the the doctors surgery, parents and staff who use The Priory, parents and staff who use Clare's Little Bears, parents and staff who use Letchmore School, it will cause more traffic, more environment issues as people will no longer park but wait with their engines still running at school drop off. It will also affect other areas of the old town and other</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>This is not an unreasonable distance to be suitable for active travel. 20-30 minutes walking would be consistent with government exercise recommendations, and could readily be shortened by cycling which is as quick as driving between this origin and destination at peak times.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0 and "School and nursery parking" and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address               |                       | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |                       |                       | <p>residents who live in the surrounding area where people will then park outside their homes which will cause more build up of traffic, it affects families and friends who visit each other, this not only causes a divide in the community but will cause people to become more secluded.</p> <p>I really disagree with being penalised for taking my children to and from school it feels as if the council have not thought this through on how this will affect people who don't live close to the school. I think putting this parking permit in place is really bad idea and I really feel for us parents, workers, staff, the whole community that it will have a negative effect on.</p> <p>I hope you will take into account the community's concern about this issue and I hope it can be resolved appropriately and accordingly to people's needs.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |
| 85.0     | [blank response form] | [blank response form] | <p>Name:<br/>asa</p> <p>Full Address:<br/>asd</p> <p>Stevenage Borough Council will use the information you provide for this consultation only.</p>  | n/a                                   |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>Your details will not be passed on to any third parties. Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to Data Protection legislation and can be viewed on our website.</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p>  |  |
| 86.0     | Fresson Road | Stevenage | <p>To whom it may concern.</p> <p>I am a resident on Fresson Road, with children at Letchmore Infants' School and Clares Little Bears preschool.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed parking permit implementation in this area, particular around Letchmore Road and Basil's Road and surrounding streets.</p> <p>Luckily I am often able to walk to take my children to school, but upon occasion I need to drive in order to carry on to work afterwards. With proposed permit enforcement I will no longer be able to do so, and would instead have to return</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>home to get my car which would cause me to be late. Additionally, with all surrounding streets becoming permitted I fear that even more cars will be forced to park on Fresson Road, pushing the parking issue onto our doorstep rather than actually solving it.</p> <p>Aside from the slight inconvenience to me, my real concerns lie with all of the parents, teachers and businesses who will be severely impacted by this. Many of these groups predominantly use the parking during business hours when most of the residents are at work - I can't believe that parents parking on their street during the school run impacts them that much if they are at work anyway. I have friends who do not live within walking distance of their child's school and they are now extremely anxious about how they will manage the school run efficiently and safely for their children. I have the option to walk most days - others do not! Teachers will have nowhere to park, so what does that mean for our schools? Will teachers be able to arrive to work on time if they are driving around looking for parking? What about all of the old town businesses who rely on parking for their customers? Do we really want more high street businesses to be forced to close their doors permanently?! The knock on effect of this permit parking will be felt across the old town, and as mentioned previously I can't believe it will actually</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |              |           | <p>solve parking issues. Just push them onto other streets and other neighbourhoods.</p> <p>I implore you to reconsider!</p> <p>Regards</p>  | See officer comments on response 1.0. |
| 86.1     | Fresson Road | Stevenage | <p>Hello</p> <p>I am at ## Fresson Road.</p> <p>Thanks<br/>NNNN</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 3 Feb 2020, at 13:57, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended on 14 February all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments as</p> | n/a                                   |

| Ref. no. | Address     | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|---|------------------|
|          |             | <p>an objection and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your house number, to help us to understand the distribution of responses we receive.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |                  |
| 87.0     | Church Lane | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>Not sure if you had read my email I sent Monday? I had already spoken to you colleague NNNN re the proposed parking plans. I raised some concerns with him re Church Lane (behind the Cromwell Hotel).</p> <p>There are only a few houses on that strip ... the tiny Arms Houses only has one lady who owns a car as these houses come under the Haven ? Our next door neighbour on the other side has a drive at the back in Stanmore Road, the house opposite has a drive and so does the Wilson Cottages... so the only other house that doesn't have parking on Church Lane is the converted Builders Yard.</p> <p>The other part of Church Lane, section of Walkern Road, Stanmore Road, Basils Road etc all would</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                              |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>have parking permits near or outside to their houses.</p> <p>I understand that outside our house we have 3 hour limited parking. We have 2 cars. There is also quite a lot of car damage after the pubs etc so would prefer to park near our home.</p> <p>My main gripe is the fact that I am expected to buy a permit to park outside my house but also compete with non permit holders (albeit 3hr limited).</p> <p>I understand the need for parking for those visiting the high street shops, but there is a car park on church lane as well as the large car parks to the east of the high street that have ample spaces for those needed for traders customer parking.</p> <p>I would appreciate it if you could reconsider the mixed use parking outside my house and make it permit only.</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 30 Jan 2020, at 08:01, tro</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 64.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p data-bbox="730 276 1189 308">&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p data-bbox="730 339 904 371">Dear NNNN</p> <p data-bbox="730 416 1093 448">Thank you for your email.</p> <p data-bbox="730 493 1453 740">In preparing the proposals we've had to weigh up the needs of residents and to support the ability for customers to visit businesses. If they go ahead, and whether that is unchanged or with alterations based on the feedback we've received, will depend on full consideration of all the replies we receive.</p> <p data-bbox="730 785 1447 1224">The proposed bays here, rather than being simply "3 hours only" would have an exemption for permit holders. So whilst at the moment non-residents may block this space all day they'd have to move on after a reasonable period - but residents would be allowed to park all day. You'd be eligible for up to three permits, and be able to have visitor vouchers to let your guests park as well, and if the spaces outside your house were occupied you would be able to park in other bays along the street that are "3 hours, exception for permit holders" or in the "permit holders only" streets.</p> <p data-bbox="730 1268 1453 1372">I've treated this just as a question: if you'd like to make a response to the consultation you need only reply to this email with your thoughts and I will</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>ensure they are considered as part of the decision making process.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>Philip Howard<br/>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager<br/>Stevenage Borough Council<br/>01438 242 296</p> <p>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link<br/><a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</a></p> <p>-----Original Message-----<br/>From:]<br/>Sent: 27 January 2020 17:43<br/>To: tro<br/>Subject: [External] Parking proposals # Church Lane</p> <p>Dear Philip Howard,</p> <p>I rang on Monday and spoke to you colleague NNNN re the proposed parking plans. I raised some concerns with him re Church Lane ( behind the Cromwell Hotel) and I have circled roughly on</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                          |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>the attachment where we live.</p> <p>There are only a few houses on that strip ... the tiny Arms Houses only has one lady who owns a car as these houses come under the Haven ?</p> <p>Our next door neighbour on the other side has a drive at the back in Stanmore Road, the house opposite has a drive and so does the Wilson Cottages... so the only other house that doesn't have parking on Church Lane is the converted Builders Yard.</p> <p>The other part of Church Lane, section of Walkern Road, Stanmore Road, Basils Road etc all would have parking permits near or outside to their houses.</p> <p>I understand that outside our house we have 3 hour limited parking. We have 2 cars. There is also quite a lot of car damage after the pubs etc so would prefer to park near to our home.</p> <p>Can you clarify if we will have resident parking for 2 cars and at the same cost as all the other residents who will have parking outside their homes ?</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Many thanks.</p> |   |
| 87.1     | Church Lane | Stevenage | <p>Dear Philip Howard,</p> <p>Can you confirm which Councillor is dealing with the parking issues?</p>   | The resident was passed relevant details. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Many thanks.</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 3 Feb 2020, at 14:03, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended on 14 February all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
| 88.0     | Jackdaw Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I am a patient that uses Stanmore Road Doctors surgery. Sometimes the end car park is full and the surgery car park is full so I have to use Stanmore Road to park in. Can you please also be aware that Poplars surgery has limited doctors appointments as does not have full day surgeries so inevitably patients from Poplars area have to go to Stanmore Road where there are always a lot more appointments, so this need to be investigated as well. In addition, the other car park further along in Church Lane is season ticket only and it would help if this was changed. The answer is you need to provide more car parking for old town visitors and residents. This permit route is NOT the answer and will simply move the problem somewhere else and could cause social/environmental problems which may have</p> | <p>If this scheme goes ahead patients would benefit from additional spaces being made available for up to three hours in nearby streets where at present all-day parking prevents them from stopping, in addition to the proposed bays outside the surgery. See "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>Changes to car parks are outside the scope of this project.</p> <p>The proposed combination of creating more free on-street limited waiting and placing permit controls would be to increase the availability of parking for visitors and residents.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | serious consequences to the area.  |  |
| 89.0     | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>in total agreement with reasons given by NNNN and NNNN at number ## alleyns and all other residents we have spoken to. we are very strongly against the proposals.</p>                                    | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report. |
| 90.0     |              |           | <p>Dear sir/madam,</p> <p>I write to you in regards to the permit parking scheme soon to be introduced in the Old Town. I have serious concerns regarding the businesses that operate in the High Street and their ability to retain staff once this change comes into place.</p> <p>Please see below an extract from an email sent to my local counsellor regarding this issue. I would appreciate your comments to the points I raise.</p> <p>I have seen that Basils Road and several</p> |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>surrounding roads will become permit only parking areas in the coming months following a council consultation. I am a regular visitor to the High Street and several people I speak to who work in the Old Town are concerned about where they will park once this scheme comes in. The Primmet Road car parks are pretty much empty since the closure of Waitrose, unfortunately the footfall along the High Street has also dropped considerably since the store closed. Have you and other counsellors suggested that businesses be offered free permits for the car parks that are now very under used? The employees of these businesses do everything they can to ensure that the High Street remains to be a thriving area however I can see a number of people look to other areas for employment where they can park close to their places of work, the numerous shopping parks being prime example. If people do leave for employment elsewhere I fear that we will see several other shops closing in the coming year. The High Street like so many others is becoming a place of food outlets which is no good for the day time economy.</p> <p>We need to support the businesses and those who keep them going.</p> <p>Regards,</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |              |           |  |                                       |
| 91.0     | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I am in favour of the proposals as described, thank you.</p>   | The support is noted.                 |
| 92.0     | Grove Road   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I am concerned about the effect of the proposed residents parking for a number of reasons. The recent closure of Waitrose has effected footfall in the Old Town and limiting parking will further deter</p> | See officer comments on response 5.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |            |           | <p>people from visiting the Old Town. We could end up with half empty streets in the day with plenty of parking that non residents can't use. I object to paying a sizeable chunk of cash for the administration of this scheme. I've lived here for 28 years and we've managed fine up to now. The parking problem will simply be pushed further out. There will always be people who want to park right outside their house.....this still work solve their problem. Imagine when you've paid your money and still can't park. Please consider the long term future of the businesses in the Old Town. They don't need another obstacle to winning customers.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |
| 93.0     | Cornfields | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I visit Stanmore surgery it's bad enough trying to park now with no on road parking you would have to pay for parking, why do we pay Stevenage rates ?</p>  | <p>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p>   |
| 94.0     | Grove Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>A resident parking scheme cannot come soon enough! I attended the meetings about this and there was overwhelming support for this scheme here. It is often difficult to park on this road and when you have a car full of shopping, or small children, not being able to park on the road can be particularly challenging.<br/>Commuters and those who work in the Old town leave their cars here for long periods of time.<br/>A residents permit parking scheme will obviously not guarantee a parking space outside the house but it will improve the situation. The other option would be to make the old town car parks free of charge....</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> |
| 95.0     | Shire Court | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>The reasons that these roads are heavily congested is mostly because of people parking here to work in the Old Town/commute to London. The reason that they do this is because your car park charges are extortionate. As a result of that, we have 2 large car parks on parallel sides of the High Street that a grossly under utilized. Therefore, clearly the answer isn't to further charge the people that live here, it is to make these car parks free or at best, reduced. These parking permits are nothing more than a cash cow. The High Street area already has a need for greater enforcement of the restrictions that currently exist - I do not see in the proposal a plan to increase resource to enforce these permit restrictions? Therefore, this will inevitably dilute the resource that has been allocated to patrolling the High Street and such areas. The result will be that whilst the people of the Old Town are at work, parking spaces will remain empty; if you do issue tickets to people parking in permit restricted zones then you make revenue from that, revenue from the car parks, and revenue from the permit holders! Who wins?! These restrictions will also</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 7.0 and 16.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> <p>Enforcement of existing restrictions is conducted at an appropriate and effective level.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 22.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>force people into other areas that are currently not restricted such as outside Shire Court where I live. This already has its issues for people consistently parking on the Keep Clear. You insist that this is not a council issue, not enforceable by a parking enforcement officer, and in spite of me reporting the issue numerous times, this parking area is being left completely untouched? That is because it is not enforceable with permits as it is indeed the High Street and therefore is not a revenue drive for you! God help us if we need an ambulance or fire engine at Shire Court - and you will be channeling more vehicles to this unrestricted parking area by implementing a permit system that fundamentally penalizes the wrong people.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>This area is excluded from the Designation Order for Civil Parking Enforcement, meaning the Council has no legal ability to intervene here.</p> |
| 96.0     | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>Good morning,</p> <p>Please see below my formal objection to the parking proposal in Stevenage Old Town.</p> <p>Residents name - NNNN</p> <p>Residents address - ## Stanmore road, Stevenage, Herts, SG1 3QE</p> <p>REASONS FOR OBJECTION BELOW;</p> <p>From what I understand, the parking proposal is to help residents park near their homes with the enforcement taking place between 8:30am to</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>17:30pm, Monday to Saturday.</p> <p>If the above is correct my question is, what's the point? These hours cover general working hours meaning, THE STREET IS EMPTY! I'd like to clarify that this is not an assumption. I do not work (due to illness/disability) and have clear visibility of the street every day and I can confirm that there is not a parking issue, there is plenty for the people who need a space during this time frame.</p> <p>The irony here is that your proposed enforcement to help residents gain parking near their homes ends at 17:30 when it matters! Do you see my point?</p> <p>You are attempting to enforce a rule during a time frame when it doesn't matter. Come half 5 my partner and my son do loops around Stanmore road in the attempt to gain a space. If this street had permit parking after 17:30pm then this enforcement would make sense and would be something I could get behind. I just don't understand who you are trying to help?</p> <p>So, you are telling me that I now have to pay £60 a year for what? A space in a street who's parking is not an issue between the hours of 8:30am and 17:30pm, that Stevenage borough council is completely ludicrous! To confirm, this complaint is</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        | Content   | Officer comments      |
|----------|----------------|---|-----------------------|
|          |                | <p>referencing use of the enforcement between Monday and Friday, on a Saturday I can only imagine the enforcement to be useful however, the street is relatively empty anyway on this day.</p> <p>To end my moan I would like to add a positive, the proposed yellow lines at the top corner of Stanmore road is an amazing idea! This corner is a constant pain and to have this clear would be very beneficial to the flow of traffic and ease of use.</p> <p>I hope the above complaint/formal objection is read and responded to and if at any point I have been incorrect, please set me straight.</p> <p>Regards,</p> | The support is noted. |
| 97.0     | Victoria Close | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>When we had been consulted about the parking</p>   |                       |

| Ref. no. | Address     |         | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|---------|--|--|
|          |             |         | <p>situation in our street previously, the general message was one of concern about safety - largely how parking around the bends that lead into Victoria Close off Albert St makes it dangerous for both drivers and pedestrians. Suggestions made supported the implementation of yellow lines to prevent this activity continuing to happen.</p> <p>I am, and a number of others are, surprised to see proposals to establish a parking permitting system. Homes along this street benefit from having driveways which we all use so the only people being penalised are our visitors and the poor parents who are used to parking along the road to drop off / collect their children at Letchmore School around the corner.</p> <p>Our road is wide/big enough to accommodate people who park for accessing local sites and I think this should be supported providing it is done safely hence the yellow lines preventing corner parking suggestion. To implement permits is an unnecessary step too far and only brings to mind "money making" as opposed to what's in the best interests of residents and visitors (short or long term) alike.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 8.0.</p> |
| 98.0     | The Willows | Hitchin | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address           |  | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------------|--|--|---|
|          |                   |  | <p>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>My children go to Letchmore Road School. We live a distance away so have to drive and park. I understand wanting to prevent people parking for a long time on these roads then heading off on the train or into town but stopping people dropping off children will lead to either dangerous parking (creating risk for others crossing the road) or more children missing the start of school I fear. Also I am worried how I would ever park at the doctors surgery. Would it be possible to start this scheme but allow short term parking? Best wishes,</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p> |
| 99.0     | King George Close |  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>My son attends Letchmore road school and parking around the area is already an issue during drop off and pick up times. I walk as much as possible but on the days I drive I will park in surrounding roads such as Alleyns road for 15 minutes while I collect or drop off my son. I'm all for the permit parking as I'm sure the residents will benefit from these but it's going to push the problem of parking at these times to surrounding areas that do not have restrictions. Some consideration is required for the restricted areas to allow parking for a temporary period during school hours.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 100.0    | Weston Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>The problem you are saying is commuters parking for the station. Yet you have proposed to put this in place all day. The parking around Letchmore school is already dangerous with no where for the parents to park. By making this</p>  | See officer comments on response 5.3.  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>permit all day that means more congestion will happen in hellards road, Letchmore road and other surrounding streets making it unsafe for the children walking to school. Also how are the parents supposed to collect / drop off their young children from The priory and Claire's bears nursery. These parents are using these facilities mostly because they are working and will mostly be commuting via car to get there on time. Surely a 1 hour stay or permit between 12-2 would be better as this would stop commuters using the road, but leave it free for parents during school pickups.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 1.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |
| 100.1    | Weston Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have to drop my children in letchmore road and stanmore road, due to time restrictions on work some days I need to drive, the proposal would forbid me from doing this. I live in the old town and do recognise parking is an issue from workers and</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>      |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | travellers to London, but the answer to this is restriction between say 12-2. I would respectfully submit this is another money making exercise rather than a genuine concern for residents. If this does go ahead, then there should be no charge for a parking permit. Lets keep Stevenage a nice place to live and work, not create another London and a council finding more and more ways to indirectly Tax the individual trying to make a living.   | See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, 5.3 and 8.0.   |
| 101.0    | Weston Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>This will leave parents of The priory and Claire's bears nursery unable to park to drop off and pick up their child. Also the school traffic for Letchmore road is already dangerously unmanageable. By putting all day permits in place you will be funnelling the problem of this further up the old town making the area dangerous for the children to walk and cross the roads. These permits need to leave at least 2 hours around the school times</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | for parents to park safely in the surrounding streets.  |  |
| 102.0    | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>As I live in Haycroft Road which has a huge parking problem, you will be just pushing the problem onto our road! We already have lots of commuters/business people park in our road.It is jammed packed in the day. With Fairlands school, in Pound avenue just around the corner, this may become a safety issue for children and parents- this is a risk you will be taking.(maybe with lives)Traffic congestion in such a narrow road is dangerous.Plus the increased this kerb parking this will cause(which is forced upon because of the bus route!)could endanger children and elderly peoples lives.I do not think that you have thought though the adverse affect on Haycroft Road/pound avenue.You need to seriously consider this in your deliberations.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>See “Other issues” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 103.0    | Fieldcroft   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Great proposal, parking is impossible at present with cars all over the place</p>   | The support is noted.                 |
| 104.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>While I understand the logic of this new permit scheme, it does concern me that the restrictions being put in place will actually cause more parking issues further into the old town. For example, Walkern Road. I fear that residents would now try to park beyond the restrictions further up the street</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>then impacting the rest of the road in order to avoid paying for a permit. I wonder if maybe a one hour parking restriction should be put in place on residential streets in the morning and afternoon. Similar to FAIRVIEW ROAD. This will then stop any vehicles being parked for the owners to walk to the train station Friday on these roads.</p> <p>I also feel that to enforce these permit bays on bank holidays is wholly unfair. This is the time where most people have visitors. Especially if bank holidays around Christmas fall during the week. Would it not be better to remove this element? Then that saves residents using more visitors permits.</p> <p>I feel that this is more of a money making scheme that actually resolving parking issues.</p> <p>I also think that residents should get the first vehicle free. And to restrict permits to three vehicles per house hold is ludicrous. On average, residents on Walkern Road have two children per house and as these children grow each house will have at least four vehicles. So what do we do if we have four vehicles?</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3 and 71.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments no response 3.4. The proposed limit on permit numbers reflects the overall preferences of residents in the area affected when surveyed last year, and serves to deter excess car ownership while the majority of households in the area have fewer than three vehicles.</p> |
| 105.0    | Julians Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |            |           | <p>restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I regularly visit the Health clinic in Stanmore Road, the turn over of vehicles is substantial around that area during opening times. The main problem for residents is in the evenings not during the day, finding somewhere to park when arriving home is very difficult. Issuing permits will make it even more difficult as the number of residents who have 2 or more vehicles has increased month by month. Permits will just move the problem to the nearby roads and not solve any problems for residents and cause major problems to visitors to the Doctors and residents</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 88.0</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |
| 106.0    | Four Acres | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments                                    |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>objection</p> <p>I live in four acres and my kids are at school.at Letchmore primary. Parking permits would make everyone's life more stressful. I don't see any problem currently. Please leave the situation as it is. We don't want any parking permits on any streets in old Town.</p>  | See officer comments on responses 1.0, 8.0 and 8.1. |
| 107.0    | Walkern Road  | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Works well in Bristol so look forward to it's implication here</p> | The support is noted.                               |
| 108.0    | Exchange Road |           | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |  | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|--|---|--|
|          |               |  | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Both my children's schools are in this area. The parking is already dangerous for the children, by doing permit this will increase the number of cars on letchmore road making it more dangerous for the children. I have to drive to the schools due to moving out of the area and having a disabled son. It is already tricky getting him to and from school. Instead of permits More parking should be added or even making driveways for the people who live in that area. Safety of the pubic should be the first concern especially the children's in letchmore which has an age range of 3-6.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 109.0    | Lonsdale Road |  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I think its a good idea, my children all go to letchmore and almond Hill (mostly walk but if i do drive i tend to park further away where its not a danger)its not really the school times that are the</p>  | The support is noted.  |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                 |           | biggest threat, its the enormous amount of cars people have per household that pose the biggest difficulty! Some having atleast 1 per adult in a family of 5(which consists of all adults)   |  |
| 110.0    | Corton Close    | Stevenage | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I dont live on these streets and live in Fishers Green, but I am registered with the Stanmore Road doctors surgery, my children attend Priory Nursey and Letchmore Road school. It would be impossible to get them to or from school on time. Cant even by the visitor vouchers though I would object to spending £20 a week to take my kids to walk. Where are you supposed to park for the school? It's over 1 mile to walk, and I have to go to work after anyway</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 111.0    | Ingelheim Court |           | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |                |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I live in Ingleheim Court. I am 76 years old with COPD, which means I cannot walk very far. I have parking spots outside my bungalow. However at school pick up and drop off times it is chaos. My parking spots are filled. The parking in Haycroft Road is terrible. Lots of commuter and people from Austins and the dentists already clog up the residents parking. Putting even more pressure on Haycroft and Ingleheim, it is disgusting. It is a narrow road and someone could be killed if these roads are the only ones you can park for free. Please think this through more carefully and the affect it will have on us.</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |
| 112.0    | Morcambe Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an</p>  |                                       |

| Ref. no. | Address        |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|--|--|--|
|          |                |  | <p>objection</p> <p>I have lived in Morecambe Close since 2007. We regularly walk and drive into the Old Town and our sons attend Letchmore School and nearby Priory Nursery. The pick up and drop off time for full time working parents is hectic enough as it is without having to think about parking restrictions. In addition, the ever increasing costs of childcare and 'wrap around' childcare make the prospect of having to pay further for parking permits in and around the Old Town an absolute disgrace. Trade in the Old Town is already suffering with Waitrose having shut down to be replaced by another chain supermarket as opposed to local traders and local businesses. We should be supporting Stevenage and it's locals rather than charging yet more money to families who already struggle..</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |
| 113.0    | Victoria Close |  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I wish to submit my wholesale opposition to the</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>proposed parking permit plan for Victoria Road, Stevenage Old Town, and the surrounding areas. While the rationale for the scheme is to relieve congestion along the street, this is not something that is an issue along Victoria Road, as its other residents can tell you: policies like this should not be adopted and implemented without an inherent need and justification for them, and within this context there is no justifiable need for it.</p> <p>There is also the issue of this scheme impacting on parents utilising the area in order to drop off and pick up their children from Letchmore Infants' and Nursery School, which at present works perfectly fine and causes no problems: therefore this scheme has the potential to create additional problems for Stevenage residents, rather than helping to solve them in any constructive way. Parents whose children attend a local school should not be financially penalised because of the geographical location of that school, in the same way that residents of Stevenage Old Town should not themselves be penalised because their properties happen to be within that area. Furthermore, there is something that is inherently unjust about the concept of requiring households and their guests to pay for the pleasure of residing or visiting a household.</p> <p>I trust you will take these arguments into</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.4.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                 |           | <p>consideration when discussing the further implementation of this policy.</p> <p>Thank you</p>  |  |
| 114.0    | Grove Road      | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I entirely support introduction of this residential permit parking scheme, including visitor permits, for Grove Road and the surrounding roads in the Old Town. The overspill of parking from the High St throughout the week is incredibly disruptive, both in terms of residents' ability to park their own cars, but also our ability to take deliveries or book home services (given the well-known difficulty of parking on roads behind the High St). So, anything that can be done to control, and enforce said control, of the use of our roads in order to protect our own access would be positive action taken by the Council.</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is for reasons such as these that the proposals have been made.</p> |
| 115.0    | St Albans Drive |           | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I dont believe it will help the current situation, it will just move the problem. It will prevent me parking at work- for a business that is based on Stanmore Road. Also getting to the doctors as that one is our main surgery, will become even more difficult to get to when I am not well</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.<br/>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p> |
| 116.0    | Baddeley Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>with the planned parking restrictions in all streets surrounding Letchmore infants and nursery school</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>you are putting increasing pressure on the traffic flow. You are not solving any problems but creating more for streets on the north side of the school. My son has a physical disability, which means i need to park as close a possible to his school (Letchmore) My son cannot walk very far without it causing him discomfort and pain. wWith the planned parking restrictions it will make it impossible for me to park within a reasonable walking distance for my son and I.</p>   | <p>Blue Badge holders can park on the single yellow lines in Letchmore Road for up to three hours, and would also be able to park within the proposed permit area.<br/>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |
| 117.0    | Cotney Croft | Stevenage | <p>REF:OLD TOWN PARKING PERMIT NOTICE</p> <p>I am writing regarding the new parking permit notice that has recently been put up on posts by the Stevenage Borough Council all around Basils Road, Stanmore Road, Letchmore Road, Southend close, Grove Road, Alleyne's Road, Church lane, Albert street and Victoria Close.</p> <p>This will ultimately affect me and the place I work, Clares little bears pre school, massively, also The Priory, Letchmore school, Stanmore Health centre, Bunyan church, Austin's, the red shop, the old town high street.</p> <p>I appreciate it must be frustrating for residence when they need to park in the roads near their homes. We only wish to use it during the day when most are at work and the parking is clear. Maybe a restriction to stop the commuters parking not the local people who live and work in Stevenage.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>As an employee of a high quality childcare provision in the old town we may well suffer hugely. I worry for the parents who have to drive to drop off their children in our school and need the extra time to hand over their children, parents/Carers who have disabilities and children with disabilities who also need to park outside the school during the times of 830-530pm, as they've proposed. Some children will only do a3 hour morning or afternoon session meaning parents will be returning before the 4 hour "no return" window.</p> <p>All our staff that are already tight on time after dropping their own children off and rushing to make it to be in ratio to open up for our school session. If we have to park further way this will cause a problem for us to open and close at times where parents need to go to and from work too. This is simply going to be a nightmare for everyone mentioned above. There isn't enough car parking space and additional fees for staff to pay car parking all day in the old town. There would be free places on other old town roads but then it all gets pushed up and everyone will think the same and then the whole Old town area will have parking permits. As a member of staff I require parking from 830-430/5pm (usually gone by 430).</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>Blue badge holders would be allowed to park within the proposed permit area.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments                       |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>I hope that you will consider my comments and an alternative solution can be reached, or at the very least staff permits can be issued to us and a grace period put in place for parents dropping off and collecting.</p> <p>Sincerely</p>   | See officer comments on response 46.0. |
| 117.1    | Courtney Croft | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your reply.<br/> My address is<br/> ## Cotney Croft<br/> Stevenage<br/> SG2 9PT</p> <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 3 Feb 2020, at 11:32, tro<br/> &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is of course to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended on 14 February all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and</p> | n/a                                    |

| Ref. no. | Address        | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|----------------|--|------------------|
|          |                | <p>how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |                  |
| 118.0    | Letchmore Road | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have lived at this address for 22 years and have witnessed what the parking is like in the surrounding area.</p> <p>I know that these parking proposals will cause</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>major disruptions to Letchmore Road. Firstly, imposing a 3 hour wait/ return in 4 hours restriction on the Letchmore road laybys will mean that the residents who live on this road will not be able to park outside their homes (this is where I park). Secondly, there are no restrictions on the Road adjacent to the Letchmore Green (opposite #26), but parking permit restrictions on Albert Street, Alleyens road and Haycroft Road. This will result in people parking either side of the Road adjacent to the green, causingmajor conjection outside our house. I know that people will park there because on a Friday afternoon people park on this road to use the Mosque as all spaces in their car park and on Albert street are full. By implementing a permit scheme on the surrounding roads, cars will park on this road constantly, just like further up Letchmore past the park. This will cause potential dangers on the letchmore road/ albert street junction, as people already park too close to that junction and the road is also too narrow to get down when people park on it. it is also close to a school so kids may run between the parked cars. If the permit proposals were to go forward, I think that Letchmore Road should also be given the option of being permit holders only, for the whole road and the laybys. Or, there should be double yellow lines on the road adjacent to the green, to avoid congestion and trouble with the junction. We are also worried these restrictions might lead</p> | <p>There is no proposal to place limited waiting controls in laybys on Letchmore Road.</p> <p>Parking bays are proposed on one side of the street here, which is expected to deter parking opposite them and leave the road passable. Obstructive parking opposite the bays would be enforceable by the Police in the short term, and if necessary SBC could then propose additional restrictions in the long term.</p> <p>No waiting at any time restrictions have been proposed to deter inappropriate parking at this junction.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See “Other issues” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |  | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|----------------|--|--|---|
|          |                |  | <p>people to park on the green- which should be protected as it is church land, however it hasn't stopped people parking on it in the past.</p> <p>Furthermore, I know a lot of people have expressed concerns about Stanmore Road and how much of a nightmare it is already to park near to the Doctors Surgery.</p> <p>My mum also works in the old town and is worried about where she would be able to park when going to clients houses (she is an estate agent)</p> <p>Ideally I think that things should stay the way they are. Not everyone who needs a space can afford to pay for a permit. And for my family, we can't even get a permit for our road - so everyone will use it to park on, making even more problems.</p> <p>Thank you for hearing my concerns. I hope they will be considered during deliberations.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p> <p>Parking would be possible on the same terms as other visitors to those clients.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |
| 118.1    | Letchmore Road |  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address   |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--|---|
|          |           |           | <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Hello,</p> <p>Re my last comment- those of us on Letchmore Road need to have the option to be permit holders only. The current plans will make our lives so much more difficult considering we are the only road you are leaving out. This will obviously be the road everyone uses if there are no restrictions. The laybys should only be used by permit holders and the road should be too.</p> <p>I am in support of the Walkern Road permits for this reason- the 3 hour restriction on this road causes my friend to get tickets all the time for parking outside her own house. This is bizarre and needs to be resolved.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>The support is noted.</p> |
| 119.0    | Made Feld | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|-----------|---|--|
|          |         |           | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I have 2 sons that attend Letchmore Road infants &amp; nursery school. The parking around this area is awful during school drop off and collection times and I can only assume that the proposed parking restrictions will only make this worse.</p> <p>We live in the Bedwell area of Stevenage so walking (with 2 young children) to be in time for school &amp; for me to get to work is simply not an option. As I'm sure is the same for many families attending this school. Weather permitting we try to park further away and walk the last part of the journey but this is not viable everyday &amp; even parking further away it is still very hard to find anywhere adequate to park, again with the restrictions this will be made worse still. Are there any plans in the near future to look at parking and drop off for this school as this seems to be an ongoing problem, as many other families at the school, and residents living nearby would agree. I think it needs to be looked at to have a parking area nearby that would make it easier and safer for parents to get our children into school safely. If these proposed plans go forward where exactly would we be able to park to get our children into school? I find this very worrying that an already unsafe parking situation is about to be made much worse.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 120.0    | Tamar   | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          | Close       |           | <p>conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Hi have a daughter at Letchmore Road school. The road restrictions would make this a problem for school drop offs and pick ups. There will not only make this difficult for parents but teachers as well. I am strongly against these plans as we only use these roads twice a day for a very short time. There are no other options if you restrict the streets around the school.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.<br/>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |
| 121.0    | Essex Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>objection</p> <p>I feel the proposed parking permits do not solve the current problems regarding parking in the old town. If anything, it simply moves the problem slightly further away. I understand the frustration for carers visiting the elderly in a number of properties, particularly Albert Street, but I do not necessarily believe this should be one of the reasons for freeing up streets. Possibly designated parking spaces for carers could be positioned in prime locations to assist with this. Also, why do carers not use sustainable travel methods? Can a bus pass or car sharing be provided to stop people using cars. More solutions need to be considered.</p> <p>Moving the parking problem further away, will in opinion cause more problems for the schools in the Old Town. Drop off and pick up are already busy for schools such as Letchmore Infants and Fairlands primary school and I am concerned for the safety of children and parents/carers. Parked cars blocking other streets could potentially make an already strained area, feel dangerous. Has any review been conducted in to how streets are actually used. By this, I mean using up to date technology, such as computer modelling been considered? I haven't seen enough evidence to show how permits make the old town any better. I need more!</p> <p>I also feel Stevenage Borough Council should be also pushing sustainable travel alongside such a</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 48.0.</p> <p>This is not in SBC's control.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>Such modelling would not be achievable within the resources and funding available.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>This is in line with SBC's transport strategies, and the introduction of permit parking controls</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>scheme. The council should be pushing people to review their travel habits, including incentives and improving cycle facilities. Where are there appropriate places to park a bicycle in the old town? I would use my bike more if the Council actually bothered to invest in other forms of travel.</p> <p>Why do none of us walk or use buses? Why are car parks so expensive? Where is the evidence? I want to see actual modelling rather than surveys. It is not enough! Stevenage Borough Council need to spend the money and do a proper review.</p> | <p>would both deter excess car ownership by residents and car use for journeys in to the Old Town. Should the controls be implemented and return a surplus measures such as these are among the transport and environmental improvements it would be spent on.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> |
| 122.0    | Fovant Court | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I use this street as a safe place to drop my children off to school in the morning. This street always has places to park, never congested which is better than along Letchmore Road.</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>  |
| 123.0    | Daltry Road  | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                 |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>There will not be enough parking for Letchmore school, for teachers and parents during drop off and collection</p>   | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 124.0    | Whitesmead Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>This parking restriction will discourage people from coming for shopping, business meeting or visiting families/friends.</p> | See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 15.0.  |
| 125.0    | Siccut Road     | Hitchin   | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Dear Madam/Sir,<br/>I have two children in the Letchmore School in Old Town Stevenage.<br/>I am truly surprised that this proposal absolutely does not take into account the following facts:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are a huge and quite disproportionate number of vans and vehicles parked around the streets where parents from Letchmore School park in the morning. I really do not understand the need for every forth household to have a van and for them to park them on the road when there is already no space.</li> <li>2. There are two nurseries, one childminder and one major school around Stanmore road area. I have used all of them. Both me and my husband require full time use of these services and, with the added stress of commute, it is beyond my understanding as to why I should not be allowed to park a few minutes' walk away from school. I also</li> </ol> | <p>Commercial vehicles parked by residents are usually kept as a matter of necessity for their livelihood and parked in a similar manner to other resident's vehicles.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>do not see who will pay the cost of nursery and/or missed hours at work when I do not drop them off and pick them up at the correct time. I frankly find this proposal quite insensitive and without consideration for hundreds of parents and nursery staff too.</p> <p>3. Why has the council not provided alternate and suggestions for parents who have to use these services? Do we not pay council tax and all other taxes on time? Do we not deserve to get a humane use of these services?</p> <p>4. The ground in front of Letchmore School could be an excellent point to create a car park which could be exclusively used by Letchmore parents and the parents using other childcare services in the area. Why hasn't the council prepared a plan to use it as an alternate suggestion to solve parking problems? These same residents who are using demanding permits will fully object to this use of parkland in front of the school I assure you.</p> <p>So exactly how am I supposed to drop my kids to the services I use and pay a very large fees for? Helicopter them in?</p> <p>Frankly, these plans are ludicrous, anti-children and absolutely beyond comprehension and incomplete.</p> | <p>That the alternative options for parents would be to travel sustainably or to seek out alternative parking are obvious.</p> <p>SBC has no duty to provide parking, and any parking that could be built could not be reserved solely for parents to use. This would require a capital bid, and it would be a poor use of public funds to encourage unsustainable travel and give certain motorists preferential treatment over other members of the public.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>I strongly object to them and I am sure that the problem will not be solved because of these plans. Instead, it will only cause another set of issues but, by then, the council will have lost the chance to rectify anything.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p>  |   |
| 126.0    | Hopton Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I object to the proposed permits being put into place to the streets surrounding Letchmore school. My young daughter goes this school. It is already heavily congested with cars each morning and afternoon, introducing permits to the surrounding streets will only Increase the already built up areas. It is a safety concern as the are lots of children coming out to an already busy and built up area, adding permits to the streets close by will only mean the other streets not affected by the permits will subsequently suffer and the same</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | issue will arise here over time. I am unable to walk to school with my daughter due to my work commitments, much like other parents.   |   |
| 127.0    | Siccut Road | Hitchin   | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have to drop my kids to letchmore infant school and picked them up from priory nursery and it will be nearly impossible to drop and picked them up without any free parking. There is no nearby train station and it will be hard to commute to the work as well. I am sure i am not the only one as i believe there is other nursery too at the corner and it will be hard for parents to commute to work too. There should be alternative proposal to implement current scheme (allocated space or time)</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 128.0    | Cheviot Way | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Due to the distance I live from my children's school I have no choice but to drive. It is already a nightmare to get parked due to people unnecessarily driving and because of the the obvious residents that live in the area. Parking is already tight asides from that. Doing this will make it pretty much impossible to get my child safely to school. If you are going to carry out this then you will have to make some type of parking for Letchmore Road School in order to make it safe for parents to get their children to and from the school!</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 129.0    | Minerva Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address            |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |                    |           | <p>objection</p> <p>I don't believe the council is taking into consideration that there is a school at the location and the effect it will have on the children being taken to school by those of us who do not live nearby. The risk the children will be exposed to should there not be free parking around the vicinity of the school. As it is parents are struggling to park around how much more when parking permit is implemented. The council is constantly seeking ways to make money for itself and not the welfare of the people. I strongly object to this parking permit and would request that the streets are left the way they are.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.      |
| 130.0    | Scarborough Avenue | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>My children go to Letchmore Road infants School. This is going to be a nightmare for so many parents who have to drive to school. There is already a shortage of parking spaces at drop off</p>   | See officer comments on responses 8.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |                 |           | and pick up times. Where will everybody park ?!   |   |
| 131.0    | Ingleside Drive | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>You need to do something about the parking in Ingleside Drive!! Residents here are having to park underneath no parking signs, due to hospital staff coming at 7am and leaving at 7pm - IT'S NOT FAIR ON US!!</p> <p>We need someone to care about us like you are for the rest of the Old Town. I have numerous photos of the hospital staff parking antisocially and up on grass areas with no regard. I have sent some of these into the council already. I am pregnant and due to go onto Maternity Leave in April- I live in a second floor flat, will need to be able to park and be in and out during the day- PLEASE HELP US!!! Please,<br/>Someone needs to care. It's so unfair on us 12families that live in Fovant Court- they are crapping all over us!!</p> | This is not relevant to the consultation on parking controls in the Old Town. |
| 132.0    | Durham          | Stevenage | Name:   | This is not a valid response, having provided                                 |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          | Road    | <p>X</p> <p>Full Address:<br/>Durham Road</p> <p>Stevenage Borough Council will use the information you provide for this consultation only. Your details will not be passed on to any third parties. Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to Data Protection legislation and can be viewed on our website.</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>There is a school which has roughly 270 children, the parking is already shocking and the roads are dangerous. Parents park local for a matter of 15 mins during the day for morning and afternoon drop off and pick ups. Putting parking permits in is just going to increase the traffic on other roads, meaning people have to park further</p> | insufficient information to allow communication with the person who sent it. |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | away and block other streets just to get there children to school   |  |
| 133.0    | Sefton Road  | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>This will hugely impact school collection and drop offs for Letchmore school which is already a nightmare. Imposing more restrictions will cause huge amounts of town traffic and issues for hundreds of parents collecting children</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 134.0    | Orchard Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|---|
|          |         |  | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I have 1 child who goes to The Priory Nursery on Stanmore Road and 1 child at Letchmore School. As a working parent, I am happy to do the 25 minute round trip walk to nursery and school, however, I will then not be able to get to work on time. The grandparents also help out with the school run and I cannot ask a couple in their 70s / 80s to walk the same round trip in all weathers. Could you please explain the provisions that are being put in place for dropping children off safely at both Letchmore School and The Priory Nursery. Thank you..</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 135.0    |         |  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>During school pickup and drop off times the restrictions proposed will place excessive pressure on parking in the unrestricted section of Letchmore</p>   | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.      |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                 |           | <p>Road causing traffic build up which will make the road unusable during these times as parents use the roads which are planned to have restrictions placed to not block access along Letchmore road.</p> <p>I feel if the plans are going ahead, at the very least during week days the permitted times need to be adjusted to 9:30am - 2:30pm or allow for a gap for parents to park and pickup / drop off children up as the doesn't cater for a drop off system (similar to Almonds Hill School); i.e 9am to 2:30pm then 3:30pm to 5:30pm</p> | See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.            |
| 136.0    | Whitesmead Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Dropping off and picking up from The Priory Nursery</p>  | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 137.0    | Stanmore Road   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The Stevenage Council are treating residents as a cash cow.<br/>I have a garage and a drop down curb and as supported by the council (as they issued parking tickets to illegal Parker's in my parking spot.) my household are the only legal person's allowed to park there. Why do I have to pay, My household is the one allowed to park legally. I think I have got the reason, I do not park there, another does, the council issues a ticket and pays a bonus to the councillors. Great plan.</p> | <p>While having permission of the occupier of the residential premises it serves gives an exemption from section 86 of the Traffic Management Act 2004's prohibition of parking across a lowered kerb, and enforcement is undertaken against such parking without permission upon request from the resident, it remains public highway and is not made a private parking spot for the resident. See officer comments on responses 3.4 and 8.0.<br/>The Council does not seek to profit from parking enforcement and suggesting that Councillors would gain personal financial benefit from the issuing of PCNs is both delusional and offensive.</p> |
| 137.1    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I will publish this note and post it in all applicable houses, estimate 200.</p> <p>Hi, you as I have a drop down curb with or without a garage, legally no one can park there except you and if you phone 01438 242242 (the council) they will send a parking meter person to give the offender a ticket, £70.</p> <p>I guess most of you park in this space, as you are not an obnoxious person. Once you have to pay to park in any space I guess you will park in front of your house as you have paid for it and leave the drop down curb bit for visitors. This will give our greedy council two options.</p> <p>1. They will loose 200 plus parking spaces, this is a blow to the extra spaces promised..</p> <p>2. They will make more money for the councillors and the parking officers on fines on illegal parking.</p> <p>3. Less spaces for doctors, schools or nursery drop off pick up parking</p> <p>I do not believe this proposal has been well thought out.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 137.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 137.0. Issuing PCNs does not affect CEOs pay, which would be unlawful.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 7.0, 35.0 49.0, and 88.0 and “School and nursery parking” and “Surgery parking” in consultation report.</p> |
| 138.0    | Giles Crescent | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have to drive my child to school every day as we live to far to walk. I park away from the school but use the top of letchmore road to park my car and we walk from there.</p>  | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 139.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Within the proposals sent out there has been no consideration taken in to account the impact the permits will have on The Priory Nursery that runs from the St John Ambulance building on Stanmore Road. There has been a nursery operating from</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>the site for the local community run by St John Ambulance since 2004 and previously to that the building was rented and was known as 'Linda's Day nursery' going back for many many years. So, you can see this is a well-established nursery provision that provides care for children in the local community from various family back grounds.</p> <p>We do have a large area outside of the building which in the evening (when the building is used by other St John Ambulance groups) is used as a car park but when the nursery is here it is used as the children's outdoor playing area. We cannot use it as a car park during the day as we are required by Ofsted in the 'Statutory Framework for the Early Years Foundation Stage' to provide the children in our care "an outdoor play area"</p> <p>Unlike the doctor's surgery we have not been given any allocated bays for short visits which will enable parents to drop off and collect as well as professionals visiting nursery to help with different needs of our children. Parking for the team who work within the building has not been considered and could put the feasibility of the provision at risk – if the team can not park and get to work easily they may look for alternative employment and it will be difficult to replace them due to the parking constraints.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>I have been working in the nursery since October 2007 and I have to say when the car parks on Church lane started having charges associated with them this had an impact on the surrounding area. Perhaps making these car parks free of charge again would free up the spaces in residential areas. As well as these two car parks there is the old Waitrose car park that can be used for old town parking.</p> <p>Since the notices went up in Stanmore Road and the surrounding areas, I have had many questions from the staff team asking about where we will be able to park. (this isn't of concern of the council but we have staff who travel from some distances to work e.g. Stotfold, Biggleswade, Northamptonshire to name just three member of staff who won't be able to walk to work) Lots of current parents asking about what will happen about dropping off and picking up. I have also previous families and residents of the local area getting in touch asking what is being done for us – all showing the same concern about how this could affect a provision that has been a part of the local community for over 15 years as The Priory Nursery</p> <p>On a wider impact of the permits I would be concerned with how this would impact Claire's Bears who are also on Stanmore Road and of course Letchmore School. These two provisions as well as ours need places for parents and staff to be able to drop off and park. Having parking</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 16.0</p> <p>See officer comments on response 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | issues for those three settings could have a detrimental impact of the amount of childcare spaces available in the old town area.   |  |
| 140.0    | Lines Road  | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>No short term parking spaces have been planned for the nursery that i use on a regular basis. Parking for the doctors is already limited and the amount of spaces planned are insufficient for the amout of patients seen per half hour</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.<br/>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p> |
| 141.0    | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Our objection is threefold:</p> <p>Firstly, I / we object to permits only being offered exclusively to residential properties on Basils Road. As a church, we have a frontage on Basils Road, so it's seems strange that we'd not be eligible. There are not many non-residential properties in the area with restrictions proposed... and the parking needs of those premises is legitimate: the parking needs of non-residential premises should be incorporated into the scheme.</p> <p>Secondly, I / we object on the grounds that the scheme will have a negative impact to the Old Town businesses and charitable/ community groups (including our church), insofar as the reduction of free spaces will make the Old Town businesses and community groups less accessible, at a time when retail on the High Street is already struggling, and the need for community organisations (combating social isolation and poverty) is increasing.</p> <p>The congestion problem seems to be instigated by commuters parking in residential streets, who park early, then commute either to London by train, or to Lister, and arrive back late in the day. A blanket three hour free-parking limit (including car parks!) in the designated area, with permits for residential/ businesses premises in the area</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 46.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3, 16.0 and 205.0 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address  |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------|-----------|---|--|
|          |          |           | (allowing longer stays for named vehicles), would provide a more regular turn over of parking spaces, encourage footfall through Old Town businesses and community organisations, and mitigate commuters use of parking spaces, while providing preferential parking treatment for the many residents and small number of non-residential properties.   |  |
| 142.0    | The Oval | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>My daughter goes to the nursery on that road and it will make my drop offs extremely difficult taking her there with my other children due to suspected adha and autism twin boys. They have serious safely concerns in regards to road safety, and have no awareness of danger. This will have such an impact on me taking my daughter to nursery, not being able to park close and get there safely with my children.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 143.0    | Node Way | Welwyn    | I have read and agree to the terms and  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          | Gardens      |           | <p>conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>My son goes to the priory nursery on Stanmore road, I don't live close enough to walk so I drive him, I'll m only ever parked on Stanmore road for 10-15 mins twice a day for school drop off, with the proposal it will make it very difficult with two young children at drop off and pick up time, and may have to consider moving him to a more convenient nursery, which I really don't want to do as my son is so settled there and it is a wonderful place. I fully understand that residents want to park outside there homes, but there is two nursery's and a school close by, so I would like you too reconsider a 20 min drop off on Stanmore road. Also the teachers for the nursery have not been aloud to apply for permits to park, I feel this may effect people going to work there too, thank you very much.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |
| 144.0    | Chapman Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I am currently an employee of The Priory Nursery, St John Ambulance which is located in Stanmore Road and my family's nearest GP Surgery is also the one in Stanmore Road. Without parking availability there would be no way I could drop/collect my children to/from school and get to work nor get them to an appointment at the surgery should it be required.<br/>How is this fair?? It would impact my income as without parking I would be unable to work at this nursery and my family's health as no parking for urgent/non urgent appointments!</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 7.0 and 88.0.</p> <p>This resident lives within a ten minute cycle or 25 minute walk of Stanmore Road.<br/>See "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> |
| 145.0    | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |            |           | <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I believe the proposal is a money making scheme and I do object to have to pay to park outside my own home AND pay to have visitors to my home, particularly on a Saturday.</p> <p>Whilst Basils Road can on occasion be a fairly busy road for parking, this is mainly OUTSIDE the proposed permit times in the evenings when quite simply all residents, who in my view are entitled to park, are home or surrounding the school run where people park for just a few minutes to drop off or collect children from the local school. I do, however fully support allocated disabled parking spaces where needed. I do not believe resident parking permits and enforcements are necessary in Basils Road and I would be keen to see the evidence of 'clear and strong demand' alluded to.</p> <p>In addition, this is the first I have been made aware of it and I have not been consulted in any surveys.</p> <p>I would not necessarily object to Monday to Friday day time permit parking if this was pushed through but I believe Saturdays are unreasonable and will also affect an already struggling Old Town High Street.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, 5.3, 8.0 and 15.0.</p> <p>The support is noted.<br/>See officer comments on response 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.1 and 8.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |
| 146.0    | Lines Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                 |           | <p>conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I Drop my little girl to nursery here and pick up in the afternoon. I understand the residents may complain and rightly so however I have arthritis and struggle to walk to and from nursery most days so would be ideal if there were some allocated disabled spaces.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>Existing disabled bays in the area are proposed to be made enforceable, and Blue Badge holders would be able to park in the proposed permit area.</p> |
| 147.0    | Newcastle Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Parking bays added for nursery drop off and collection AND staff... for all us working parents we are restricted to quick drops us, not only will this</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address |         | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---------|--|---|
|          |         |         | impact the nursery, it will also impact working hours etc - I'm not sure this has been thought through... the parking bays at the top of Stanmore will be overflowing for the gp surgery...  | See officer comments on response 7.0.<br><br>See officer comments on response 88.0 and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.. |
| 148.0    | Unknown | Unknown | <p>Name:<br/>Charlie</p> <p>Full Address:<br/>Barclay academy</p> <p>Stevenage Borough Council will use the information you provide for this consultation only. Your details will not be passed on to any third parties. Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to Data Protection legislation and can be viewed on our website.</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>My daughter attends nursery there and I would need to be able to park for 10/15 mins at the start</p> | This is not a valid response, having provided insufficient information to allow communication with the person who sent it.        |

| Ref. no. | Address            |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                    |           | and end of the day to deliver her safely   |  |
| 149.0    | Nodes Drive        | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I believe these parking restrictions are not fair for the people who work in the priory nursery, Claire's bears or letchmore school as they will be left having no where close enough to park. It is also unfair to the parents/carers who use these establishments as they will have no where to pick up/ drop off their children and some of these children are very young and/or have special needs meaning that parking a long way away would not be feasible.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |
| 150.0    | Kimbolton Crescent | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Please can some provision be made for people taking toddlers to the Priory Nursery in Stanmore Road, as well as the other schools and playgroups in the area?</p>  | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 151.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I don't feel like the parking restrictions will make parking any better for us on our road, so I resent having to pay for a permit. The problems we face are during school drop off and pick up times and the road gets very busy between 5-6 ish when people are going home. It is a main road though, so you kind of just put up with it. It's the same with the school restriction (we live right near it so often have to move our car). We understand that it's just</p> |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>part of living on Walkern Road.</p> <p>I spent a year on maternity leave and have noted that the road is quiet during the day. I don't seem to see many people who look like they are parking to go to the station. So I don't really see the need for permits during this time.</p> <p>My last point is that I fear that brining in these restrictions will affect the Old Town and the High Street. I think it might put people off wanting to visit!</p> <p>We moved to the Old Town knowing what the parking was like (no drive, narrow streets) but it comes with living in an old area in walking distance to the high street! Yes, it can be annoying but it all adds to the charm.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |
| 152.0    |         | <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I would like to express my concerns over the proposed parking permit restrictions in Stevenage Old Town.</p> <p>Whilst I appreciate parking is particularly challenging in the highlighted areas, the restrictions proposed are quite simply unacceptable. Within this area there are at least three schools, all of which are for infant, pre-school and nursery age, so children unable to make their own journeys. This therefore means some children, depending on geographics, may well need to be driven by car / vehicle.</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>The proposed restrictions will make a journey to anyone of these premises extremely difficult given that all of the roads connecting these schools will be deemed 'out of bounds' due to permit bays. How will it be possible for children to not only be dropped off safely but in a timely fashion, given this will no doubt add even more time to an already busy school / work journey.</p> <p>I completely understand the concerns of local residents who should be entitled to park outside or at least, close to their homes but equally, it is every parent / guardians right to deliver their children safely and on time, to school. Having spoken to a number of local residents I am also of the knowledge that this is not a scheme they are in favour of.</p> <p>If the parking restrictions were to perhaps commence after school drop off time and expire before collection time, this would rule out commuter parking, should this be the sole purpose of the campaign. Otherwise, I am not entirely sure what the advantage is to these restrictions as many residents in the area have multiple cars, making this resolution insufficient as the outcome would not create more spaces.</p> <p>Unfortunately if this does go ahead, it will only</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>prove to push more congestion in the areas without restrictions. Thus making it even more challenging and potentially dangerous for those only trying to take their children to and from school.</p> <p>The outcome of this decision is very important to me as I am the mother of a young child attending one of the above schools and also, have many family members in the area.</p> <p>I look forward to receiving further updates.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>   |  |
| 153.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I live in the road and I'm in total support of the plans. I will say though I think consideration should be given to the priory nursery being in the road and needing an extra space for drop offs. They have the space in front of the gate but this isn't</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address          |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                  |           | enough   |  |
| 154.0    | Fairview Road    | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Safe and convenient access to schooling provisions</p>  | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.                     |
| 155.0    | Orchard Crescent | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Because your current proposal makes no allowances for the staff or parents using the Priory Nursery located half way up Stanmore road. While</p> | See officer comments on response 7.0.<br>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | I appreciate the need for controlled parking in the area, allowances simply have to be made for such a key element of the community.   | consultation report.  |
| 156.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Currently there is a old disabled space outside number 30, which was originally for a previous occupant. This space is now no longer a disabled space, it was covered over, but now the white lines have come through again. If this space is to become a disabled space again, it should be moved across the road to the side of the doctors. Making it useable for disabled patients of the doctors.</p> <p>Also we also object to the idea that the permit is at the weekends as well, when parking on Stanmore Road is not that busy. If we have people coming over to visit at the weekend it'll be easier for them to park if the permit was just during the week.</p> | <p>A review undertaken in October 2019 suggested that the majority of disabled bays throughout the area are still in use and needed by residents, though some do not currently display their badges when parking in advisory disabled bays. It has been determined that this particular bay is not required, and recommended that it is removed from the proposals.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>We think that the permit times should be split into peak times, for example in the mornings and late afternoon to evening, when due to the doctors and the nursery parking on Stanmore Road is difficult for the residents.</p> <p>Finally there is the plan to have spaces along the doctors, which is not available for resident permit holders to use. If the doctors is closed and that space is empty in the evening, we can't use these spaces this reduces the amount of available space for residents. It would make more sense if as resident permit holders we can use those spaces if the doctors is closed and there is no other available space in the street.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 20.0 and 35.0.</p> |
| 157.0    | The Hedgerows | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I don't live locally and so park on Basils Road to drop my daughter off at Letchmore School. I don't obstruct anyone and am literally there for 15 mins approx at a time. If there were permits everywhere</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | I would have no where to park and this would effect my decision to keep my daughter at Letchmore which would in turn have a negative effect on the school as numerous parents I've spoken to at the school have said the same thing. Therefore this could lead to the closure of the school and have a serious impact on the community there.   |  |
| 158.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>1: We pay taxes and now have to pay to park outside our own house, which won't even be guaranteed! Parking permits is not the answer, there are not enough spaces for the amount of cars some families have.</p> <p>2: Will the permits be valid in all the old town streets that is within this permit proposal? If not residents are going to struggle to park within permitted bays after 7pm at night once everyone has returned from work. We regularly park in other</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 8.0.</p> <p>Permits would be valid throughout the permit area, and would not be required at this time in any case.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>roads due to the amount of cars within Stanmore Rd. These are not visitor cars!</p> <p>3: There are plans for spaces within Stanmore to be available for 3 hours for those without permits, why do people need 3 hours to visit the Dr and why should residents that live on the street not be able to park there.</p> <p>4: What plans have been put into place for parents dropping their children off at Letchmore school?</p> <p>5: I have asked estate agents and they have voiced their concerns in terms of devaluation of the property and potential buyers being put off.</p> <p>6: There are 3 disabled bays within Stanmore only 1 is used by a car with a disabled badge so why are they being kept? That will be 2 spaces empty on a daily basis.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 20.0 and 35.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>House prices are not a material consideration in the proposal of parking restrictions. However benefitting from the exclusion of non-residential parking is often seen as advantageous and can increase property values.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 156.0.</p> |
| 159.0    | Letchmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Lack of evidence that the cause of the issue is</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>non-residents' parking (except for Stanmore Road due to doctors' surgery). Most households now have more than one car, the roadside frontage of houses along these roads is about one car wide - so the likely cause of the issue is the number of cars in a household. How is introducing a permit parking scheme going to help this. I've recently driven along several of the roads proposed to be included in the scheme during the day and there are plenty of spaces. I also don't trust the Council not to hugely inflate the costs of permits in the future. History teaches us Councils introduce these schemes at a cost to residents which is meant to only cover the administration, and then overtime the costs rise exponentially. Again if you look at other similar schemes across the UK, introducing permit areas just pushes parking onto other streets; the council then respond by increasing the catchment area of the scheme. You end up in vicious cycle. Why don't you pilot taking away the parking restrictions in old town, making the car parks free and see how this affects these roads proposed under this scheme. But that wouldn't be a revenue stream for you...</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> |
| 160.0    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I wish to register our names as property owners in the Old town who intend to submit a response to the above. We will send an attachment by email as a formal response to the consequences of which will directly impact our property as a result of the Proposal.</p>  | n/a   |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                       |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | Thank you for your help in this matter   |  |
| 160.1    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>As owners of ##, High Street for over 25 years we have seen considerable changes to the traffic in the area and the resulting patterns of driver behaviour due to changes to surrounding facilities and access (and just for information we are situated on Bowling Green though with a High Street address). For example the increasing charges for station parking has had direct impact on the surrounding streets with much of them occupied all day by drivers using the train service for commuting. A minor inconvenience initially, it has developed into a considerable problem for residents.</p> <p>The car park to the rear of High Street and Lytton Way has never been fully used. Originally, just a lorry park if leased or taken over by the council it could serve more as a local facility with visitors encouraged by clear signage to use it. It is important to remember that commuters into London come not just from Stevenage but surrounding villages and towns to take advantage of the fast trains from Stevenage.</p> <p>We fully support the 'Proposal' but feel this initiative does not go nearly far enough to resolve what is now an intolerable situation in large sections of the Old Town area. Your present plan may discourage nuisance parking <b>but only in the</b></p> | See officer comments on response 51.1. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                      |
|----------|---------|---|---------------------------------------|
|          |         | <p><b>areas you have designated.</b> It will merely move the problem to surrounding streets that don't require a permit and have no controlling yellow lines. Your plan will undoubtedly in its present form cause a ripple effect to other streets and greater inconvenience for residents like us at the north end of the High Street and even on to Julian's Road etc. Residents in these areas will suffer even more congestion around streets close enough to be attractive to those drivers who have been deterred because of your restriction.</p> <p>On the Bowling Green, traffic and parking has increased as a result of the Springfield House facility. A certain amount of short-term inconvenience created by visitors to the Springfield facility is accepted as inevitable because the facility provides a valuable service to the community. However, when combined with the ripple effect of the additional parking restrictions you are proposing we know this will seriously exacerbate the current problems we, and other residents have.</p> <p>Currently there are drivers who use the Bowling Green, especially the narrow street to the right of the main access and the rear of the Bowling Green as (i) a free daily parking place to commute to London or because they wish to avoid the cost of parking provided by the car parks mentioned earlier (ii) access to the Florist on the Green by</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>customers and delivery drivers (and this includes very large articulated lorries making daily deliveries) and (iii) a temporary pick-up and drop-off place for parents of pupils attending Thomas Alleyne School. The unhappy truth is that due to such demand for what drivers see as 'free and available' parking spaces, residents suffer from the following on a daily basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- parking across or close to their driveways creating problems of access to and from their own properties</li> <li>- prevention of access by the waste and recycling lorries</li> <li>- prevention of access to residential delivery services</li> <li>- extremely unpleasant verbal abuse from drivers when courteously challenged about the inconvenience of their parking</li> <li>- most seriously the area has now become so congested that fire engine access in the event of an emergency would not be possible and ambulance access would be severely compromised.</li> </ul> <p>Furthermore, the area is supposed to be a conservation area and all that has happened is that it has become a free parking lot. Your restricted parking plan has our full support but should be extended to the smaller streets to</p> | <p>Restrictions to address this have been noted for possible inclusion in future proposals once the current project has been completed.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|--|
|          |         |  | <p>avoid shunting the current situation from one area of the Old Town to others:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The streets close by the High Street, including Bowling Green should be controlled by yellow lines restricting parking at appropriate time and stop all day and nuisance parking. North London Hampstead area has a similar system that requires wardens to inspect at a time during the day that catches out commuter vehicles that occupy a space all day. It works.</li> <li>2. Residents in these areas could be given a free parking allowance sticker until the Council introduces the permit after which they will pay the same as everybody else.</li> <li>3. The rear of Bowling Green already has a designated parking area which would benefit from parking permits for residents only instead of the current free-for-all. The area adjacent to that parking area and the side street access to it should have yellow lines preventing both drop-off, pick-up, temporary and regular day-long obstructive parking.</li> <li>4. Better signage directing visitors to the space behind the now-closed Waitrose store and adjacent parking lot. There is no reason why workers in the area could not use this facility. A reduced daily cost with an annual ticket would help make this an attractive option.</li> <li>5. I understand in America that areas with parking</li> </ol> | <p>See officer comments on responses 1.0 and 71.0.</p> <p>Giving out stickers and then not enforcing against those vehicles if parked on yellow lines would not be lawful.</p> <p>There is no such area subject to Civil Parking Enforcement, which is the only means through which SBC can manage parking. Management of parking on private land is a matter for the owner. See above.</p> <p>SBC is working to improve directional signing to these car parks. SBC already offers season tickets for its car parks giving reduced costs.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments                        |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>restrictions have tow-away warnings with private, but council-controlled service providers. It appears to be effective. Inconvenience plus retrieval costs are a big deterrent to people who undoubtedly will abuse the restriction. It would also help off-set the permit scheme running cost to the community.</p> <p>We understand the difficulties in accommodating parking spaces for people wishing to use the High Street facilities and a scheme such as you are proposing will be helpful for those residents in those specific areas. The purpose of our response is to point out that other Old Town areas have similar issues – it would seem very inadvisable to only try and tackle one problem area at the expense of others. Your plan should be expanded to other similar Old Town areas, including the one to the side and rear of Bowling Green we have described.</p> <p>It might not be initially popular, but drivers will soon adjust to new ways and modify behaviour accordingly – without the daily inconvenience to residents trying to reason with such drivers who park very inconveniently and regularly block emergency access.</p> <p>We support the council's proposal subject to the addition described above.</p> <p>Sincerely</p> | SBC has no legal power to tow vehicles. |
| 160.2    | High Street | Stevenage | Thank you for your initial response to our letter.   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|---|--|
|          |         |  | <p>In light of what you say we must just clarify our position as stated in the final sentence of our original letter - we would only support the proposal SUBJECT to the changes we outlined. If the proposal will only be limited to the one area described then <b>we do not support it</b> because of the knock-on effects to other residential areas including our own. Following this consultation period if the proposal can be redrafted to include other affected areas then we may be able to fully support it. We cannot support this type of plan if it is restricted to just one part of the Old Town. To be successful this type of plan must take the whole of the Old Town area into consideration. You have made no response to this aspect of our submission. Are there plans to extend permit parking/yellow lines in the near future?</p> <p>We have experienced this piecemeal approach to traffic controls previously in Stevenage - in fact the Bowling Green area is suffering from the ill-thought-out results of the Lytton Way system. A system which has turned a conservation area containing listed buildings into what is in effect the central reservation of a large traffic roundabout.</p> <p>Significant parking proposals such as you have put forward need to be considered in a much more holistic way than the proposal suggests – even if</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 160.1.</p> <p>The road layout here is a matter for HCC as the local Highway Authority.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>such a proposal is a phased approach.</p> <p>We trust that makes our position clear.</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On Wednesday, February 12, 2020, 5:12:35 AM MST, tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>My apologies for the copy/paste error in the below: I have logged your response as “support” rather than an objection, as you had stated “We fully support the ‘Proposal’ <u>but</u> feel this initiative does not go nearly far enough”.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p><b>Philip Howard</b></p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p><i>Traffic and Parking Enforcement Manager</i></p> <p><i>Stevenage Borough Council</i></p> <p><i>01438 242 296</i></p> <p><i>Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to data protection legislation and can be viewed at the following link</i><br/> <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy"><u>http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/privacy-policy</u></a></p> <p><b>From:</b> tro<br/> <b>Sent:</b> 12 February 2020 11:48<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 160.1 [External] Old Town Parking Proposals - Response</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email and attached letter.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended on 14 February all the</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with your local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your response as an objection and will ensure your comments are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Briefly, some information related to your numbered points-</p> <p>In drafting the proposals, it was decided that they should initially be limited to where there was a demonstrable level of public support. I don't disagree that there is a risk of displacement impacts on the surrounding area, which we highlighted when writing to survey opinions and levels of support last year.</p> <p>It is not possible to implement yellow lines and then issue free stickers allowing some motorists to park in contravention of the restriction. The effects of doing so would be the same as a formal permit parking control, and this could only be put into effect as a permit scheme, the implementation of which depends on support from residents.</p> <p>I'm afraid the Borough Council has no legal power to tow vehicles away, except where they have been abandoned which is subject to a different</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>process to parking controls.</p> <p>We will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |   |
| 161.0    | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The school parking is a problem as it is. This will only force school drop off and collections to park further into the old town ie- Hellards road, whitesmead road which will cause more issues.</p> <p>Old town businesses will suffer, the fair will suffer, school staff will suffer as letchmore school staff car park is small</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 7.0.</p> |
| 162.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I have observed both the parking and traffic flows through Stanmore Road for the last six years of semi-retirement and have made representations to SBC by email and phone on many occasions to try to prevent dangerous parking on the north-west corner of Stanmore Road suggesting both enforcing the existing drop-kerb and by the institution of double yellow lines. Neither of these obvious remedies were taken up by the council though the yellow lines are now proposed and I fully support this aspect of the proposals currently under way. However the institution of parking bays is pointless and can only be viewed as another desperate attempt to raise finance in what I acknowledge is the worst financial climate to be suffered by local authorities since the second world war, thanks to the Conservative Party's policy of the last ten years to cut centrally funded local authority grants by up to 50% (i believe). My objection is however based not on political</p> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>The only proposed parking bays in this street would be free of charge.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>disagreement but observation. Currently residents of Stanmore Road drive off to work between 07.00 and 08.30 approx, there are then many parking spaces available. (I have just counted 10 spaces in the shorter length of Stanmore Road from Basils Road to the North West corner of Stanmore Road. (as at 09.17 on Thursday 06.02.2020) I estimate up to 40% of the road is vacated by these evening and overnight parkers. From 08.00 to 09.00 there ensues a steady stream of parkers dropping off their children to The Priory nursery, the "Little Bears" nursery and to Letchmore Road school. During this period and throughout the working day there is a steady stream of parkers attending Stanmore Road Health Centre coupled with occasional builders vans and cars working up and down the street. By 4.00 PM - 5.30 PM all this additional parking demand has ceased, between 5PM and 7.00 PM resident parkers return from work and can always park, albeit not necessarily directly outside their houses (not that permit parking would ensure this facility). Parking Permits will not do anything more than raise money for SBC, the same amount of space will still be available and will be taken up by the users I have identified above and in the time scales I have outlined. I suspect a similar daily trend is experienced in many of the other roads currently threatened with Permit Parking and as such I object to the institution of these paid-for resident</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                              |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | parking schemes.  |   |
| 163.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>RE PARKING PROPOSALS IN STEVENAGE OLD TOWN</p> <p>Thank you for your letter of 16 January 2020, please see attached our letter to you of 11 July 2019 in respect of the initial letter of 17 June 2019.</p> <p>Please be advised that our views and comments remain the same and we welcome your comments with interest and thank you in anticipation for your assistance in this matter.</p> <p>NNNN<br/>Practice and Business Development Manager</p> <p>Enc</p> <p>Dear Mr Howard</p> <p>RE PARKING PROPOSALS IN STEVENAGE OLD TOWN</p> | See "Surgery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>We are writing to you in response to your letter of 17 June 2019 and the proposals concerning parking permits for Albert Street, Basils Road, Church Lane, Grove Road, Southend Close, Stanmore Road and Victoria Close. Our main concern is of course Stanmore Road as we are a very large practice with patients numbering 35,000, to restrict patients' parking to this large service seems to be a very imotive situation. Many of our patients are old, disabled, infirm and unwell and to make it difficult for them to reach the surgery seems to be a backward move and in fact discriminating against them.</p> <p>We would be grateful if you could reconsider this as it will have a detrimental effect on all our patients in their wish to attend the Stanmore Road surgery. We do have approximately 8,500 appointments issued per month, a large proportion of which patients attend at the Stanmore Road surgery.</p> <p>The practice also has approximately 30 members of staff, on a rota basis, all of whom drive to work as they live some distance from the surgery and this would make parking for them also extremely difficult, if not impossible.</p> <p>We welcome your comments with interest and thank you in anticipation for your assistance in this</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments          |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---------------------------|
|          |               |           | matter.   |                           |
| 163.1    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>We have in excess of 50 patients per hour attending at the Surgery and this number is when the practice is not fully staffed.</p> <p>I trust this information is helpful when considering our views regarding the parking proposals.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>PA to Practice &amp; Business Development Manager</p> <p>General Enquiries      01438<br/>313223 Email      <a href="mailto:Stanmoremedicalgroup@nhs.net">Stanmoremedicalgroup@nhs.net</a></p> <p>Prescription Enquiries<br/>Email      <a href="mailto:Stanmore.prescriptions@nhs.net">Stanmore.prescriptions@nhs.net</a></p> <hr/> <p><b>From:</b> tro &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt;<br/> <b>Sent:</b> 10 February 2020 11:14<br/> <b>To:</b><br/> <b>Subject:</b> RE: 163.0 [External] PARKING</p> | The information is noted. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>PROPOSALS - STEVENAGE OLD TOWN</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email and attached letter.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended on 14 February all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with the local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your comments and will ensure they are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>The proposals do include an area of limited waiting outside the health centre, intended to facilitate necessary patient parking. Responses to date have been divided on this, with some suggesting that this is inadequate and others that it is excessive. If you are able to let me know the surgery's typical hourly turnover of patients this could be helpful in assessing the proposed level of provision.</p> <p>We will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say. We may also be in touch sooner to discuss</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>your specific circumstances.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |  |
| 164.0    | Chambers Gate | Stevenage | <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>Formal. Objections to Old Town parking proposals</p> <p>1) Impact on nearby streets</p> <p>In response to your parking proposals, as detailed in your letter of 16th January 2020 to certain Old Town residents including those in our street, we draw your attention to the daily major problems caused in many nearby streets by Letchmore Road Infants' and Nursery School, by parents and carers, by delivery lorries and even by staff, with danger to children and other pedestrians, damage to property, and blockage of roads and residents' drives.</p> <p>Introducing controls in Basils Road and Stanmore Road without providing a drop-off area for the school and restrictions on car and lorry access to other streets will just move the problems to those streets and especially to our street, Chambers Gate, which is just as close to the school as is Basils Road, but on the other side of the school. Compared with Stanmore Road, it is closer.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>Dangerous incidents and illegal parking have resulted in the police being repeatedly called by various residents over the years, including in the course of 2019, and approaches to the school management have not resulted in any discernible lessening of problems and dangers.</p> <p>We have written to Stevenage Borough Council and to the County Council several times over the past six years and have responded in full to the surveys that SBC has sent to us, but we have never had a satisfactory response from either Council. In fact, on most occasions we have received no response at all.</p> <p>On 15th June last year we met with Cllr Joshua Bennett-Lovell and gave him a detailed explanation of problems, as well as providing proposals for easily-implementable solutions, at the same time handing him a folder that contained supporting evidence and clear information on possible solutions, including an off-road drop-off zone in front of the school and lorry access to be limited to the school's main entrance, which was designed for this purpose and already has a suitable kerb and appropriate gates.</p> <p>We would also point out that a further easy-to-implement solution would be to provide further</p> | <p>Outside of survey/consultation responses, SBC's parking enforcement team's street file contains no record of any requests from Chambers Gate to address school parking issues during the last five years. The majority of residents in the street have not voiced concerns in response to this consultation, suggesting that they do not anticipate the proposals causing them difficulties.</p> <p>Neither the resident nor Cllr Benett-Lovell has shared this information with the parking enforcement team so it is impossible to comment on it.</p> <p>Alterations to the highway such as this are in general for HCC as Highway Authority, and</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>off-road drop-off spaces on the other side of Letchmore Road, a little further down. The few steps that carers and children would have to take from there would be within the spirit of "Walk to School" initiatives.</p> <p>To implement the proposed controls in Basils Road and Stanmore Road without dealing in advance with the inevitable consequences in other nearby roads would be the height of irresponsibility and would turn an already-intolerable situation into one in which the increased level of danger would lead to a greatly heightened risk for toddlers and other pedestrians.</p> <p>We would point out that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. all houses in Chambers Gate, which is a cul-de-sac, have their own off-street parking</li> <li>2. the street width is narrower than is normally required in new developments</li> <li>3. the pavement is narrower than is normally required</li> <li>4. there is no pavement on the side of the street opposite the houses.</li> </ol> <p>In consequence of these factors,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Chambers Gate is not suitable for parking by visitors to the school or by school staff.</li> <li>2. It is not suitable for short-term parking by people dropping off children or picking them up.</li> </ol> | <p>would be outside the scope of this project. See officer comments on response 125.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>3. It is not suitable for goods deliveries to the school.</p> <p>We do not doubt that Cllr Bennett-Lovell will have shared this information with relevant officers of the Council last summer, and we urge both Members and officers to take these important matters into consideration in relation to their proposed parking changes in other, nearby Old Town streets.</p> <p>We also draw the Council's attention to that fact that at picking-up times, which occur at various points in the day, some carers arrive early and sit illegally parked, looking at their mobile phones while keeping their engines running, sometimes for up to 15 minutes, presumably to avoid a parking fine but at the same time polluting the environment and poisoning the air, with the maximum concentration of pollutants precisely at the breathing height of the little toddlers who will walk past a few minutes later. In other cases at dropping-off times, both couples arrive to drop off a child, and while one walks into the school with the child, the other one remains in the car, illegally parked and again with the engine running all the time. This is now a finable offence in some towns, perhaps indeed nationally, but it is not addressed by Stevenage Borough Council.</p> <p>To ignore the above factors would be</p> | <p>Regardless of the resident's views, this information as not been shared with the parking enforcement team.</p> <p>Idling can only be penalized in Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA), as part of remedial measures to improve air quality. There are currently no AQMAs in Stevenage so SBC is unable to pursue such a power</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>irresponsible, exacerbating respiratory problems for children and adults alike. All these illegal and irresponsible behaviours may also result in accidents - there have been many near-misses involving children, including in our street! - after which the Council may deny liability, or deny having been aware of these serious multiple problems.</p> <p>It is for these reasons that receipt by the Council of this submission will require a signature.</p> <p>2) Problems for patients visiting Stanmore Road Medical Practice</p> <p>We would point out that patients visiting the Doctors' Surgery, even if they are not in possession of a Disabled Parking permit, are frequently unable to walk very far and so parking near the surgery is essential.</p> <p>There appears to be potential for the Surgery to create a small number of off-road parking spaces at the front of the surgery, but this will not on its own be sufficient to meet the need.</p> <p>We would also point out that even when patients arrive at the Surgery punctually, only shortly before their scheduled appointment, delays in seeing patients frequently result in them</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 20.0 and 35.0 and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|--|---|
|          |         |  | <p>spending an hour or sometimes considerably more time than this in the Surgery.</p> <p>A solution needs to be found to these new problems that would be caused by the proposed parking changes, before these changes or other changes are introduced.</p> <p>3) Suggested one-way system in Stanmore Road and in Basils Road up to the junction with Stanmore Road</p> <p>We would draw the Council's attention to the suggestion that we made to them in June 2019 that introducing a one-way traffic flow system in Stanmore Road and in Basils Road up to the junction with Stanmore Road would also greatly improve traffic movement through these two streets. We have to the present date received no response to this proposal.</p> <p>4) Enforcing existing parking laws</p> <p>We remind the Council that parking in front of a dropped kerb without the permission of the property owner, and blocking access to residents' drives, are offences. Parking on double yellow</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 2.0.</p> <p>The Council firmly but fairly enforces against those offences it has the power to deal with, though patrols cannot be everywhere and the start and end of the school day is a time of particularly high demand. With over 30 schools and only five or six patrols on street</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>lines, at sharp bends in roads and at road junctions are also offences. However, even though these offences occur predictably on a daily basis on the roads around the school, the Council fails to enforce these laws.</p> <p>In addition to the well-documented offences caused by people dropping off children at Letchmore Road Infants' and Nursery School, or picking them up, we would refer the Council to the army lorry that has been parked at the junction of Basils Road and Letchmore Road for at least two years. Its size and the position where it is parked result in dangerous access to the junction by both car users and pedestrians. In particular danger are babies and toddlers, as parents have to push out their push-chairs into the road without being able to see if the way is clear and safe.</p> <p>The sheer bulk of this vehicle, in terms of width, height and length, is inappropriate for a residential street, especially as it seems to be a permanent fixture that is not moved from one season of the year to another.</p> <p>Since December 2018 this army lorry has been totally covered by some sort of canvas, making it impossible for anyone to see its registration number, which is illegal for vehicles parked on the public highway. This cover also makes it</p> | <p>most days, enforcement officers can be found near one school or another at the start and end of every school day, and it is part of the enforcement contractor's KPI schedule to exceed minimum frequencies of school coverage or else lose performance related pay.</p> <p>However, in some cases enforcement can't be carried out automatically (such as blocking residents lowered kerbs where it can only be undertaken upon request by the householder) whilst in others (such as leaving a vehicle on the highway with its registration concealed) the council simply has no legal power to act.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address          |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                  |           | <p>impossible to see through the vehicle's windows to see if there is moving traffic on the other side of it.</p> <p>We would point out that the lorry appears to be used for about four days a year, the rest of the time providing convenient storage on the public highway under the lorry for building materials apparently being used for a nearby house and rubbish from the house.</p> <p>We thank the Council in anticipation for its attention to this matters.</p> <p>Yourstruly</p> |  |
| 165.0    | Barclay Crescent | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>My child currently goes to Letchmore School and I am concerned that it will make an already busy area busier which could impact the safety of the</p>   | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | children   |  |
| 166.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Point 1:<br/>I live opposite the car park entrance from the doctors and your proposal is suggesting to remove parking from our road by making the road by the side of the doctors time limited parking between 8.30-5.30. And at the same time if this proposal goes ahead residents living in the High Street and surrounding areas will be allowed to apply for permits in our road. So you are removing spaces but adding people allowed to park in our road. So I can't see how this permit is going to guarantee me to park on my road. And if it doesn't guarantee me a space on my road what am I paying £60 per year for?</p> <p>I also believe that it will make parking for the patients impossible. The parking that is meant for</p> | <p>See response 20.0 and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>High Street residents are already allowed to park in this street and in all probability already doing so as parking closer to the High Street is subject to limited waiting controls. I the proposals go ahead, they are likely to prefer to use the parking bays closer to the High Street that will have become "dual use", therefore reducing rather than increasing parking pressure. A parking permit gives the eligibility to park. See officer comments on response 43.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>them will of course also be used by other people so not guaranteed for patients and there are not enough spaces for them. When you are poorly and need to go to the doctors the last thing you need is to stress about parking.</p> <p>The flow of parking on our road is fluid, cars come and go all the time and spaces will always become available, even if you need to wait 5 min.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that the doctors have a huge bit of space available right out front that could be converted to parking spaces. Why is this space not being utilized? Are the council talking to the GP surgery to see if an arrangement can be made to get this parking added which would help immensely. In fact, I believe this would be more helpful than any permits than can be issued. More spaces available for the patients would mean more spaces for the residents on this road in the day-time.</p> <p>Point 2:<br/>Why are the permits Mon-Sat? If the issue is people parking on our road that are commuters (which seems to be the reason mostly given) then usually these people work Mon-Fri. And honestly, it is not usually an issue parking on this road at all on a Saturday because actually the doctors are normally closed or only operates a reduced</p> | <p>See "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.2.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>surgery. Why do we need this restriction on a Saturday? Weekends is when people come and visit and now we have to pay for this even though normally spaces are available on our road anyway, this really makes no sense at all.</p> <p>Point 3:<br/>The cost of these permits. Why is each additional car more expensive? One car takes up the same space as the next one. And why do we have to pay for visitors to come and visit? If anyone elderly is relying for someone to come and help or just visit they now have to pay for this. Anyone not well and needs a friend to pop in now has to make sure they have these visitors passes as potentially there really is nowhere to park. Why are we making every-day life more difficult for people?</p> <p>If these parking permits do get issued and we have no choice in the matter and are forced to pay to park our cars on a public road (for which we pay tax already) then I think the council should at least reduce the fees and make the permits only available Mon-Fri. And instead of restricting the parking between 8.30-5.30 would it not make more sense to just restrict the parking only for an hour mid-morning and an hour maybe mid-afternoon? That would certainly stop commuters parking in the road but would still allow others to park briefly</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 15.0</p> <p>See "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>when visiting the doctors or dropping off/picking up from the Nursery the other end of Stanmore road or pop into the Old Town High Street.</p> <p>And why can't we issue the first car for free and give a certain amount of visitors spaces for free as well. We already have parking wardens patrolling the roads so can't see any additional costs here and the rest is just to cover admin so that can't need a lot of money. I understand you want to reduce car usage but for many of us this is not an option. And why should one person be more penalized than the next person for owning a car?</p> <p>Point 4:</p> <p>I have not seen the results of these surveys but when we asked on our street, we managed to ask about 20 neighbors, of which 16 were against and 4 were for the permits. It's obviously not all of the houses but does give an indication.</p> <p>It seems that the approach has been a blanket approach for all of the roads regardless of what the issues actually are, I don't believe anyone has actually spend a day in any of the roads to observe the flow of traffic or find out who parks and when. As mentioned, the parking is fluid on our road and comes and goes all day. And I know this because I work from home and see outside the front window.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 43.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>Point 5:</p> <p>Retaining disables parking bays. On the plans it shows that there is a disable parking bay 'being retained' on Stanmore Road, just opposite the car park entrance to the doctors and outside number 30 (my house). This is NOT currently a disabled parking bay. I have already fed this back in the last consultation but had no comment or reply on this matter. As explained, this used to be a disabled bay for my next-door neighbors, who I believe also replied on this matter. Their son is disabled but no longer lives at this address, he is now in residential care so they no longer need this disabled parking bay. They advised the council and the disabled bay was removed by painting over the white lines with black paint. However, this black paint has since washed off with all the rain and bad weather we had. I don't believe there is any neighbor up or down my road that is in need of this disables bay so I would therefore request for this to be removed from the plan. It shouldn't be possible to 'retain' a disabled bay that wasn't a disabled bay in the first place. It will be an empty space not used by anyone and it means even less space to park on our road.</p> | See officer comments on response 156.0. |
| 166.1    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for taking the time to talk to me last Friday in respect of the proposed parking permits. I have now put my response together, please see the below points for my objections. I have also submitted this through the website.</p>  | See officer comments on response 166.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>I'm also e-mailing my points, mainly because I would like to make sure the point about the disabled parking bay is addressed, please see point 5 below.</p> <p>Basically, it is showing as a disabled bay in your proposal but the disabled bay was removed some time ago by painting over the lines with black paint. Unfortunately the paint has washed away with the rain since. The disabled bay did belong to our neighbours who's son is disabled but he no longer lives there and is now in residential care. It was our neighbours who requested for the disabled bay to be removed, which it was. It should not show as a disabled bay to be retained.</p> <p>My objections:</p> <p>Point 1:</p> <p>I live opposite the car park entrance from the doctors and your proposal is suggesting to remove parking from our road by making the road by the side of the doctors time limited parking between 8.30-5.30. And at the same time if this proposal goes ahead residents living in the High Street and surrounding areas will be allowed to apply for permits in our road. So you are removing spaces but adding people allowed to park in our road. So I can't see how this permit is going to guarantee me to park on my road. And if it doesn't guarantee me</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>a space on my road what am I paying £60 per year for?</p> <p>I also believe that it will make parking for the patients impossible. The parking that is meant for them will of course also be used by other people so not guaranteed for patients and there are not enough spaces for them. When you are poorly and need to go to the doctors the last thing you need is to stress about parking.</p> <p>The flow of parking on our road is fluid, cars come and go all the time and spaces will always become available, even if you need to wait 5 min.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that the doctors have a huge bit of space available right out front that could be converted to parking spaces. Why is this space not being utilized? Are the council talking to the GP surgery to see if an arrangement can be made to get this parking added which would help immensely. In fact, I believe this would be more helpful than any permits than can be issued. More spaces available for the patients would mean more spaces for the residents on this road in the day-time.</p> <p>Point 2:<br/>Why are the permits Mon-Sat? If the issue is people parking on our road that are commuters</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>(which seems to be the reason mostly given) then usually these people work Mon-Fri. And honestly, it is not usually an issue parking on this road at all on a Saturday because actually the doctors are normally closed or only operates a reduced surgery. Why do we need this restriction on a Saturday? Weekends is when people come and visit and now we have to pay for this even though normally spaces are available on our road anyway, this really makes no sense at all.</p> <p>Point 3:<br/>The cost of these permits. Why is each additional car more expensive? One car takes up the same space as the next one. And why do we have to pay for visitors to come and visit? If anyone elderly is relying for someone to come and help or just visit they now have to pay for this. Anyone not well and needs a friend to pop in now has to make sure they have these visitors passes as potentially there really is nowhere to park. Why are we making every-day life more difficult for people?</p> <p>If these parking permits do get issued and we have no choice in the matter and are forced to pay to park our cars on a public road (for which we pay tax already) then I think the council should at least reduce the fees and make the permits only available Mon-Fri. And instead of restricting the parking between 8.30-5.30 would it not make more</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>sense to just restrict the parking only for an hour mid-morning and an hour maybe mid-afternoon? That would certainly stop commuters parking in the road but would still allow others to park briefly when visiting the doctors or dropping off/picking up from the Nursery the other end of Stanmore road or pop into the Old Town High Street.</p> <p>And why can't we issue the first car for free and give a certain amount of visitors spaces for free as well. We already have parking wardens patrolling the roads so can't see any additional costs here and the rest is just to cover admin so that can't need a lot of money. I understand you want to reduce car usage but for many of us this is not an option. And why should one person be more penalized than the next person for owning a car?</p> <p>Point 4:<br/>I have not seen the results of these surveys but when we asked on our street, we managed to ask about 20 neighbors, of which 16 were against and 4 were for the permits. It's obviously not all of the houses but does give an indication.</p> <p>It seems that the approach has been a blanket approach for all of the roads regardless of what the issues actually are, I don't believe anyone has actually spend a day in any of the roads to observe the flow of traffic or find out who parks and when. As mentioned, the parking is fluid on</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>our road and comes and goes all day. And I know this because I work from home and see outside the front window.</p> <p>Point 5:<br/>Retaining disabled parking bays. On the plans it shows that there is a disabled parking bay 'being retained' on Stanmore Road, just opposite the car park entrance to the doctors and outside number 30 (my house). <b><u>This is NOT currently a disabled parking bay.</u></b> I have already fed this back in the last consultation but had no comment or reply on this matter. As explained, this used to be a disabled bay for my next-door neighbors, who I believe also replied on this matter. Their son is disabled but no longer lives at this address, he is now in residential care so they no longer need this disabled parking bay. They advised the council and the disabled bay was removed by painting over the white lines with black paint. However, this black paint has since washed off with all the rain and bad weather we had. I don't believe there is any neighbor up or down my road that is in need of this disabled bay so I would therefore request for this to be removed from the plan. It shouldn't be possible to 'retain' a disabled bay that wasn't a disabled bay in the first place. It will be an empty space not used by anyone and it means even less space to park on our road.</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | Kind Regards,   |   |
| 167.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>Stevenage Borough Council will use the information you provide for this consultation only. Your details will not be passed on to any third parties. Our Privacy Policy has been updated to reflect changes to Data Protection legislation and can be viewed on our website.</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Although I do understand the need for some parking restrictions and that I am not directly in the proposed permit area so this does not directly affect me, I do believe that in the case of Walkern Road this will push the problem further up other roads including Walkern Road with people not wanting to but more than one permit. Also you are not addressing probably the most major problem which is the parents dropping and picking up students who bring this road to a standstill at time. I myself stopped outside my house to go to the toilet before an appointment for about 6/7 minutes and got a ticket which I understand and paid</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>The area in question is already restricted to address this issue.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>because I had breached the parking restriction's. However there is no a traffic warden to be seen the majority of the time and no one is moving anyone along when they are blocking the drives of myself and my neighbours. Although I massively sympathise with the likes of Stanmore Road, Alleyns Road etc having lived in the Old Town for over 20 years, part of this problem is the lack of reasonably priced parking provided by yourselves. I also strongly believe that if you bring in these parking restrictions then at least the first permit should be free. It is also unclear whether you expect residents further up roads that are only partly restricted and have drives to purchase a permit to allow any extra cars to park anywhere they wish because you have provided us all with a letter but I presume this is to cover planning regulations.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 164.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.<br/>The information available to the public online and to view at the Council's offices clearly set out what properties would be eligible for permits.</p> |
| 168.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I am writing to you in response to your recent letter regarding the parking proposals in Stevenage Old Town.</p> <p>I live within Walkern Road and believe the parking proposal to be a very good implementation to the area and am looking forward to the enforcement. However, I would like to express some comments for you to consider as I feel my below suggestions would enhance the proposal.</p> <p>1. You mention in the proposal that each</p>   | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>There is always a risk of a resident</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>household will be allowed to purchase 20 'Visitor Parking Vouchers' to allow visitors to park near the homes of residents. This is a welcomed idea and something that is required, however how will you patrol this to ensure that visitors do not park everyday with a 'visitors parking voucher' and subsequently walk to their place of work or to the train station. I fear this may happen with a handful of commuters who conveniently know a resident in a permit area and can therefore avoid the parking charges of £8.50 per day at Stevenage Train Station and instead pay £1 per day for 20 days within the permit area. To overcome this potential problem could you implement a scheme that prohibits visitors from parking 5 days in a row?</p> <p>2. Within the permit parking areas, could an allowance be introduced allowing a 15 minutes 'drop off time' for visitors who may be required to park up on the road to visit a resident but are not staying for a substantial length of time and would not warrant a visitor parking voucher.</p> <p>3. It is not mentioned within the proposal the allowance of 'pavement parking', however we were asked to comment on this within the initial survey. Many roads in Stevenage Old Town are narrow and require pavement parking to ensure sufficient room for emergency vehicles to enter and travel through. Will this still be allowed once</p> | <p>fraudulently reselling visitor vouchers to commuters, but it is typically rare. It would be inappropriate to prohibit visiting on consecutive days, but cap on the number of visitor vouchers per household per year would limit this and if a resident were getting through an unusually high number of vouchers this could be challenged to ensure it was genuine. If SBC were not satisfied, or if the CEOs noticed a reason for concern, or it were reported by a member of the public, there would also be the option of passing it to the Shard Anti-Fraud Team for investigations that could lead to prosecution, and/or limiting that resident's access to visitor vouchers and parking permits in future.</p> <p>There are provisions to allow dropping off without needing to use a voucher.</p> <p>It is hoped that the limited footway parking that takes place at present will be alleviated by reduced parking pressure. If the issue persists new proposals would be needed to prohibit it.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>permit parking areas are introduced? I believe it should be allowed for the reason stated above.</p> <p>I look forward to you considering the above comments and your response for each of them.</p> <p>Many thanks,</p>  |  |
| 169.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I vehemently disagree with the proposed parking permits<br/>a) we will have to pay to park in front of our own home or anywhere else in the street<br/>b) we have 3 boys and friends who visit us frequently for which we'll have to pay for with tokens. However there is no guarantee that there will be empty parking places to park anyway, having a permit or no permit and you are even saying that there will be an upper limit as to how many parking tokens one can apply for per family.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 8.0.<br/>See officer comments on responses 15.0 and 43.0</p> <p>The information available to the public online and to view at the Council's offices clearly set out the proposed maximum number of visitor</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>You do not specify what this limit is either.</p> <p>C) there are not enough free time-limited parking spaces to accommodate family visitors, doctor's surgery patients or parents dropping off and picking up children from the St.John Ambulance nursery.</p> <p>d) I do not agree with parking being restricted on a Saturday? Is this to penalise visiting family?</p> <p>e) you say you will be policing the streets twice a day. This is nothing but a source of revenue exercise for the Borough Council. You do not care about us residents because if you did you'd urge Herts County Council to alleviate traffic flow by introducing a one-way traffic system in the Old Town. All you're doing is taxing us to park in our own road and penalising patients and young parents and adding to everyone's stress.</p> | <p>vouchers per household. Family visitors should be accommodated through the use of visitor vouchers. See "School and nursery parking" and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 36.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |
| 170.0    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Following telephone conversation over the past few years and more recent contact with your office regarding parking outside the back of my entrance in Church Lane.</p> <p>As you know my dropped kerb giving access to my property has been incorrectly labelled a loading bay for the past few years causing me many problems with big lorries and unhelpful drivers.</p> <p>The recent proposed parking plan is unclear what the new markings will be so I have been advised verbally that you intend on making them double</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>yellow lines.<br/>I believe the yellow lines are not to prevent obstruction as stated in the letter but more a case of revenue as the rest of the old town parking has now become.</p> <p>I would kindly request that my entrance on my dropped kerb becomes a “keep clear” or “no parking” so that the rude delivery drivers and taxi drivers do not use it.</p> <p>On another related note, my staff are all pretty unhappy as they are all seeing it as another tax. They say it will just move the problem, not solve it, saying now that Waitrose has gone, is it even a problem. It will cost them an extra £45 per month, just to turn up to work. These decisions will drive people and businesses like mine away from the high street as Martin from Bike Stop and the SOTBP have already discussed with you.</p> <p>If you would like to discuss my concerns further, please feel free to contact me on any of the details below.</p> <p>Best Wishes</p> | <p>This is not the case.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 82.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |
| 171.0    | Alley's Road | Stevenage | Dear sir/madam,   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>During the initial consultation we responded and essentially said that we did not want the scheme to be introduced at all, however if it were to be implemented, we felt that Alleyns Road should be included.</p> <p>We are still of the opinion that the scheme should not be introduced and that better use of the available car parking at the back of the High Street, west side should be introduced to accommodate the High St traders by giving them preferential rates. Rather than these traders migrating further from from the High St. This migration being the direct and immediate result of the time limited parking being introduced to the High St some 5 to 10 years ago.</p> <p>However, if it is to be introduced, and having discussed the issue with a number of Alleyns Road residents, it is clear that the issue in our road is causing strong feelings both for and against. We have also now been made aware that a number of people feel the Alleyns Road issue, bearing in mind we were initially NOT INCLUDED in the scheme, should be postponed until 3 – 6 months</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and “Alleyns Road” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>post introduction in all other areas. This would clearly allow us all to fully assess the impact prior to finalising the situation in our road. WE ARE STRONGLY IN FAVOUR OF SUCH A DELAY.</p> <p>It was suggested by some of our residents that the anticipated 'migration' from the 'main' Parking Permit area, may well be to the west of the High St, towards Orchard Crescent, Essex Road etc. By delaying the decision in Alleyns road, this would also enable the council to address any migration into any other residential area i.e. Orchard Crescent etc. It may also prevent the introduction into an area which was not initially included and indeed maybe entirely unnecessary.</p> <p>Could you also tell us what the situation would be for tradespeople calling, i.e. gardeners, window cleaners, plumbers etc. Some of these only being present for circa 30 minutes and some of them visiting more than one resident during the stop in our street. Also for the longer term major building work. We have only just finished a major modernisation lasting some 10 – 12 months, with lorries, and cars/ vans being involved, maybe three or four each day?</p> | <p>As roads to the west were not included in the published proposals, this would not be possible.</p> <p>Their customers would need to provide them with visitor vouchers.</p> <p>In these cases dispensations can be arranged with the Parking Office.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address                 | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------------------|--|--|
|          |                         | <p>We have been informed of Residential Parking Schemes where the non parking period is very short, say 10.00 am to 11.00 am and 2.00pm to 3.00pm. This would prevent any long term (full day or half day) parking except by permit holders but would mean a lot of casual essential visitors, as above, could visit by avoiding these specified times. This could also be 'engineered' so that different roads within the parking permit area, had different 'non' parking times, thereby assisting the policing of the various areas. We think this, or something similar, should be considered.</p> <p>We look forward to hearing.</p> <p>Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |
| 172.0    | Inns Close<br>Stevenage | <p>I write with reference to your letter ref no TPE/03/19-1/F concerning proposed parking proposals. I contacted your office this morning who were very helpful and was advised to write outlining my views and concerns.</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I occupy an Alms House, one of 4 located in Inns Close.</li> <li>2. The Alms houses have no designated parking.</li> <li>3. Inns Close is restricted by double yellow lines.</li> <li>4. I have been parking at the latter end of Southend Close and using the walk through to my home.</li> </ol> <p>My questions are...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Will I still be able to park in Southend Close?</li> <li>2. Can the restriction be modified in Southend Close to accommodate my parking requirement?</li> <li>3. If not, where can I park safely and legally within a short walking distance?</li> </ol> <p>My circumstances are that I am 70 years of age and depend on my car. I am reasonably active but suffer from ill health from time to time. I help my daughter in Hitchin with after school care for my 6 year old Grandson thus making easy access to my car imperative. I have lived in the Old Town for 40 years, initially in a flat on the High Street, and now in Supported Housing.</p> | <p>This resident is in a similar position to residents of streets between Church Lane and Lytton Way, and allowing them to be eligible for permits would appear fair and proportionate but would require modification to the proposals through the representations process. It is recommended that this is pursued.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>Further inquiry...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed cost for a parking permit is a lot to find as a lump sum as a pensioner on Pension Credit, will you have a payment plan in place to help those on restricted income?</li> <li>2. Where can my visitors/family park?</li> </ol> <p>I'm very sad that a Labour Council who claim to care about the poor, needy and elderly are introducing draconian parking restrictions. Life is difficult enough as it is with price increases in so many areas, this is another worry to be added to difficulties placed on the vulnerable.</p> <p>Thank you for your help and consideration in this parking proposal dilemma.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>If properties in Inns Close are made eligible for permits, they would be able to park in the permit area using visitor vouchers.</p> |
| 173.0    | Durham Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Grove Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I work in Old town , and I have no where to park and do so I use this roads to park . The car park is very expensive. I've preferred if I could by a Parking permit for those roads . I understand why Permit are being placed but do this my job is put at risk</p>   | See officer comments on response 7.0.   |
| 174.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>Thank you for your letter regarding the above parking proposals. I was very disappointed to learn that you wish to include Alleyns Road in the proposal. Can you please clarify why Alleyns Road was included and not other roads that side of Letchmore Road.</p> <p>I would prefer that this scheme does not go ahead. However if it has to proceed, I would like Alleyns Road to be excluded for about 6 months to evaluate the impact of the proposal on Alleyns road.</p> <p>Could you also clarify the following questions.</p> <p>1. Can the residents permits be registered to the property and not a vehicle so it can be transferable to different vehicles during the 24 hour period. This wouldl make the scheme more affordable to residents.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> <p>The proposal is that each permit would be specific to a vehicle that has been shown to belong to a house within the permit area to deter fraud.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>2. If several residents are receiving the same service at the same time eg window cleaners who is responsible for providing them with a resident permit.</p> <p>I look forward to your response</p>  | See officer comments on response 171.   |
| 175.0    | Southsea Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I work in the area and my daughter attends letchmore school</p> | See officer comments on responses 7.0, 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 175.1    | Southsea Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>There is not an adequate solution for people working at the schools in the area</p>  | See officer comments on response 7.0.   |
| 176.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>A quick glimpse of the figures (ie in response to your surveys) reveals 37 out of 100 are in favour of permit parking, hardly grounds to suggest that there is (quote letter 16TH January 2020)clear and strong demand for permit parking control in Stanmore Road. I have lived in Stanmore Rd for over 20 years and did notice that the introduction of pay parking in the old town car parks and restrictions has increased the number of vehicles parking in Stanmore Rd. During the day there is a problem with vehicles taking up more space than necessary,this could be solved with marked bays which could be enforced by your civil enforcement officers. It is in the evening that parking can be</p> | <p>Approximately 37% of households replied to the survey and said they were in favour, and around 13% replied and said that they were opposed. While this consultation response assumes that households that did not reply to the survey were not in favour, and implies that 63 out of 100 are opposed, it could equally be presumed that those that did not voice their opposition when surveyed therefore support the introduction of permits which would mean about 87 out of 100 are in favour of permit parking.</p> <p>Residents cannot be compelled to respond to such surveys, and the views of those who do not respond cannot be known.</p> <p>However the response rate of around 50% was considered high enough that the findings are likely to be representative, meaning that around three quarters are in favour.</p> <p>It is not possible to place unrestricted marked bays and enforce against parking out of bay.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | difficult, permits will not address this. I totally oppose paying to park in Stanmore Rd' I pay road tax on my vehicle and council tax. The proposed introduction of permit parking is additional taxation.  | See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, 5.3 and 8.0.  |
| 177.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I feel that the price of these permits are excessive and propose that each property is entitled to 2 vehicles and guest passes FREE OF CHARGE! Why should we have to pay to park near our house! Alleyns Road does not have a problem with non residents parking but by making nearby roads permit parking only, leaves little option but for us to have the same, otherwise it will mean residents can't park in this road they have previously been able to. I would ask that you please consider providing these permits free of charge to each resident, upon application and proof of address and registered vehicle at the address. Thank you</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> |
| 177.1    | Alleyns      | Stevenage | I feel that the price of these permits are excessive   | See officer comments on response 177.0.   |

| Ref. no. | Address            |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|---|--|
|          | Road               |           | and propose that each property is entitled to 2 vehicles and guest passes FREE OF CHARGE!<br>Why should we have to pay to park near our house! Alleyns Road does not have a problem with non residents parking but by making nearby roads permit parking only, leaves little option but for us to have the same, otherwise it will mean residents can't park in this road they have previously been able to. I would ask that you please consider providing these permits free of charge to each resident, upon application and proof of address and registered vehicle at the address. Thank you |  |
| 178.0    | Fishers Green Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I would like to object against the proposed plans for Letchmore and Stanmore Road. I currently have two children that I will be dropping off at both schools and this is going to cause added complication and cost to my nursery and school</p>   | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content  | Officer comments                               |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                 |           | drop off in the morning and evening. Unless there will be enough waiting time bays to service all parents dropping off and picking up at the two nurseries and one school around that immediate area it is going to cause a massive problem for all parents involved and the school. And even more of a problem for parents her cannot afford the additional costs but have no choice but to take their child to that school. These plans are not empathetic to the parents of the schools in that whole area.   |  |
| 179.0    | Whitesmead Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I have a 5 year old at Letchmore Infants School. I walk him to school each morning, as I only live over the road, and then down Grove Road or Basils road to work at the back of the High Street. Those two roads are never full of cars when I walk down them at approximately 09:00 or when I walk home at various times between 15:00 and 17:00.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>Probably, I imagine, because the residents of those streets are largely at work! I therefore feel the need for this permit parking scheme is exaggerated.</p> <p>If you introduce permits in the roads mentioned in this scheme, the on street parking issues will simply extend into other central old town roads. Then a precedent will have been set and it will be hard to argue against permit schemes in other roads. I'm lucky that I have a drive where I live now, but I used to live in Walkern Road where parking could be difficult, although never impossible. I moved there knowing that without a drive I had no right to park in the street directly outside my home, but I was never unable to park somewhere close. I can't see how the roads in the proposed scheme suffer any worse than those in neighbouring roads.</p> <p>My main concern is that road safety at pick up and drop off times at Letchmore school is already precarious. I have seen several near misses outside my home, where people park dangerously because of the volume of cars in the area at pressure points, and outside the school. This will only get worse if the permit scheme goes ahead and parents can no longer park in the roads to the Grove Road side of the school. Parents who park in these roads in the morning are effectively</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>easing the congestion and danger caused by those who want to park as close to the school as possible. To force them out of those roads will undoubtedly make the other roads near the school more busy and dangerous. Many parents walk their children to Letchmore each day, but it's not possible for all - some parents have children at Letchmore and Almond Hill and the logistics of getting up and down the hill on foot each morning and afternoon is just not possible. Others have to drop their children and drive straight off to work. No matter what the incentive for parents to walk their children to school, some simply can't do that.</p> <p>Finally, there is a very small daycare facility at the top of Basils Road, Clare's Bears. The current proposal ignores the parking needs of this small, independent business which largely serves local parents, many with older children at Letchmore School. It has close links to the local schools and the local community but seems to have been forgotten in terms of the proposed scheme. This type of small business should not, in my view, face an adverse outcome as the result of a residents parking permit scheme.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 180.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |             |           | <p>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I walk to school as my children attend Letchmore Road. Parking has become a nightmare recently however would it be possible to make the road opposite the school no stopping zone 9:15-2:45 and then 3:15-6pm or whenever the cut of time is allowing parents to park safely to drop and pick up children and to keep everyone who walks to school safer as people won't be parking on corners or up the curb.</p> <p>I know you have been working with the school and sure you have lots of proposals but it was just an idea I had.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 181.0    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments      |
|----------|---------|--|--|-----------------------|
|          |         |  | <p>I write as a matter of urgency regarding the serious issue of parking where I live. The situation has now become intolerable.</p> <p>I am a single parent who is a blue badge holder, with a cancer diagnosis and severe arthritis. Easy access to my vehicle is monumental and impacts and influences my life and choices greatly. Most other residents have small children and heavy shopping and we are being unfairly treated.</p> <p>Our Road is being inundated with overflow parking from the doctor surgery opposite, the local nursery and the Saint Johns ambulance hall. This is not to mention the large constant volume of vehicles, left for prolonged periods of time from people working or visiting the old town.</p> <p>Residents parking or permits, are a must, we simply cannot be expected to continue with the unfair restrictions we have to endure to park outside our own homes.</p> <p>I ask you to urgently consider the seriousness of the situation and would appreciate your earliest response to my very genuine concerns.</p> <p>I cannot stress enough, how this parking situation, is affecting my physical and mental</p> | The support is noted. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | health. Urgent action is required.  |   |
| 181.1    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Please find below an email that I'm forwarding on to you after sending it to parking eastherts</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>NNNN<br/>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>Begin forwarded message:</p> <p><b>From:</b><br/><b>Date:</b> 11 February 2020 at 13:17:52 GMT<br/><b>To:</b> <a href="mailto:parking@eastherts.gov.uk">parking@eastherts.gov.uk</a><br/><b>Subject: Parking on high street old town</b></p> <p>To whom it may co cern</p> <p>I write as a matter of urgency regarding the serious issue of parking where I live. The situation has now become intolerable.</p> <p>I am a single parent with blue badge holder, with a cancer diagnosis and severe arthritis. Easy access to my vehicle is monumental and impacts and influences my life and choices greatly. Most other residents have small children and heavy shopping and we are being unfairly treated.</p> | See officer comments on response 181.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |             |           | <p>Our Road is being inundated with overflow parking from the doctor surgery opposite, the local nursery and the Saint Johns ambulance hall. This is not to mention the large constant volume of vehicles, left for prolonged periods of time from people working or visiting the old town.</p> <p>Residents parking or permits, are a must, we simply cannot be expected to continue with the unfair restrictions we have to endure to park outside our own homes.</p> <p>I ask you to urgently consider the seriousness of the situation and would appreciate your earliest response to my very genuine concerns.</p> <p>I cannot stress enough, how this parking situation, is affecting my physical and mental health. Urgent action is required.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> |   |
| 181.2    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>Please could you respond to this customer below.</p> <p>NNNN<br/>Notice Processing Officer<br/>Parking Services</p> <p>Telephone: 01279 502039</p>   | See officer comments on response 181.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>-----Original Message-----<br/> From: Kelly Thompson<br/> <a href="mailto:kellythompson83@icloud.com">[mailto:kellythompson83@icloud.com]</a><br/> Sent: 11 February 2020 13:18<br/> To: Operations - Car Parking<br/> Subject: [External] Parking on high street old town</p> <p>To whom it may co cern</p> <p>I write as a matter of urgency regarding the serious issue of parking where I live. The situation has now become intolerable.</p> <p>I am a single parent with blue badge holder, with a cancer diagnosis and severe arthritis. Easy access to my vehicle is monumental and impacts and influences my life and choices greatly. Most other residents have small children and heavy shopping and we are being unfairly treated.</p> <p>Our Road is being inundated with overflow parking from the doctor surgery opposite, the local nursery and the Saint Johns ambulance hall. This is not to mention the large constant volume of vehicles, left for prolonged periods of time from people working</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments                                |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |                |           | <p>or visiting the old town.</p> <p>Residents parking or permits, are a must, we simply cannot be expected to continue with the unfair restrictions we have to endure to park outside our own homes.</p> <p>I ask you to urgently consider the seriousness of the situation and would appreciate your earliest response to my very genuine concerns.</p> <p>I cannot stress enough, how this parking situation, is affecting my physical and mental health. Urgent action is required.</p> |   |
| 182.0    | Victoria Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Whilst i agree there is parking issues, my main objection is namely my family being able to park outside of my house, they do come regularly and</p>  | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 15.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |            |           | paying for this is not on. If you wish to permit the parking opposite but not outside my house.  |   |
| 183.0    | Glebe Road | Sandy     | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I work at Priory Nursery. I am concerned about our future as parents need to be able to drop off and collect their children throughout the day. I also travel to work and need to be able to park as public transport is not a viable option. The few of us that do use our cars and need to park throughout the day have no other option. Also I feel the high street will suffer long term because of the proposed plans, for example, I go to the high street to purchase my lunch everyday. I believe that residents are not unduly affected by the current parking arrangements as today I counted at least 10 vacant spaces for cars to park on Stanmore Road.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> |
| 184.0    | Four Acres | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I do not agree this will resolve the problems only push the problem to other roads. I also do not agree that residents should now have to pay to park outside their own homes as well as their visiting friends and family. If permits are implemented they should be free of charge to residents. The council needs to address the actual problems by providing employees working in the High Street reasonable priced parking facilities and provide local businesses with incentives to encourage their staff to use the those facilities. More reasonable parking needs to be provided by the station for commuters. In addition parents really struggle to park near the already restricted areas around Letchmore School. This proposal will cause considerable problems for parents and the school. Proper longer consultation period needs to be carried out door to door with residents, schools and local businesses to thoroughly investigate the issues and find a fairer way forward. This proposal</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2, 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>This consultation exceeded the required duration, and directly contacted all residents and businesses in the area to ensure the opportunity to reply.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address                           |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                                   |           | comes across as if the council using its residents problems to its advantage to raise revenue, and not really addressing the problem. I would like to see the council do something positive and beneficial for its residents and community without burdening them with more costs.  | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.   |
| 185.0    | Kilby Road                        | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Due to the fact that I need to pick up my son from the nursery from Stanmore road, I will be not able to stop in the near vicinity of the nursery. This will give me significant delays for leaving/pick up my child. Since I am working full time, this is not a great idea.</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 186.0    | Cranbourne Court, Ingleside Drive | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I work in the old town, we cannot afford to park in the car parks provided, or even have a discounted rate. My hours are part time. I cannot afford to park there. If i didn't park in these streets i would have to park at home and walking to and from my car is time consuming and not safe.</p> <p>I speak for myself and behalf of the rest who work in old town.. My job is at risk with having no where affordable to park. No incentive at all.</p> | See officer comments on responses 3.2, 7.0, 16.0, 30.0 and 84.0. |
| 187.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>Morning Chaps,</p> <p>As discussed I'd just like to put in writing that I agree with the introduction to provided a permit control zone for the Old Town and in particular Alleyns Road.</p> <p>I live at ## so mark me down as a green for go! go! go!</p> <p>Cheers.</p>   | The support is noted.  |
| 188.0    | High Street  | Stevenage | <p>Dear Philip</p> <p>With reference to our telephone conversation this lunch time, please find attached my original</p>  | See officer comments on response 9.0.                            |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>presentation of the addition of yellow lines to be added outside the Thomas Alleyne Academy / The Grange in the Old Town of Stevenage</p> <p>With regard to parking at the Grange, I would our application to be considered as part of the existing consultation with regard to the wider parking proposals in the Old Town. Although the road is outside of the proposed permit area, it is nevertheless legitimate to raise our concerns through this consultation process. We have a high volume of complaints from Parents and nearby Residents with several who have different parking restriction proposals, but are in agreement with our proposal as the way ahead. I am hoping it will be considered as part of this consultation about adding additional restriction proposals in the street as part of the wider Old Town project.</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>NNNN<br/>Governor<br/>The Thomas Alleyne Academy<br/>High Street,<br/>Stevenage,<br/>Hertfordshire<br/>SG1 3BE</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Mobile Number –<br/>Email –<br/>-----</p> <p>Dear Sir / Madam</p> <p>By way of introduction I am the Health &amp; Safety Governor at the Thomas Alleyne Academy in Stevenage. Part of my role and responsibilities of being a governor is to carry out an Annual Health &amp; Safety Inspection of the school and its surrounding areas. The proforma for the inspection is a template downloaded from the Department of Education web-site.</p> <p>During the last half term the Academies Estate Manager, the Hart's School Trust Health &amp; Safety Manager and myself carried out an Annual Health &amp; Safety Inspection of the site and surrounding grounds. During the inspection we found some actions that needed to be addressed to ensure the Staff and students operated in a safe environment..</p> <p>One of the questions within the Inspection Checklist was as follows:-</p> <p>"Is adequate access to the site maintained for emergency services?"</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>After walking to the designated area at the Front on the Academy , we discussed the issues surrounding any possible Health &amp; Safety concerns that need to be addressed as part of the inspection. The common theme that came out from all parties during this part of the inspection was that the access into the site was not a safe entry for students, staff, both on foot and in their cars. It was seen within the inspection that parents and visitors to the Academy use the entrance to drop off their children or wait for their children after school. It has also been noted that shoppers to the Old Town park their cars there too while they go shopping.</p> <p>The main problem with the cars parking on the side of the entrance (please see attached photographs) is that it causes major safety problems to the day to day running of the Academy for the following reasons:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The risk of injuries or accidents to Students, teaching staff or parents entering and leaving the Academy on foot is by cars parking or moving within the confined space</li> <li>• Restricted access for Fire Engines or Ambulances entering the site for emergencies such as fire or accidents to personnel, due to the limited space to pass</li> </ul> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>the parked cars. Thus causing delays in addressing the emergencies which could be life threatening.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited access for the Schools minibuses entering and leaving the site at vital times like dropping off or picking up students carrying out enrichment activities. This is due to the size and length of the minibuses and their limited manoeuvability of the vehicles..</li> </ul> <p>As a Governing Body, we have a duty of Care to ensure students, as well as Staff arrive in a safe manner, operate within the day in a safe matter and depart in a safe manner that is why we are making recommendations to you that we hope you will consider closely and agree to..</p> <p>We would like to recommend to you and your planning department of the Highway Department of the Herts County Council, that yellow double lines are painted both sides of the road that leads from the High Street to the entrance gate of the Academy. (as illustrated above). Painting the lines of both sides of the road will stop the parking of vehicles and so will make entry to the Academy a more safe environment.</p> <p>I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this request further with you in person, whether within</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>your office organisation, or here on Site of the Academy. My preference would be on site to allow you to gain a better understanding of the safety issue to the Thomas Alleyne Academy personnel and the proposed way forward illustrated.</p> <p>My contact details are below. The preferred method of communication is via my mobile.</p>   |  |
| 189.0    | Alleyne Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyne Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I live on Alleyne road and finding a parking space between 8.00 and 5.30 mon to sat is not a problem as most people in this street have left for work. The main problem comes after work hours when people park multiple vans along the road and then you can not find a parking space. Have you considered not having parking permits in Alleyne Rd the first year and reviewing after a year to see</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyne Road" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>if it does get effected by the other roads having permits as it may not as too far out.</p> <p>to add to that Alleyns road is very narrow which is why everybody has to park with their wheels on the pavement. If people parked on the road vehicals and especially emergency vehicals would not be able to get up there. Has anybody considered makeing this one way?</p>   | See officer comments on response 36.0.   |
| 190.0    | High Street | Stevenage | <p><b>Parking Proposals in Stevenage Old Town</b></p> <p>I can't understand how parking pemits during the day will help other than push the normal cars that park there further away. surely most residents are at work between 8.30am and 5.30pm so don't need a parking space during the day?</p> <p>In Hertford they had the same problem near the railway station so they just stopped parking before 10am this works well as most residents have then gone to work by 10am so anyone needing to park during the day can use the parking spaces.</p> <p>Just keep charging people to park does'nt work as you can see by your car park in Church Lane before you charged for this car park it was full of cars now you charge you have a large car park with just 6 cars using it what a waste of space! which then forces the none paying public to park in the near by streets which I do. Making me and others walk a bit further is not curing the problem</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 16.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>just pushing it a bit further away. I am also sure a lot of residents will not pay for a permit and will just park outside the parking permit area making the same problem for other residents.</p> <p>Or is it all about making money again!!!!!!</p>   | See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.   |
| 191.0    | Letchmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Whilst the proposals may help residents of those proposed roads it will not help either myself as a resident of Letchmore Road and parent of children who attend the school or parents dropping their children off who attend the school. They will all be forced to park in either Letchmore Road or the few other remaining streets which don't have the proposed restrictions. I feel like the restrictions should be after drop off and pick up times.</p> <p>As a resident on Letchmore Road you are only increasing the traffic and parking around my house at school times and it is already at maximum capacity. There have been many occasions where</p> | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | <p>my driveway is blocked or obstructed by a parent dropping children off at school. Maybe the council could paint white lines along the road where driveways are to prevent this happening?</p> <p>I am also concerned that the volume of traffic along the top end of Letchmore Road will significantly increase and that traffic will come to a complete standstill in the mornings and afternoons with cars having a stand-off with traffic piling up and queuing behind in both directions until one car concedes.</p> <p>Whilst the proposal might solve the problem for the residents of those roads you are simply pushing the problem elsewhere where I already have concerns about the safety of the pedestrians, in particular the children in Letchmore Road School.</p> | <p>This is available to residents upon request and subject to their paying the cost of the works.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |
| 192.0    | Chertsey Rise | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | <p>It is ludicrous that you are going to charge residents to park in their own street. What about family that visit, you are slowly killing the old town.</p> <p>Where are church goers supposed to park or families visiting the park with their children?</p> <p>Shame on you Stevenage borough council for charging once again for parking. You are a disgrace.</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> <p>There are free limited waiting bays throughout the area.</p>  |
| 193.0    | Nycoles Wood | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Safety, especially around Letchmore School</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p>  |
| 194.0    |              |           | <p>I strongly object to the proposal of parking permits being introduced. This will make it impossible for me to do school runs to my daughters preschool at clares little bears. It will also make trips to the our doctor at stanmore road surgery difficult. And will have a negative impact for local businesses in the old town that are already suffering immensely since the closure of waitrose and being able to use that carpark. My partner is</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 88.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>feeling the effects of that at the moment with a big drop in customers.</p> <p>People should be able to come to the old town and park easily but instead you are making it harder. The long stay carpark is always empty due to the extortionate rates. Surely it would make more sense to reduce the rates or have it free for 3 hours use and then that would reduce the amount of people parking in those roads.</p>   | See officer comments on responses 3.2, 5.0, 16.0 and 30.0.  |
| 195.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Your proposals will not benefit our road and will be of detriment to the road will double yellow lines and 3 hour bays.<br/>The cost is ridiculous and I should imagine set to rise. My husband and I work full time and will not benefit the hours Monday to friday.<br/>Why Saturday? Are you trying to kill off an already dying high street with waitrose and post</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0, 20.0, 35.0 and 88.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0, 5.3 and 8.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>office gone.</p> <p>Local community will be affected in more than one way. The amount of elderly who rely on family to visit..how do they afford your scheme on their pensions?</p> <p>House price will I am sure will plummet , thanks!!!! I have invested heavily in my house over the last two years to secure a future for my children, now I doubt with your money grabbing they will get what is owed?</p> <p>How do you propose to use the income generated from this scheme? Will the old see a return on your money making?</p> <p>The dr surgery has a concrete jungle at the front of the property I propose you turn this into parking spaces to expand the parking.</p> <p>With the reduction of usable spaces in our road if implemented...where will we park of an evening? So you plan to reduce current spaces in the road and push our cars out even if we are paying and I should imagine we could park anywhere in the local area ludicrous!</p> <p>I am not happy with this decision and strongly urge you to reconsider.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 158.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.2.</p> <p>The only change in evening parking capacity in this street would be where yellow lines are proposed. See officer comments on response 35.0.</p> |
| 196.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                |           | <p>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>I originally supported the introduction of parking permits, probably due to hearing other areas in the old town were having them introduced but on reflection I do not think that these will work. I currently have one vehicle but will soon have two once my daughter purchases a car and an elderly mother who visits regularly so the cost to me will be at least £162 per year. I would gladly pay this if I felt it would make a difference but I don't think it will. The problem we have is parking after 5:30pm, it is extremely difficult to park if you arrive home after that time or go out in the evening to return home unable to park. I am afraid this is due to too many cars, bad parking and driveways taking up more than one car space. The only advantage I can see for permits is it may discourage having several cars per residents and it may stop business vehicles from parking but I am not sure this will have much impact. I would like to support postponing the introduction of permits for Alleyns Road.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, and 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1 and "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 197.0    | Southend Close | Stevenage | Dear Sir/Madam,  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |                |           | <p>I wish to lodge my objection to the parking permits in the Old Town.</p> <p>A lot of pensioners live in this area who do not own cars. The cost of visitors permits are ridiculous. Many have family and friends popping in and out during the day and you expect them to pay £1 per visit ? It should be £20 for the whole year which can be reused . Maybe regular visitors can keep one of the permits with them.</p> <p>As for the cost of a permit for a car - this is not London. The need for permits is not needed that much to be paying out so much,</p> <p>Why don't you open up the old Waitrose carpark and make some money from that instead of leaving it empty ?</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 15.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>This carpark is not closed to the public. See officer comments on response 51.1.</p> |
| 197.1    | Southend Close | Stevenage | <p>Hi,</p> <p>My address is :<br/>## Southend Close<br/>SG1 3PF</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>NNNN</p>  | n/a   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Sent from my iPhone</p> <p>On 14 Feb 2020, at 13:01, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with the local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure your comments are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>We will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address          |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|------------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |                  |           |   |   |
| 198.0    | Mildenhall Court | Stevenage | <p>I wish to register my objection to the proposed parking restrictions for the lower section of Walkern Road where it meets the High Street. While I support the proposal for double yellow lines, the 3 hour restriction will have a detrimental impact on the workers in local businesses. Stevenage Borough Council must recognise that there are some businesses that are not offices or retail and cannot just 'pop out' to move their vehicle. 2 such vital businesses to the community are located in Mindenhall Court. As clinics commence early in the day, the 3 hour restriction would mean that the clinicians must exit the building, part way through clinic, and attempt to find an alternate parking space. This will lead to a loss of earnings.</p> <p>It is clear that the proposed measures will force vehicles into the Pay parking spaces in Primett Road. It is also clear that this is a money generating scheme attempting to make up for the shortfall in finances due to the departure of Waitrose from the town.</p> <p>I urge You to reconsider the Proposal and remove the 3 hour limit on Walkern Road at its junction with the High Street.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 8.0.</p> |
| 198.1    | Mildenhall Court | Stevenage | Good day Mr Howard,   | n/a   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>Thank you for replying to my email.<br/>I am Landlord for ##, Mindenhall Court, to the rear of ## High Street, Stevenage SG1 3UN.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you again.<br/>Kind regards,<br/>NNNN</p> <p>On 14 Feb 2020 13:03, tro<br/>&lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and after the consultation has ended all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with the local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure your comments are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>We will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address            |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |                    |           | <p>how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |  |
| 199.0    | Coopers Green Lane | Hatfield  | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Albert Street</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I have been working in the local community, and use these roads to park down to come to work.<br/>I and many people I know that work in the high street can not afford parking prices, so therefore will not be able to continue to work for the old town, causing businesses to go down.<br/>There should be a road for people who work for the old town to park, or lower cost parking permits. Either that or we should be able to buy a parking permit for these roads</p> | See officer comments on responses 3.2, 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0. |
| 200.0    | Middle Row         | Stevenage | <p>Dear sirs,</p> <p>In response to the 'Proposed Parking Scheme - Stevenage Old Town' as posted on your website here: <a href="http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/propos">http://www.stevenage.gov.uk/parking/propos</a></p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|--|
|          |         |  | <p><a href="#">ed-traffic-orders/225306/</a> please see my comments below.</p> <p>I understand the reasoning for implementing a Residents' Parking Permit scheme however, I'm not convinced, as currently proposed by SBC, it's the answer either alone, or as part of a bigger plan.</p> <p>I've never agreed with a resident having to pay (albeit a small fee) for the ability to park their car outside their own house. So, if the scheme were to be implemented, it should be free to those residents (and their short-term visitors).</p> <p>The two very large surface car parks to the rear of where Waitrose used to be, are currently very underused, this no-doubt, is in part, because of the available free on-street parking that this whole topic concerns. It's also been noted that the car parks' usage has only changed minimally since Waitrose closed, so the under usage cannot truly be apportioned to that issue.</p> <p>Simple general solution is:<br/> 1. Implement a Residents' Parking Scheme <b>BUT ONLY IF IT'S FREE</b> to those residents and short-term visitors. That will probably force a migration toward the large surface car parks nearby, and keep the residents happy in more ways than one,</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0 .</p> <p>See officer comments on response 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>however, in parallel you also need to...</p> <p>2. Make the two large surface car parks a more viable option for short- and long-term users; local business users (employees/owners) would benefit greatly from reduced parking fees for all-day parking – or maybe even a free-parking permit scheme. Short-term fees (up to 3hrs) could be made a cheaper, more viable alternative to the current free time-restricted areas so that it doesn't grate with users. I would then expect the use of the car parks to increase, and therefore the income from it.</p> <p>3. Retain the current High Street, and nearby, time-limited parking, maybe with minor adjustment where seen fit, perhaps after points 1 and 2 have had sufficient time to bear results – it works quite well, so don't 'fix' what isn't broken.</p> <p>Overall then, Stevenage Old Town becomes a much easier place to park, visitor turnover will be more vibrant, businesses small and large will benefit more so.</p> <p>This issue is not just about parking, it's about the life of the Old Town - in these tough times it's ever-more important to make things easier for businesses and residents.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2, 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0.</p> <p>There is no proposal to alter these restrictions.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>Your proposed parking scheme makes things tougher and more expensive for all in the short term - it's seen to be money-grabbing from the residents where they don't currently have to pay, and from car park users where the fees could be more amenable. It doesn't take into account the bigger picture. If you strangle business/retail in the Old Town, it will die. That happens much quicker and easier, than recovery from it ever will, if at all.</p> <p>I believe your proposal needs further consideration and reworking.</p> <p>Best regards</p> | See officer comments on response 5.0.   |
| 201.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Obviously parking around the Old Town is a problem, which has become worse since the introduction of the 'Limited Parking', in the High St. The car parks in Primett Rd, seem to get very little use, most of the time they're 90% empty. Why</p>                       | See officer comments on responses 16.0, |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>don't you reduce the parking tariff's at those,which would help to encourage shopper's &amp; workers to use.Unfortunately in Stanmore Rd,we have a Doctors Surgery,which when built,had'nt allowed for Patient Parking.All their car parking space are taken by surgery staff,another example of bad planning.The idea of parking permits for the local residents,seemed like a good idea at first,until your proposal's arrived, which announced the actual costs involved.Myself &amp; the neighbours i have spoken to are shocked &amp; disgusted with the amount you have mentioned.Can you provide a breakdown of how you've reached these amounts as to me &amp; fellow residents it appears to be just another money making scheme by 'Local Authority",once again.I cannot see at present,why you need to charge the amount's your proposing,please provide a costing.Also there are many elderly residents in the proposed areas,whom already struggle with rising living costs,have you taken any serious time to consider the impact of additional costs for this group.Are you going to allow any concessions..?I doubt it.Obviously Parking Permits do not guarantee you a parking space outside your house,or even close as some residents seem to think.The idea of the permit,on paper,seems to be a reasonable idea,the proposed charge's for them is definately not. I/we feel they should be a lot less,to be acceptable.</p> | <p>30.0 and 51.1.<br/>See officer comments on responses 5.0 ad 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.2, 20.0 and 35.0 and “Surgery parking” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See “Affordability” and “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 202.0    | Canterbury Way | Stevenage | <p>Dear sir,</p> <p>Resident parking permits are a diabolical idea. Yet another money making scheme devised by the council. The amount of permits you issue will be many times greater than the amount of spaces available. So people will be charged £60 a year not to be able to park in their road, whereas they used to not be able to park for free. If you issue permits, you should make sure there is an available space for every permit, or you take money under false pretences.</p> <p>People need to realise, nobody has a god given right to park outside their house. Anyone who pays road tax has already paid for the privilege to park anywhere. If people want to guarantee parking, they should rent garages as hundreds of residents around the town already do.</p> <p>It's wrong to expect people to shell out £60 per permit. If you must have them, make them free. But then the council won't be able to roll in all the cash they make will they.</p> <p>If this goes ahead, it will be rolled out across the whole town in a council get rich quick scheme. I have a garage, it doesn't affect me personally, but I do care that what the council are proposing is extortionate and very wrong.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 43.0.</p> <p>Payment of vehicle excise duty allows a vehicle to be used on the public highway: there is no right to park except in designated parking places, although it is tolerated where there are no restrictions.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |                |           | <p>As you can tell I oppose the introduction of resident parking permits.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>   |  |
| 202.1    | Canterbury Way | Stevenage | <p>My address is ## Canterbury Way, Stevenage.</p> <p>I do hope the fact I'm not in the Old Town doesn't mean my comments will be disregarded. My concern is that every parking problem in the town that crops up will be met with resident parking fees because its easy money for the council. So I don't want to see this ball start rolling.</p> <p>The Old Town is almost dead as it is. Parking fees or no parking in the area at all around the Old Town will be the death of many shops there too. Or maybe that's what the council is hoping for?</p> <p>Parking fees in the car parks have already killed the new town as can be seen by the amount of those shiny brand new shops that you've managed to rent out so far. Open for a very long time. Not one has been rented. Who is going to pay two or three pounds or whatever it is now to park the car, to view pound shops and coffee shops with a few charity shops thrown in As you can tell, I don't bother with the New Town any more.</p> <p>NNNN</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 16.0 and 30.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address         |           | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|------------------|
|          |                 |           | <p>On Mon, 17 Feb 2020, 09:58 tro, &lt;<a href="mailto:tro@stevenage.gov.uk">tro@stevenage.gov.uk</a>&gt; wrote:</p> <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and now the consultation has ended all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with the local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objection and will ensure your remarks are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>We will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but how long this takes will depend on how many responses we receive and what they say.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> |                  |
| 203.0    | Whitesmead Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |             |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I don't believe the council is taking into consideration that there is a school at the location and the effect it will have on the children being taken to school by those of us who do not live nearby. The risk the children will be exposed to should there not be free parking around the vicinity of the school. As it is parents are struggling to park around how much more when parking permit is implemented. The council is constantly seeking ways to make money for itself and not the welfare of the people. I strongly object to this parking permit and would request that the streets are left the way they are.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 8.0.</p> |
| 204.0    | Vardon Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |            |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>It will e very difficult for parents/grandparents/carers' to drop off and pick up children at Letchmore Road school between the hours of 08.30-09.00 and 14.45-15.15.</p>  | See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report. |
| 205.0    | Grove Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>At the root of the parking issues in the Old Town and the measures taken to address them is the simple fact that there are many people employed at businesses in or just off the High street, most of whom drive to work. The building of a number of office blocks in Primett road has increased the number of such workers. Many of the jobs are clerical, so are not highly paid. The council has addressed the issue of these workers blocking</p> |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>parking places close to the High street businesses, but in doing so has introduced charges for the off-High street parking. Inevitably, it is the low paid staff who do not have reserved parking places at the office blocks. The obvious result of this was the daily flood of cars into the residential streets off Church lane which has led to this proposal. The cost of parking in the main Primett road car park is about £3/day. Many clerical workers are paid around £10/hour or less, so this charge is equivalent to some 20 minutes work. Obviously, many people will be happy to park 10 minutes walk away, save money and get a little exercise every day. The current proposal will no doubt push these workers out of the streets with parking restrictions and into parking a bit further away, simply pushing the problem into Hellards road, Whitesmead, the upper end of Walkern road, etc. The proposed scheme will simply shift the problem, NOT solve it, and the council will be back facing outcry from the newly affected residents. The only solution which would encourage these workers to park in Primett road and Church Lane car parks would be for them to be "free" to authorised workers, with the charges paid by business.</p> <p>I additionally believe that those small businesses who serve the residents of the affected streets have not been considered - dog walkers who</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>It is already possible for businesses to purchase season tickets for their workers. See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>come most days, window cleaners who come regularly, gardeners who come regularly - these stay for a couple of hours maximum. If residents need to purchase permits for these local traders to visit, there is likely to be a substantial loss of trade - a simple 2 hour limit for any vehicle apart from residents (or the same 3 hour limit as for Church Lane) would suffice to enable local small traders to operate - surely the council does not want to be seen as anti small business?</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 15.0 and 171.0.</p> <p>This would not be practical to enforce without significantly increasing the volume of patrols, resulting in an unacceptable price rise for residents.</p> |
| 206.0    |         | <p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I have just come across a couple of interesting posts about old town parking, including turning waitrose car park into a 3 hour free parking place. The issue I now want to bring across is where you expect all the businesses that actually work down there to park. Not only are we now expected to pay for our parking (most jobs I assume are minimum wage so becomes very inconvenient) but with the waitrose car park being used for customers which is only ever an issue in the evenings (which I believe then the permits are only valid until 5.30pm) I don't see why people need more parking. We work in a salon and 9 times out of 10 our clients get to us on time therefore find parking is no problem.</p> <p>Maybe the waitrose car park can be used so that people can actually come to work, we already do our bit by trying to car share ect. We would even share permits but there won't be many businesses</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 30.0 and 197.0.</p>   |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>remaining if workers can't get there as people will definitely be put off if they have to spend a fortune and alot of time into getting to work.</p> <p>For example it would cost me around £3.50(whatever the bus fair is nowadays) and an extra 15 minute walk to get to my job. That's a job that's closer to the town end and living locally, thats also ontop of working an 11 hour day.</p> <p>Just a thought to consider what is worker's are going to do.</p> <p>Thankyou for your time in reading.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> | <p>This highlights that at £2.90 per day the Primett Road South long stay carpark, which consistently has capacity available, is already cheaper than public transport.</p> |
| 207.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>As a resident of over 15 years of Walkern Road, I have been made aware just this week of the Proposed Permit Holders Parking to a large part of our road.</p> <p>Why have I not been made aware of the</p>                                 | <p>Given the number of past communications</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>proposals by the Council either formally or informally, recently, or in the past regarding these important proposed changes?</p> <p>Whilst the proposed restrictions appear to stop at No 65, and are therefore not directly outside my property, this will obviously have an impact on parking to all of Walkern Road, and other surrounding roads.</p> <p>As a result, I OBJECT to the Proposals for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Parking will now become a problem further up Walkern Road and other roads, due to cars parking without permits, or residents unwilling or unable to pay for Permits.</li> <li>2. Workers using first section of Walkern Road (High Street to Church Lane) will park on free unrestricted zone of Walkern Road and others, thus impacting parking to areas further out.</li> <li>3. Residents having to pay for Permits (both resident and visitors) is an unfair additional 'tax' on residents.</li> <li>4. Unnecessary extra cost to Council (and residents through taxes) for road markings and signage, together with Patrols. This money is better spent on other local needs.</li> <li>5. I was not consulted previously, nor my neighbours closer to the proposed restricted parking area, so how was the proposed zone up to No 65 arrived at?</li> </ol> | <p>and level of interest in the proposals it appears unlikely that the respondent was unaware of the possibility of permit parking controls being introduced. See officer comments on responses 8.1 and 8.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 15.0.</p> <p>Implementation of these restrictions would be funded from a capital budget that Councillors committed as they consider that it is a good and proper use of funds. Once operational, it is expected that the permit area would be self financing so would not be a burden on the public purse.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 1.0, 8.0 and 8.1.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>I look forward to hearing from you with answers to these objections and would hope these matters are considered during the consultation period.</p> <p>With many thanks.</p> <p>Best regards,</p>  |   |
| 207.1    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p><b><u>Parking Proposal to Stevenage Old Town</u></b></p> <p>As a resident of over 15 years of Walkern Road, I have been made aware just this week of the Proposed Permit Holders Parking to a large part of our road.</p> <p>Why have I not been made aware of the proposals by the Council either formally or informally, recently, or in the past regarding these important proposed changes?</p> <p>Whilst the proposed restrictions appear to stop at No 65, and are therefore not directly outside my property, this will obviously have an impact on parking to all of Walkern Road, and other surrounding roads.</p> <p>As a result, I formally OBJECT to the Proposals for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Parking will now become a problem further up Walkern Road and other roads, due to cars parking without permits, or residents unwilling or unable to pay for Permits.</li> <li>2. Workers using first section of Walkern Road (High Street to Church Lane) will park on</li> </ol> | See officer comments on response 207.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address      | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|--------------|---|---|
|          |              | <p>free unrestricted zone of Walkern Road and others, thus impacting parking to areas further out.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Residents having to pay for Permits (both resident and visitors) is an unfair additional 'tax' on residents.</li> <li>4. Unnecessary extra cost to Council (and residents through taxes) for road markings and signage, together with Patrols. This money is better spent on other local needs.</li> <li>5. I was not consulted previously, nor my neighbours closer to the proposed restricted parking area, so how was the proposed zone up to No 65 arrived at?</li> </ol> <p>I look forward to hearing from you with answers to these objections and would hope these matters are considered during the consultation period.</p> <p>With many thanks.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> |   |
| 208.0    | Walkern Road | <p>Stevenage</p> <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p>   | See officer comments on response 207.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>As a resident of over 15 years of Walkern Road, I have been made aware just this week of the Proposed Permit Holders Parking to a large part of our road.</p> <p>Why have I not been made aware of the proposals by the Council either formally or informally, recently, or in the past regarding these important proposed changes?</p> <p>Whilst the proposed restrictions appear to stop at No 65, and are therefore not directly outside my property, this will obviously have an impact on parking to all of Walkern Road, and other surrounding roads.</p> <p>As a result, I OBJECT to the Proposals for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Parking will now become a problem further up Walkern Road and other roads, due to cars parking without permits, or residents unwilling or unable to pay for Permits.</li> <li>2. Workers using first section of Walkern Road (High Street to Church Lane) will park on free unrestricted zone of Walkern Road and others, thus impacting parking to areas further out.</li> <li>3. Residents having to pay for Permits (both resident and visitors) is an unfair additional 'tax' on</li> </ol> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                        |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>residents.</p> <p>4. Unnecessary extra cost to Council (and residents through taxes) for road markings and signage, together with Patrols. This money is better spent on other local needs.</p> <p>5. I was not consulted previously, nor my neighbours closer to the proposed restricted parking area, so how was the proposed zone up to No 65 arrived at?</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you with answers to these objections and would hope these matters are considered during the consultation period.</p> <p>With many thanks.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> |   |
| 208.1    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p><b><u>Parking Proposal to Stevenage Old Town</u></b></p> <p>As a resident of over 15 years of Walkern Road, I have been made aware just this week of the Proposed Permit Holders Parking to a large part of our road.</p> <p>Why have I not been made aware of the proposals by the Council either formally or informally, recently, or in the past regarding these important</p>   | See officer comments on response 207.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>proposed changes?</p> <p>Whilst the proposed restrictions appear to stop at No 65, and are therefore not directly outside my property, this will obviously have an impact on parking to all of Walkern Road, and other surrounding roads.</p> <p>As a result, I formally OBJECT to the Proposals for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Parking will now become a problem further up Walkern Road and other roads, due to cars parking without permits, or residents unwilling or unable to pay for Permits.</li> <li>2. Workers using first section of Walkern Road (High Street to Church Lane) will park on free unrestricted zone of Walkern Road and others, thus impacting parking to areas further out.</li> <li>3. Residents having to pay for Permits (both resident and visitors) is an unfair additional 'tax' on residents.</li> <li>4. Unnecessary extra cost to Council (and residents through taxes) for road markings and signage, together with Patrols. This money is better spent on other local needs.</li> <li>5. I was not consulted previously, nor my neighbours closer to the proposed restricted parking area, so how was the proposed</li> </ol> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------|
|          |             |           | <p>zone up to No 65 arrived at?</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you with answers to these objections and would hope these matters are considered during the consultation period.</p> <p>With many thanks.</p> <p>Best regards,</p>   |                  |
| 209.0    | High Street | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The issue of residents parking would be better resolved by allowing business owners and employees in the High Street vicinity free, at cost,</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>or low cost (ie the same price as suggested for the residents parking permits) parking in the car parks which abut the High Street. These car parks are under-utilised, especially since the departure of Waitrose.</p> <p>Workers would chose to park there if offered as they would be guarantee a space, they are well lit, and more secure than on street parking.</p> <p>Shoppers would also benefit as it would free up spaces in the free on street parking along the High Street.</p> <p>Residents would of course benefit as they wouldn't be battling for parking in their streets with workers.</p> <p>I am aware of the argument that there is a cost to the council for maintaining the car parks, however the actual cost for workers to utilise spaces which are not currently being occupied by paid for users is a small amount of extra maintenance - the car parks are lit, monitored, and maintained in any event so we are really only talking about an amount of extra wear and tear.</p> <p>There are already exceptions made for certain groups to utilise the council's car parks free of charge (ie council employees; market traders; etc) so there are already the precedents, policies and procedures in place for this.</p> <p>It would also reflect well on the council to offer assistance to businesses on or proximate to the High Street at a time when High Streets are</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | <p>beleaguered, retail has experienced its worse trading year in 2019 for 35 years, and our High Street vacancy rate is the highest it has been in the 11 years I have had an association with Stevenage.</p> <p>In my experience of where I lived previously, residents parking permits are usually only the start - decriminalising/restricting parking usually follows, paid for by parking meters, which further kills use of High Streets as people begrudge paying for what used to be free and vote with their feet.</p> | <p>Parking enforcement in Stevenage was decriminalised fifteen years ago. There is no intention to introduce pay-and-display parking in Old Stevenage.</p> |
| 210.0    | Corton Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Use of facilities near these roads</p>  | <p>There is insufficient information in this objection for it to be considered.</p>  |
| 210.1    | Corton Close | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p>   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Parents will not be able to park near to letchmore road school, or in a safe manner.<br/>Priory nursery in stanmore road has young children who are dropped off and collected who need near by drop off/collection zone.<br/>If people are unwell they will need to be near to stanmore road doctors surgery.<br/>I think you should consider drop off times, such as 30 minute visits allowed.<br/>This would stop people leaving their cars there all day.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 88.0 and "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 205.0.</p> |
| 211.0    | Lonsdale Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>All the car parks should be free for up to 4 hours, giving people time to shop and catch up, there should be one car park especially for the workers</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.2, 16.0 and 30.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | for the whole day that is not at a silly price. The local roads would then be available for residents to come and go outside their house. No need for permits then. Think it is wrong for people to be penalised for living in the old town and owning a vehicle.  | See officer comments on response 8.0.  |
| 212.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I am writing to express my disbelief of the parking permit notice which you have proposed to enforce down Walkern Road.</p> <p>I would like to point out the following :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The original letter we received did not include Walkern Road</li> <li>• Walkern Road already has the following parking restrictions :</li> </ul> <p>Single yellow line during school hours (from roughly #30 to ###- which is where my wife and I live)</p> <p>Outside our house (###) we already have a speed bump</p> <p>I find absolutely astonishing that you have now added Walkern Road into this situation.</p> <p>This is certainly not needed nor wanted by any of our close neighbours.</p> | <p>While Walkern Road had not been previously included, it was clearly stated when residents were surveyed in 2019 that “We’re therefore also writing to the surrounding area so people who live in other streets nearby have the chance to request they’re included too. We’ll consider responses geographically, and if there’s strong support for permits from another street or part of one we’ll look at adding it to the list.”</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>Thank you for your time and I look forward to a prompt response.</p> <p>Regards</p>  |   |
| 213.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>Good Evening,</p> <p>In respect to the councils proposal to introduce parking permits to Alleyns Road.</p> <p>I feel that this would serve no purpose as the road is empty during the permit times you wish to impose, most people are at work so consequently leave and return before and after those times.</p> <p>Parking in our road is not a problem during the day. I seems criminal to charge us all for something that does not represent a problem.</p> <p>I think a better idea would be that permits are not introduced at this time and that the residents of Alleyns Road are balloted two months after the introduction of parking permits in Grove Road and Albert Street to see how we feel then.</p> <p>Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3 and 8.0 and “Alleyns Road” in consultation report.</p> <p>See “Alleyns Road” in consultation report.</p> |
| 214.0    | Grove Road   | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Grove Road</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address     |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |             |           | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Living next to the high street we knew the situation when we moved here. We need to be encouraging people to the high street, not putting up further restrictions. The high street is dying, don't kill it all together. Leave the parking as it is. With Waitrose gone you need to be attracting another key retailer to this space (Coop/budgens for example). Until you've done so remove parking fees for stays of under 3 hours. That way you keep the commuters away, allow people to shop and it will clear the congested streets around the high street.<br/>Please stop wasting time on parking and start focusing on reviving the high street.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0, 16.0, 30.0 and 35.0.</p> |
| 215.0    | Basils Road | Stevenage | <p>I write with regard to your proposals for parking permits at my address of 73 Basils Road, Stevenage.</p> <p>Firstly, on purchasing this property 8 years ago I did not anticipate having to find the funds to pay for parking permits to park my own vehicles on the public road outside my own property. I cannot afford to have my yearly budget increased by this charge, especially in view of the fact that is is only deemed necessary by the selfish actions of non-</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4, 8.0 and 8.1.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>residents parking on residential streets, a situation that appears to have increased in line with car parking charges being introduced and increased in the area.</p> <p>The location of my property, at the end of Basils Road adjacent to the school, means the main issue with parking is due to the selfishness of parents who are delivering or collecting their offspring from the school and block my drive, park on double yellow lines and also on the hatched lines of Letchmore road. None of these issues will be addressed by charging residents for permits as this will not prevent any of the aforementioned transgressions by school parents. Their parking outside my house twice a day, preventing me from doing so, will also not be addressed unless the council can guarantee that they will in fact police this issue and ensure this situation does not continue.</p> <p>As a shift worker, the times proposed will not assist me to park outside my property after paying for a permit as by the time I have returned from work, the restriction will have ceased and I will therefore still not be able to park outside my property with my paid for permit.</p> <p>It has also come to my attention, from your website as such information appears to have been</p> | <p>If the restrictions are introduced, parking without a permit will be enforced against during controlled hours.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |              |           | <p>neglected from inclusion in the letter I was sent dated 16th January <u>2019</u>, that vehicles over 3,500 kilos will be prohibited from parking under this permit scheme. I possess a classic vehicle, an ex-military lorry, which has been kept on the street outside my property to date. Under these proposals I would apparently be prohibited from parking my vehicle on the street outside my property under the permit that I will be charged for!</p> <p>I am afraid I object to these proposals for the reasons mentioned above. To clarify, the proposals will apparently prevent me from keeping one of my own vehicles on the public highway outside my own property, will do nothing to prevent school parents from illegally parking, blocking the road and preventing me from parking outside my own property, will be of no use to me on some of my shifts due to the permit times proposed and finally will cause me to be out of pocket for permits that will have little benefit to me and that I cannot afford.</p> <p>I would be most grateful if you could address these issues.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> | <p>This part of the proposals is in accordance with Objective Five of Stevenage's adopted Parking Strategy, "To reduce the number of commercial vehicles parking in residential streets and thus limit the adverse effect on residents." Where a vehicle would not be eligible for a permit no fee would be payable.</p> |
| 216.0    | Alley's Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>The proposed parking permits will not resolve the issue of parking in Alleyns Road or Albert St. The issue is simply most houses having two cars at night, during the day the street is half empty. So adding parking restrictions during the day will not resolve this, it will only mean residents have to pay extra with no benefit.<br/>Parking is busy during the evening but most residents accept this knowing that this is an old street.</p> <p>I strongly disagree with the proposed plan.</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1, and “Alleyns Road” in consultation report. |
| 217.0    | Skegness Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p>   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>We are users of Letchmore Infants and Nursery School services.</p> <p>The objection for the parking permit scheme for Stevenage Old Town is that this will create even more pressure on the other streets away from old town to the north of the school. It is already quite challenging and busy for drop offs and pick ups and the worry is this will further exasperate the current situation.</p> <p>Can the scheme exclude the school drop off at start of school and end of school day picks ups? This way, the rest of the time the restrictions will be in place for other services as indicated in your reasons document.</p> <p>As it is, many of the parents I know are already parking at other streets and walking to the school and after these changes it will make the situation even harder.</p> <p>Could you please consider that the school drop off and pick up times are excluded from the scheme rather than 8:30 to 5:30, this will immensely reduce the stress that it will create on parents.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 35.0 and 49.0 and “School and nursery parking” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
|          |               |           | Letchmore Infants and Nursery School is a good school and would like its use of services to be not affected by such schemes.   |  |
| 218.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I am writing to oppose the introduction of the proposed parking permit scheme in Stevenage Old Town. As the owner of a small independent day nursery, Clare's Little Bears, in Stanmore Hall on Basils Road, I believe that the introduction of permits will have a devastating impact on my ability to run my business, my staff, parents of children at Letchmore school and road safety in the area.</p> <p>Whilst Clare's Little Bears understand the frustration of local residents who find it hard to park close to their homes, we feel that the council has a duty to ensure that any changes they make do not prevent the Old Town community from operating. Clare's Bears is an integral part of the local community. Parents often choose our preschool for their toddlers because they also have older children attending Letchmore and/or Almond Hill schools. Having the three in close proximity in the Old Town is beneficial for the local community and the introduction of permits would adversely impact on each of them.</p> <p>Mine and my staff's experience is that there is not a parking problem in Basils Road during the day</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>when we need to park. Parents who drop their children off to us say the same. We suspect that any problems with parking in the roads included in the proposed scheme are caused by commuters parking in the street in the early morning, walking to work or to the station and leaving their cars on the road until they return in the early evening, thereby preventing some residents from being able to park outside their properties when they arrive home at night. It seems senseless for these roads to be clear of cars during the times me and my staff need to park there, or to force parents of children at the local school to cram into already busy roads on one side of the school, whilst the other side is empty.</p> <p>As a pre school we operate from 08:30 – 16:30, with ten staff working various shift patterns in those hours. My staff are already tight on time after dropping their own children off at school and rushing to the pre school in time to ensure the correct staff to child ratio to open up for our school session and parent can get to work. If staff have to park further away from the nursery, even if it is only as far as the car park on Church Lane, it will be impossible for me to open and close at the times parents need. I also need to factor in trips I make to the lock up. Our pre school is very small and has no storage space. We drive to and from the lock up to collect and drop off items at times</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>where the staff to child ratio allows. Not being able to park in the street will affect our ability to load and unload vehicles.</p> <p>I also operate a service where I transport children to and from our Forest school in Hampson park. I need to have the car close to pick up and drop off children safely on Stanmore Road throughout the school day.</p> <p>I am in the process of purchasing Stanmore Hall which is currently owned by the Bunyan church and completion date is imminent. I was not aware that there would be possible parking permits implemented. This would mean costly parking fees for my staff. If we were to use the car park at the bottom of the road and by the times my staff arrive at work, there would not be any spaces as these would all more than likely be taken up by other local community groups and businesses. The cost would have to be put on the parents with increased childcare fees as I have not factored in the extra finances to cover these costs. Staff may well leave if their wage is compromised by parking fees.</p> <p>It is not only practical problems that the scheme will create for my business. I am aware that there is likely to be a short window of time to allow for drop offs, however dropping off very young</p> | <p>Loading and unloading vehicles would be permitted and would not require a permit.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 46.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 207.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>children can be unpredictable. Some children need settling in each day by their parents, rushing a drop off will be stressful for the children, the parents and my staff who currently work collaboratively with parents to ensure children are left at nursery happy and relaxed. A short drop off window will also effect the daily professional visits for our SENCO team and parent and child sessions and events. Several parents have also expressed concern to me about the road safety issues the introduction of permits would create at school pick up and drop off times. There is a genuine concern that pedestrian and road safety will be compromised should the scheme go ahead because of the increased volume of traffic and parked cars in the local non permit streets. The introduction of permit parking is proven to push the problem onto a different group of residents, in this case those living in streets on the other side of Letchmore school.</p> <p>I have had three children go through Letchmore school, so I know how dangerous parking is around the school at the start and end of the school day. This will not be improved at all by the permit scheme, in fact it will be made worse because it will increase the traffic and cars trying to park on the other side of the school. We know this is the case because when the council's parking enforcement officer is on duty outside the</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>school at these times, the parking problems extend further up Letchmore Road, into Hellards and Whitesmead Road. The school works hard to promote walking or cycling to school, but that is not an option for all parents.</p> <p>On street parking should be a communal asset for local people, communities and businesses. The introduction of a permit scheme in the Old Town would benefit a minority and inconvenience the majority. Permit schemes should only be introduced with the support of local residents, schools, community hubs and businesses, and we do not believe this proposal has the full support of the local community.</p> <p>I urge you to reject the proposed scheme – it is designed to benefit a small proportion of residents but will greatly inconvenience the wider community and will put road and pedestrian safety at risk at peak school hours.</p> <p>But, if a scheme must go ahead, perhaps you could consider an alternative approach, operating a one hour per day residents only parking scheme. This would specifically deter commuters, as they would be unable to park all day on the street, but would allow others to park without restriction outside the specified hour. If this option was to be considered, I would be very keen to discuss it with</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address                    | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|----------------------------|--|--|
|          |                            | <p>the council to try and work out a way in which the impact on my business, staff and parents can be minimised. This would still not help myself and my staff in parking as we would need to move the car and come out of work potentially having to come out of ratio whilst caring for the children. Breaks are usually 30 minutes.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you with your response.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>   |  |
| 219.0    | Stanmore Road<br>Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Finding a parking space is not an issue currently, but blocking off a relatively large stretch of the road alongside the medical centre will restrict parking unnecessarily and will introduce parking issues. The proposed changes to parking in the surrounding streets will be detrimental to the</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 20.0 and 35.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments                      |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|
|          |              |           | remaining businesses in the High Street, many of which are already suffering from high rates. These proposals will contribute to the terminal decline of the viability of the businesses in the Old Town.  |                                       |
| 220.0    |              |           | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I work on Stevenage outskirts and almost every lunchtime 3 or 4 so us drive into the old town for lunch. Parking isn't always readily available on the high street so we park for 30 minutes on some of the proposed restriction roads. I'd the restrictions come in and we have to either not be able to park, or have to pay for park, then we will simply buy lunch elsewhere or get it at Sainsbury's which will have a detrimental affect on our support of local business on what's currently one of the only good, varied high streets in the county.</p> | See officer comments on response 5.0. |
| 221.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |                                       |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/> Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/> Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>This feels to me like a council money making scheme, I do not believe that there will be an overspill into our road during the day from the town as most of the shops have closed down for eg Waitrose. Also we don't have a problem during the day with parking as most of the residents cars are not here and are being used to get to work so I don't understand why we need parking permits. Also the road is so narrow cars need to park on the pavements as it is so with a warden patrolling surely those cars will be ticketed if it is permitted. I have previously been in support of parking permits for commercial vehicles being parked in the road outside business hours, vans etc taking up space for residents to park in the evenings, over weekends.</p> <p>The issue is that there is not enough space in the road for the amount of cars used by residents. If permits were to be introduced I would make it that only those household with more cars than bedrooms would need to pay for a permit and</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.<br/> See officer comments on response 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 168.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | <p>where there are the same amount of cars to bedrooms those permits would be free to the residents.</p> <p>For example I have a 2 bedroom house therefore I would be given 2 free parking permits and if I require a further permit I would have to pay the top price you have estimated for it.</p> <p>Parking restrictions in my opinion should be in the morning between 7am and 10am to deter people dropping off kids at school and attending mosque etc and also be restricted from 15:30 to 18:30 to allow for the residents to be able to park in the road when they return from work.</p> <p>I feel it is very unfair to start charging for permits under the restrictions you are proposing as it wont benefit anyone, therefore I would recommend that you don't implement these restrictions until you have reviewed the overspill in Alleyns Road following your introduction of parking permits in the adjacent roads.</p> <p>Please consider my recommendation of allowing free permits up to the limit of bedrooms in each house and only charge for those who have an excessive amount of vehicles for the property if you are to implement under your proposal, however I still object to introducing them at all with the time and days you have stated as it will not benefit any resident in the road.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3 and "School and nursery parking" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 222.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I have lived in Stanmore Road for 17 years and now the parking is a nightmare.<br/>The culprits are:-<br/>The people who park in the road to go into the Old Town because they don't want to pay for parking<br/>The staff and patients of the doctors surgery. Their staff park outside our houses although there is a car park at the doctors. Since the other surgeries in Stevenage have amalgamated people from all over Stevenage get sent to Stanmore.<br/>The staff of the Priory nursery park outside our houses all day and there is a large amount of traffic dropping children off and picking them up at all hours between early morning and evening. These parents often just sit in their cars on their phones with their engines running or leave the car and walk off.<br/>WHY and WHAT is the justification for making people pay to park outside their own houses? The priority for parking should be for the people who</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 3.4 and 8.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |          | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|-------------|----------|---|--|
|          |             |          | <p>live in the road, at least for one vehicle.</p> <p>The charges proposed are seen as just another opportunity to fleece residents.</p> <p>Since Waitrose closed the huge car park behind those premises is empty. Scrap the charges and people will use the car parks instead of clogging up residential roads.</p> <p>THINK about the consequences of what you people make happen. Every edict has a knock on effect. None of you should be instrumental in the decline of the Old Town.</p> <p>Put the quality of life and welfare of the local community above the revenue, just for once.</p>   | <p>See officer comments on responses 16.0, 30.0 and 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 8.0.</p> |
| 223.0    | High Street | Graveley | <p>I know this isn't rocket science, but as SBC owns the Car Park at the rear of where Waitrose used to be, and it patently isn't being used while the other shops in the Old Town suffer 40% drops in trade because of Waitrose's exit, I agree with NNNN NNNN's suggestion that the Car Park be free for the public to use until someone takes over the occupancy of the empty building.</p> <p>I thought of this idea myself also, and am surprised that SBC has not implemented this already.</p> <p>I have to say, I'm not a big user of the Old Town anyway, due to the fact that I can never find a parking space, even for short term parking, and there are no shops or businesses that I personally</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 16.0, 30.0 and 51.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address     |          | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|-------------|----------|---|------------------|
|          |             |          | <p>use frequently other than the Library. I used to use a hairdressing salon who made such a mess of my hair once that I stopped using it.</p> <p>I am someone who prefers to shop only where I can find parking, so am quite happy to go further afield, say to Letchworth Morrisons or Letchworth Aldi or even London Colney or Biggleswade to go to Marks and Spencers.</p> <p>My local supermarket is Sainsburys, and I can park there for nothing for 3 hours.</p> <p>The Stevenage shops are abysmal in the New Town and I find it personally intensely depressing to shop there.</p> |                  |
| 223.1    | High Street | Graveley | <p>Hi Philip</p> <p>Thank you for your email.</p> <p>My address is ## High Street, Graveley Herts SG4 7LD</p> <p>Best wishes</p> <p>NNNN</p> <p>On Mon, Feb 17, 2020 at 12:16 PM tro &lt;tro@stevenage.gov.uk&gt; wrote:</p>  | n/a              |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|------------------|
|          |               |           | <p>Dear NNNN</p> <p>Thank you for replying to our consultation.</p> <p>The purpose of the consultation is to find out everyone's views and concerns, and now the consultation has ended all the comments and objections we have received will be fully considered and discussed with the local councillors to allow a decision to be taken on if and how to proceed. I have logged your objections and will ensure your remarks are fully considered before any decision is made.</p> <p>Please can you let me know your address to help us understand the distribution of responses.</p> <p>I will write to you again to let you know what is decided, but this may take some time given the number and variety of replies we have received.</p> <p>Your sincerely</p> |                  |
| 224.0    | Haycroft Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Other - Not street specific</p>  |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|---|---|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>Haycroft Road, in which I live, will not be subject to the new parking restrictions, which is a relief. At the same time, the road will probably become a new target for High Street workers/visitors who currently park on the roads proposed for the new restrictions. It is the lower, Letchmore Road end of Haycroft Road which will be most affected. The road is so narrow here that it is necessary for drivers to park partially on the pavement on one side of the road. It has always been that way. It is a bus route, and buses often have difficulty getting through if cars are badly parked. Also, because of the blind bend near this end of the road, cars travelling in the Letchmore Road direction are not always aware of cars/buses coming towards them. As things are this isn't usually a problem because there are gaps one can pull into to allow the other vehicle to pass. If there are no gaps you have a real problem. There could be several cars moving in one direction. They would all have to reverse several hundred yards to allow oncoming traffic to pass. There isn't room for cars to pass without one pulling over. The only solution I have to the problem is to make the car park behind the former Waitrose store more user friendly and inexpensive.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See "Parking displacement" and "Other issues" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 16.0, 30.0 and 51.1.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content  | Officer comments      |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|
|          |                |           | Even when Waitrose was open the car park was under-used. I think the new parking scheme may cause more problems than it solves.  |                       |
| 225.0    | Basils Road    | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>I strongly support the proposed parking controls. During the week and through to Saturday morning parking anywhere near our house (which is close to the High Street end of Basils Road) is often impossible. I would also like to see parking restrictions enforced more rigorously because inconsiderate parking often partially blocks the junction with Church Road and the small side road at the top of Basils Road where my property is.</p> | The support is noted. |
| 226.0    | Letchmore Road | Stevenage | <p><b><u>Sent on Behalf of NNNN and NNNN, Co-Headteachers and NNNN, Chair of Governors for Letchmore Infants' and Nursery School</u></b></p> <p>Good Afternoon</p> <p>We are writing to you with regards to the proposed</p>   |                       |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>parking scheme and plans to introduce permit parking in a number of roads located close to the school.</p> <p>With regards to the proposed no waiting at any time at the Chambers Gate/Letchmore Road junction and the Letchmore Road/Basils Road junction we would like to state that we agree with this proposal as cars parking and stopping on these junctions poses a risk to motorists and pedestrians alike due to restrictive view. We would also like to state that we agree with the no stopping at any entrance's between 8.00-17.00 as this is important particularly in the event of emergency vehicle access being required.</p> <p>We would like to ask the Council the rationale for selecting the times of the parking permit. We are not convinced that this is meeting the problem statement as it doesn't appear to be addressing the root cause of the issue.</p> <p>We would also like to know what traffic surveys have been completed prior to these proposal's being drawn up and if so, were any of them carried out at the opening and closing times of the school? If they were carried out at these times, we would very much like to know which dates and at what time.</p> | <p>No restrictions have been proposed at the junction of Chambers Gate and Letchmore Road.<br/>The support is noted.</p> <p>It is unclear which if any of the proposals this refers to.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.3.</p> <p>The need for restrictions was evidenced by popular opinion and by informal observations on a variety of days and times.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>Have the council prepared an impact statement on the stakeholders of Letchmore Infant School?</p> <p>Many comments we have received are of the view that this is a 'money making exercise' and obviously I appreciate government funding restrictions impact us all, what annual revenues are forecasted from this scheme and how will this money be reinvested into local amenities and services?</p> <p>Why has there been such a short period of time for response to the proposals? We received the letter sometime after date on the letter, which was the 16th January. This gave us less than a months notice to consider this proposal.</p> <p>School traffic and parking has been an ongoing issue particularly since the school was increased from a two form entry to a three form entry school. We have approximately 320 pupils on roll, aged between 3 and 7 years. We met in September 2019 with Councillor Joshua Bennett-Lovell to discuss ways to alleviate these issues. Since we met with Councillor Bennett-Lovell we have had no response and these proposals will further add congestion to an area that is already considered inadequate. In our opinion, the council has due care to ensure that the local community can still operate and function as a result of any changes</p> | <p>No; see "School and nursery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 8.0.</p> <p>See "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.<br/>See officer comments on response 5.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 184.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 164.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>they make.</p> <p>Have the council considered the impact on the roads adjoining the permit area at the times the permits will be enforceable and the displacement effect this will have on surrounding roads? Our linked junior school, Almond Hill is located to the North of our school. Looking at the plans of the surrounding roads it would seem likely that school traffic in particular would be pushed to the roads north of the school which are already congested with traffic for Almond Hill School. The Barclay Academy, a secondary school is also located to the west of our school. The permit proposals also include permit restrictions half way up this road thus pushing their school traffic north of that school. This could possibly cause unbearable congestion. It is quite a regular issue that traffic in both Letchmore Road and Walkern Road is at a standstill at school drop off and pick up times.</p> <p>Due to the increase from a two form to a three form entry we now serve a wider catchment area. From an environmental point of view, we welcome initiatives such as car sharing, walking to school, park and stride, cycling to school, however due consideration must be given to those parents for whom this is not an option as they live too far away to walk with young children and who may be dropping children to school followed by</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|---|--|
|          |         | <p>commuting to work and vice versa on collection, and as a result not have the luxury of additional time to walk to school.</p> <p>Due to the already strained parking we feel that this could have a negative impact on our intake of pupils to the school thus reducing it's revenue to provide an outstanding education for our children. Should the permit parking be introduced we will monitor punctuality and report any adverse affects on punctuality. This is an area also monitored by Ofsted.</p> <p>We would like to ask the council firstly to reconsider the permit proposal. If this is not a possibility than we would like them to consider a window of time to allow for drop off/collection due to the conflict of the parking permit times with school opening and closing time. Our school opens from 8.30am until 9.00am and pick up time is between 3.00pm and 3.30pm so can consideration be for the council to either change the permit times or consider a 30 minute grace period at the times just before until just after drop off and pick up times? It would need to be a wide window due to the ages of our children who have to be dropped directly to the classroom doors as they are too young to be dropped at the school.</p> <p>We ask that the council give serious consideration</p> | <p>See "School and nursery parking" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address        |           | Content   | Officer comments                        |
|----------|----------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |                |           | <p>to our comments about before arriving at a decision. In our opinion the council has due care to ensure that the local community can still operate and function as a result of any changes they make and these proposals have not considered this.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |   |
| 226.1    | Letchmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Other - Not street specific</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Sent on Behalf of NNNN and NNNN, Co-Headteachers and NNNN, Chair of Governors for Letchmore Infants' and Nursery School</p> <p>Good Afternoon</p> <p>We are writing to you with regards to the proposed parking scheme and plans to introduce permit parking in a number of roads located close</p> | See officer comments on response 226.1. |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>to the school.</p> <p>With regards to the proposed no waiting at any time at the Chambers Gate/Letchmore Road junction and the Letchmore Road/Basils Road junction we would like to state that we agree with this proposal as cars parking and stopping on these junctions poses a risk to motorists and pedestrians alike due to restrictive view. We would also like to state that we agree with the no stopping at any entrance's between 8.00-17.00 as this is important particularly in the event of emergency vehicle access being required.</p> <p>We would like to ask the Council the rationale for selecting the times of the parking permit. We are not convinced that this is meeting the problem statement as it doesn't appear to be addressing the root cause of the issue.</p> <p>We would also like to know what traffic surveys have been completed prior to these proposal's being drawn up and if so, were any of them carried out at the opening and closing times of the school? If they were carried out at these times, we would very much like to know which dates and at what time.</p> <p>Have the council prepared an impact statement</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>on the stakeholders of Letchmore Infant School?</p> <p>Many comments we have received are of the view that this is a 'money making exercise' and obviously I appreciate government funding restrictions impact us all, what annual revenues are forecasted from this scheme and how will this money be reinvested into local amenities and services?</p> <p>Why has there been such a short period of time for response to the proposals? We received the letter sometime after date on the letter, which was the 16th January. This gave us less than a months notice to consider this proposal.</p> <p>School traffic and parking has been an ongoing issue particularly since the school was increased from a two form entry to a three form entry school. We have approximately 320 pupils on roll, aged between 3 and 7 years. We met in September 2019 with Councillor Joshua Bennett-Lovell to discuss ways to alleviate these issues. Since we met with Councillor Bennett-Lovell we have had no response and these proposals will further add congestion to an area that is already considered inadequate. In our opinion, the council has due care to ensure that the local community can still operate and function as a result of any changes</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>they make.</p> <p>Have the council considered the impact on the roads adjoining the permit area at the times the permits will be enforceable and the displacement effect this will have on surrounding roads? Our linked junior school, Almond Hill is located to the North of our school. Looking at the plans of the surrounding roads it would seem likely that school traffic in particular would be pushed to the roads north of the school which are already congested with traffic for Almond Hill School. The Barclay Academy, a secondary school is also located to the west of our school. The permit proposals also include permit restrictions half way up this road thus pushing their school traffic north of that school. This could possibly cause unbearable congestion. It is quite a regular issue that traffic in both Letchmore Road and Walkern Road is at a standstill at school drop off and pick up times.</p> <p>Due to the increase from a two form to a three form entry we now serve a wider catchment area. From an environmental point of view, we welcome initiatives such as car sharing, walking to school, park and stride, cycling to school, however due consideration must be given to those parents for whom this is not an option as they live too far away to walk with young children and who may be dropping children to school followed by</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>commuting to work and vice versa on collection, and as a result not have the luxury of additional time to walk to school.</p> <p>Due to the already strained parking we feel that this could have a negative impact on our intake of pupils to the school thus reducing it's revenue to provide an outstanding education for our children. Should the permit parking be introduced we will monitor punctuality and report any adverse affects on punctuality. This is an area also monitored by Ofsted.</p> <p>We would like to ask the council firstly to reconsider the permit proposal. If this is not a possibility than we would like them to consider a window of time to allow for drop off/collection due to the conflict of the parking permit times with school opening and closing time. Our school opens from 8.30am until 9.00am and pick up time is between 3.00pm and 3.30pm so can consideration be for the council to either change the permit times or consider a 30 minute grace period at the times just before until just after drop off and pick up times? It would need to be a wide window due to the ages of our children who have to be dropped directly to the classroom doors as they are too young to be dropped at the school.</p> <p>We ask that the council give serious</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>consideration to our comments about before arriving at a decision. In our opinion the council has due care to ensure that the local community can still operate and function as a result of any changes they make and these proposals have not considered this.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>   |   |
| 227.0    | Minehead Way | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Please note this applies to all the roads around letchmore road infant school. The proposals are ridiculous and unworkable. There must be places for parents to drop off their children. Putting restrictions here will not cure the problem it will create them elsewhere. If residents are concerned with people using their streets for commuter parking then by all means put restrictions in such as 15 minutes maximum parking for non permit holders. But I'm sure if you've managed to attain</p> | <p>See "School and nursery parking" and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 205.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments                      |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|
|          |               |           | the job that you have to be the person reading this, you don't need me to tell you in any more detail about this obvious solution. On a personal note, the county council decided to send our child to our third choice school which is letchmore road. This is miles from our house compared to the 600 m up the road of our local school. It has impacted both my wife and my working schedules. To add any further complications to getting our child to school would be unacceptable and pointless.   |                                       |
| 228.0    | Chambers Gate | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Letchmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>Living in Chambers Gate, I have already complained about the traffic in my road during peak times and I am worried a child will be run over and hurt one day as I live next to the school. I agree with the proposal, but believe this will push cars parking further up the road and into my street where the school is and make it more dangerous. I have already complained in the past about parking in my road and nothing gets done. Happy to send</p> | See officer comments on response 1.0. |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|---|--|
|          |         |  | more pictures of the terrible parking if you need to for chambers gate, but believe the restriction should go further up the road to stop people parking right next to the school. Currently I dont believe the school has enough parking for teachers and they already park in front of our drives and gates,  | See officer comments on response 7.0.  |
| 229.0    |         |  | <p>Good afternoon,</p> <p>I visited the Council Customer Contact Centre a couple of weeks ago about the parking scheme pointing out some concerns about omissions and anomalies.</p> <p>Unfortunately I have had other commitments and I did not realise the response date was 'before' today. I am submitting the attached, hoping you will consider them since I did contact you beforehand. I apologise for the delay and also the quality, my laptop is now quite old and does not have the graphics capability to amend PDF documents and I have to adapt HCC plans for reporting highways faults.</p> <p>As I mentioned when I visited the Council, I am one of 2 properties to have suffered damage as a result of the Grove Road turning head. I am aware of vehicles suffering damage so some action needs to be taken about the parking and the turning head is kept clear.</p> | <p>If the permit proposals are introduced, decreased parking pressure is likely to reduce the likelihood of this area being obstructed. See "Other issues" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|--|
|          |         |  | <p>Regards</p> <p>NNNN</p> <hr/> <p>AS1 – Resident Parking area excluded from proposals. This will compromise the purpose of the parking scheme.</p> <p>AS2 – Garages with access area, vehicles park on access area daily despite no parking signage.</p> <p>LR1 – Parking Bay area excluded from proposals. Access for Flats in Albert Street from this side i.e. Letchmore Road. This will compromise the purpose of the parking scheme.</p> <p>VC1 – Parking on corner of junction on both sides - Limited waiting would formally address this and make negotiating the junction safer for larger vehicles accessing Victoria Close, such as refuse vehicles; which have to reverse in.</p> <p>VC2 – Garages with access area, vehicles park on access area despite signage.</p> <p>VC3 – Parking area included in proposals for permit scheme, however, the 3 shops on Albert Street comprise a Hairdressers, General Store and Fish and Chip shops. In addition to the proposed 3 hour parking on Albert Street outside these 3 shops, consideration should be given to using 3 spaces for 3 hour parking. It should be noted that</p> | <p>This area is managed by the Council's Housing department. If the proposals are implemented, they may subsequently be extended to this area through an appropriate Off Street Parking Places Order. These signs are advisory only.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>If the permit proposals are introduced, decreased parking pressure is likely to reduce the likelihood of this inappropriate parking in this area. See "Other issues" in consultation report. These signs are advisory only.</p> <p>The proposed limited waiting bays in Albert Street are considered an appropriate level of provision.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>the original High Street parking proposal was 2 hours free parking, this was increased to 3 hours as a compromise after a request from a Hairdresser in the Old Town as it was deemed that customers would be disadvantaged from using the Hairdressers on the High Street. This compromise was decided at the Full Council Meeting to discuss the High Street parking.</p> <p>VC4 – Included in Parking Scheme, originally designated as residential parking for Victoria Close, as odd Nos have frontage designed for a single vehicle. There is a covenant in Transfers of the houses in Victoria Close for the cost of maintenance for the concrete hardstanding area. The covenant imposed has become pointless as Victoria Close residents cannot use this hardstanding due to other motorists using the parking. The question arises as to the validity of this covenant, especially as the area has now been identified as within the Old Town parking scheme.</p> <p>VC5 – Limited waiting – vehicles regularly park on this corner, creating a blind spot and forcing vehicles to pull out when exiting the Close. If there is a proposal to prevent parking on the corner in Stanmore Road, this should also be considered as there have been a number of near misses and it is the ideal opportunity to implement this. It should be noted that the properties in Victoria Close have off street parking for at least one vehicle and the 3</p> | <p>The parking enforcement team has no knowledge of these covenants and cannot comment on them. The space in question forms part of the road and is considered regulable under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.</p> <p>If the permit proposals are introduced, decreased parking pressure is likely to reduce the likelihood of this inappropriate parking in this area. See “Other issues” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>flats that were built behind Albert Street have off-road parking accessed from Victoria Close, although these are rarely used as gates were erected which prevent ease of access. Users have the choice of stopping in the middle of Victoria Close and opening the gates, then entering the parking area or simply parking in Victoria Close. VC6 – Resident parking area excluded from Parking Scheme. This will compromise the purpose of the parking scheme. Also points raised in VC4 apply as use and covenant.</p> <p>GR1 and GR2 – Passing points, this was suggested several years ago as the problems of vehicles passing each other due to parked cars. A major problem is Funerals at the Catholic Church, as the Hearse has to turn around using the turning head (see GR3) and if there are vehicles parked outside the Church, the Hearse has to park in the middle of the road to enable the Coffin to be taken in and out of the Church. This blocks access to and from the Grove Road. If the Hearse was able to park immediately adjacent to the Church, this would address this problem.</p> <p>GR3 – Turning head No parking – when this was discussed previously the Council said that due to cost, it would preferable to have this included in a TRO involving No Parking. The Council is making such an order as part of the proposal, so now would be the most appropriate time. Currently Vehicles are still parked in the advisory no parking</p> | <p>This area is included in the proposed permit parking controls as set out in the draft Order.</p> <p>If the permit proposals are introduced, decreased parking pressure is likely to reduce the likelihood of this inappropriate parking in this area. See “Other issues” in consultation report.</p> <p>If the permit proposals are introduced, decreased parking pressure is likely to reduce the likelihood of this inappropriate parking in this area. See “Other issues” in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |              |           | area, causing problems for other vehicle turning around. Vehicles are also parked on the opposite corner, which also cause problems trying to turn around.  |  |
| 230.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I would be grateful if the current proposal could be reviewed as feel that the council has taken the most extreme option enforcing permit parking only Monday to Saturday 08.30 - 17:30 which would maximise the most amount of revenue for the council in terms of visitor vouchers and permits. I would be grateful if the council would delay a decision on Alleyns Road until we see the impact in other streets and then introduce if required a permit scheme and a 2 hour parking, no return within 4 hours option Monday to Friday so that commuters or shop workers donjt park all day but still facilitates our own visitors at the weekend and also school drop offs etc. The busiest time in</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0, 5.3, 8.0, 8.1 and 15.0.</p> <p>See “Alleyns Road” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 205.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | Alleyns Road is overnight which wouldnt be impacted by the current proposal.  |  |
| 231.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>NNNN<br/>## Stanmore Road<br/>STEVENAGE<br/>SG1 3QF<br/>TTTTT TTTTTT<br/>EEEE</p> <p>Old Town Residents Parking Scheme<br/>Firstly, I should like to say that I am in full agreement with the proposed plan to make Stanmore Road a designated Residents Only parking zone. However, I do have the following objections;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The first Residents Parking Permit for each household should be Free and not chargeable.</li> <li>• Subsequent Parking Permits should be charged at £52.00 per year for a second car and</li> </ul> | <p>The support is noted.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | <p>£104 for a third car.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No more than 3 Parking Permits should be issued per household.</li> <li>• Carers should be provided with 1 Free Parking Permit.</li> <li>• Parking Permits should not be issued to businesses such as the Priory Nursery, as these are the main contributors to parking congestion in Stanmore Road.</li> <li>• Free Parking Bays should be limited to 30 minutes, not 3 hours as proposed.</li> <li>• Stanmore Road should be designated as a "One Way Street". This in itself would help traffic flow and ease congestion.</li> </ul> | <p>This is in line with the proposals that have been made.</p> <p>Free carers permits are provided for in the proposals.</p> <p>This is in line with the proposals that have been made.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 20.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 36.0.</p> |
| 231.1    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:</p> <p>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:</p> <p>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The first Residents Parking Permit for each household should be Free and not chargeable.</li> <li>• Subsequent Parking Permits should be charged at £52.00 per year for a second car and</li> </ul>  | See officer comments on response 231.0.   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>£104 for a third car.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No more than 3 Parking Permits should be issued per household.</li> <li>• Carers should be provided with 1 Free Parking Permit.</li> <li>• Parking Permits should not be issued to businesses such as the Priory Nursery, as these are the main contributors to parking congestion in Stanmore Road.</li> <li>• Free Parking Bays should be limited to 30 minutes, not 3 hours as proposed.</li> <li>• Stanmore Road should be designated as a "One Way Street". This in itself would help traffic flow and ease congestion.</li> </ul> |  |
| 231.2    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Limited Waiting and Shared Use Parking Places should limited to 30 minutes and not the proposed 3 Hours. The grounds for my objection are; Drivers who wish to shop, perform part-time work or visit</p>  | See officer comments on response 20.0. Three hours limited waiting will ensure |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | places of entertainment in the Old Town area are contributors to the current parking congestion in the area. Allowing 3 Hours of Free Parking will not help to relieve the parking problem. Reducing this to 30 minutes will allow Drivers to use the parking bays for actions such as visiting the doctors but would also aid in reducing the congestion.  | improved turnover compared to allowing all-day parking as at present. It also serves to support patients who may need to spend a longer time at the doctors due to complex needs or multiple concurrent appointments. Other residents have not suggested they consider three hours too long. |
| 231.3    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Parking Permits. These should not be issued to any business in Stanmore Road. The grounds for my objection are:<br/>The Priory Nursery and the Stanmore Medical Centre are the main contributors to parking congestion in Stanmore Road. Staff, Employees and Visitors to these premises should not be issued with Parking Permits as, if they are, it will not ease the current parking congestion.<br/>Patients visiting the Stanmore Medical Centre should be informed that they must use the onsite</p> | <p>This is in line with the proposals that have been made.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 215.0.</p>  |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |               |           | parking facilities and NOT park in the restricted area.   |   |
| 231.4    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Resident Parking Permit for first vehicle at the address. The Parking Permit for the first vehicle should be FREE. This is on the grounds that the fee for any additional vehicles will more than cover the cost of any single vehicles. Additionally, a majority of households own more than one vehicle and, as such, the cost of the scheme and the first vehicle will be covered by the fees for any additional vehicles. Finally, every household should be limited to no more than 3 Parking Permits, inclusive of business vehicles such as commercial vans.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 3.4 and “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p> <p>This is not the case.</p> <p>This is in line with the proposals that have been made.</p> |
| 231.5    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address   |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--|---|
|          |           |           | <p>restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Support the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>The subject of Parking Congestion in Stanmore Road needs to be addressed urgently, which this scheme does. I wholeheartedly support the scheme, with a few minor objections, which I have raised separately.</p> <p>With regard to suggestions, the Borough Council must consider making Stanmore Road a "One Way" street, as this would ease traffic flow and aid parking congestion. It must also ensure that Businesses, such as the Priory Nursery and Stanmore Medical Centre are NOT issued with Parking Permits as their employees, patients and customers are the main contributor to parking congestion in Stanmore Road. Patients should be restricted to parking in the onsite facilities at the Medical Centre. The Priory Nursery should seriously consider relocating to a facility with improved parking for employees and parents. The premises could then be considered for converting into a Car Park for Residents and their Visitors.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 231.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 36.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 215.0.</p> <p>This is a matter for the Priory Nursery.</p> |
| 232.0    | Grace Way | Stevenage | I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice   |   |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |              |           | <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Basils Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>My work is here. The safety of children is priority and if we can't park then the safety of children is completely at risk.</p>  | See officer comments on response 7.0 and "School and nursery parking" in consultation report. |
| 233.0    | Alleyns Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>Can you please explain why you are proposing parking permits in Alleyns road. Having lived here for 14 years and it has always been the same, the fact of the matter is from 7am until 9am the road is still full of residents vehicles therefore this does not constitute as available parking for anybody</p> | See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.  |

| Ref. no. | Address               | Content   | Officer comments                                  |
|----------|-----------------------|---|---|
|          |                       | <p>other than residents. During the daytime the road is 80% empty. In the evening everyone comes home from work and the road is full. The council should have completed surveys in the street 3 times a day, morning, noon and night to highlight any parking issues in Alleyns Road they would realise that it's not an issue. Introducing permits will not make a difference at all apart from the odd person who is pushed back to park in Alleyns from Basils Road, Grove Road etc where there has always been an issue. This issue needs more investigation from council as we are tax payers and pay council tax etc and should not be subjected to additional. Please can I have a response to these questions ASAP.</p> | <p>See "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 234.0    | High Street Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Victoria Close</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>It would appear that approximately 1/3 of those surveyed would like car Parking permits, which more than 60% of those surveyed do not wish the</p>   | <p>See officer comments on response 176.0.</p>    |

| Ref. no. | Address      |           | Content   | Officer comments  |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---|---|
|          |              |           | <p>area to become permit parking. The Permit system will not introduce any new or additional car parking spaces. The permits will be available to those who can afford them, clearly disadvantage get those more economically challenged. For unable to afford or indeed agree with the Permit system, they will park their cars in the adjacent streets etc. etc. All that happens is that you create a parking problem and then exacerbate through an unnecessary=ecessary permit system. It is equally difficult not to see this as an additional form of Council revenue stream along side the recent hike in car parking charges. I strongly object to the permit system as it is unnecessary we do not require another Local Authority tax.</p> | <p>By excluding non-residents the availability of parking for residents would be increased.</p> <p>See “Affordability” and “Possible courses of action” in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> |
| 235.0    | Walkern Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Walkern Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Make a general comment about the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us your comments or suggestions<br/>How do these proposals assist residents to park at times when parking would be more difficult, i.e. the evenings?</p>  | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3 and 8.0.</p>   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>Did residents respond to the idea of parking permits in the knowledge that it would cost them to park outside their own house? Did they realise it will be difficult for their visitors to park?</p> <p>How will you ensure that the parking problem isn't just shifted further up the road? There is already a parking problem directly outside the school with no enforcement of the double yellow line restrictions (given that their are zig zag no stopping lines applying to one section, it's strange that drivers are seemingly allowed to park on the double yellow lines directly outside the school for 20-30 minutes prior to school finishing time).</p> <p>Please can some thought be given to assisting the flow of traffic along the lower end of Walkern Road which often becomes gridlocked due to inconsiderate drivers. Perhaps a section of double yellow lines by the brick wall on the bend and another section outside the pub car park (the bus stop and either side of it) to ensure there is sufficient passing room.</p> <p>Can something be done about the number of rubbish dumpsters and bins that find their way into parking bays, further limiting the small number of spaces available?</p> <p>With Waitrose gone, the old town is struggling to</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 1.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 164.0.</p> <p>See "Other issues" in consultation report.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|
|          |               |           | offer much to bring shoppers to the area so I sincerely hope that these restrictions do not have an adverse affect on passing trade for the high street shops.   | See officer comments on response 5.0.   |
| 236.0    | Alleyns Road  | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Alleyns Road</p> <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection<br/>I believe the current proposal will not resolve any parking issues. We do not have any issues during the day because we're far enough away from the High Street and the station. It is mostly an issue at evenings and weekends, which your proposal will not solve, and this is essentially just another tax on residents. I would suggest that you put it to a vote and ballot residents of the effected roads.</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.0 and 5.3.</p> <p>See "Alleyns Road" in consultation report.</p> |
| 237.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>I have read and agree to the terms and conditions and privacy notice</p> <p>I would like to comment on the proposed restrictions in:<br/>Stanmore Road</p>  |   |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>I would like to:<br/>Object to the proposals</p> <p>Please tell us the reasons why you are making an objection</p> <p>As a resident of Stanmore Road, I object to the proposed parking permit scheme for the north east end of Stanmore Road in particular and more generally throughout the neighbouring streets for the following reasons. I have also offered my opinion on a few points and have a number of questions which I'd appreciate answers or sign posts where the information can be found</p> <p>1. I do not believe there is a parking problem. I accept there are areas where parking is congested at times, however this is a reality of living in The Old Town.</p> <p>2. I'd be interested to know more about the "survey work" carried out last year on behalf of the council. Please send links or information where this information can be found. The Surveyors obviously did not walk along the north east end of Stanmore Road between the hours of 8.30 and 5.30pm. Apart from the school run in the mornings and afternoon, there is always parking available for when I come home for my lunch several times a week.</p> <p>3. Where will parents of pupils attending,</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 8.0 and 8.1.</p> <p>All roads were visited during and outside the proposed controlled hours to make observations; however the survey work referred to on the website was a survey of residents' views, the outputs of which are planned to be published in due course.</p> <p>See "School and nursery parking" in</p> |


| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>Letchmore Road park, when dropping off and collecting their children? We are a community and we need to be tolerant and accommodations of these parents going about their family business</p> <p>4. We are a two car family and we both work. We leave before 8.30am and return home after 5.30pm. The parking permit scheme will not make it easier to park in our road and we do not want to pay for something which we will not benefit from</p> <p>5. The introduction of a parking permit scheme will not create a situation where carers and GPs will be able to park outside the house of the person requiring care. Parking and walking to your destination is another reality of living in The Old Town</p> <p>6. If the scheme goes ahead how will the council measure the success and merits? What objective information and statistics are available now that the scheme would be measured against in the future? How will we, the residence, know if we have received value-for-money?</p> <p>7. I have lived in The Old Town for approximately 30 years and not once have I witnessed a cross-word between residence and non-residence over parking. This is my prediction for the future if the scheme is introduced -</p> | <p>consultation report.</p> <p>If the resident's vehicles are absent throughout controlled hours, they would not need to buy permits for them.</p> <p>The proposals include the ability for carers and GPs to have permits to visit their clients/patients, while removing non-resident parking would reduce competition for the available space.</p> <p>Such criteria have not yet been defined. Inevitably residents will form their own views on the value for money achieved regardless of measurements or success criteria set by the council.</p> |



| Ref. no. | Address    |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |            |           | Residence will become “territorial” over parking spaces and intolerant of “outsiders”, creating a more divided community. The council will never be able to police the areas strictly enough which will lead to potential confrontation between permit holders and non-permit holders. Residence will find out that life after the permit scheme is exactly the same as life before only that we’ll feel like we’ve been mugged by the council because they saw an “opportunity to access a virtual parking permit system without incurring the significant setup cost that would otherwise be encountered” all under the pretence that they’re saving us money, hilarious!   | The experience of other residents is that this is already the case, and it is anticipated that deterring non-resident parking would alleviate this.<br>See officer comments on response 164.0. |
| 238.0    | Grove Road | Stevenage | I would like to express my objection to the parking proposals for permits in Stevenage old town. You could sort the parking problem by making the existing car parks more affordable or better still FREE, so that the non residents, local shopkeepers and commuters who block the streets would use them. Instead you are exasperating the problem by penalising the residents who are already frustrated by the situation. You are adding insult to injury by making residents pay to park, whilst other residents in streets in Stevenage can park at their houses and have workmen and visitors park for free (so necessary when you are elderly)<br>The once vibrant Old town is already under stress due to the closure of our supermarket and | See officer comments on responses 5.0, 7.0, 16.0 and 30.0.<br><br>See officer comments on responses 3.4 and 8.0.   |

| Ref. no. | Address       |           | Content   | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|---|--|
|          |               |           | <p>postoffice, and I feel that the Council is dealing it a further blow with its permit plans.</p> <p>It would appear that Stevenage Borough Council is putting its need for revenue before the welfare of the residents of the old town.</p> <p>Yours faithfully</p>   | See officer comments on response 3.0.  |
| 239.0    | Stanmore Road | Stevenage | <p>Re: Parking proposals in Stevenage Old Town</p> <p>As a resident of Stanmore Road and of the Old Town, I feel I must voice my concerns over the proposal for the introduction of parking permits in this area. While I do understand the frustrations of some residents at not being able to park near their front door I feel that the bigger picture of the survival of the High Street is being ignored. It is true that many of the streets listed are busy parking wise during the day. These are cars whose owners have</p> <p>business of some sort to carry out on the High Street. Where are these to go? Are you using the funds acquired from the permit charges to provide additional parking because the car park in Church Lane will be wholly inadequate?</p> <p>Another concern of mine is the parking allowed for the doctor's surgery on Stanmore Road. I can see that some 'time limited' parking</p> | <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 7.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.0 and 30.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments  |
|----------|---------|--|---|
|          |         | <p>spaces have been allocated but only for about seven vehicles in total and not solely for surgery patients. What will happen if these spaces are used by people not attending the surgery but require parking for the full three hours? Where will patients park?</p> <p>I also see that permits are to be required on Saturdays. Why is this? For many households parking for a second car will only be needed in the evening and on Saturday and Sunday. Since many resident cars will be in place on Friday evenings and into Saturday, finding a place will not be a problem yet they will pay a high charge for their Saturday parking.</p> <p>Fi.nally as a pensioner who has lived in Stanmore Road for thirty five years I feel it is very unfair having to pay for this charge now when I am on a fixed income and living alone. I depend on occasional short visits from my son when he</p> <p>is in the area and from others which are often spontaneous. J feel that the charges will put such visits into jeopardy and at the very least reduce them due to the additional effort and cost involved.</p> <p>As I have said at the start of this letter I am</p> | <p>See "Surgery parking" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 5.3 and 8.0 and "Possible courses of action" in consultation report.</p> <p>See officer comments on responses 3.4 and 15.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address |  | Content  | Officer comments   |
|----------|---------|--|--|--|
|          |         |  | <p>not only concerned about my own needs but the Old Town as a whole. I feel let down that other schemes which would allow better traffic flow and parking have not been investigated and pursued. One of the worst things about living in Stanmore Rd and I am sure, Basils Rd is the fact that due to the 'L shaped' bend and double parking it is impossible for a two direction flow of traffic to take place. A simple solution to this would be to have a one way system looping these roads. Easy and cheap! There is also a large area outside the front of the Health clinic which could be used as an additional space. At least six cars!</p> <p>Again, I cannot express enough my surprise at the timing of these proposals. We have no banks, no post office and no proper supermarket on the High Street. Some traders have left because of the reduced foot fall due to the closure of Waitrose. Surely we should be finding ways of encouraging people into the Old Town rather than driving them away.</p> <p>Yours faithfully.</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 36.0.</p> <p>See officer comments on response 5.2.</p> <p>These proposals have been progressed as quickly as possible in response to ongoing high levels of demand from residents.</p> |
| 240.0    |         |  | <p>Dear sir/madam</p> <p>I sent the email below on the 3rd of February but have not received a reply or acknowledgment. I</p>  |  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content   | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|---|------------------|
|          |         | <p>may have sent it to the wrong email address and would appreciate it if this isn't the right department that you pass it on to the correct one please.</p> <p>I will wait for a reply.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> <p>NNNN<br/>Franchisee for Buntingford, Stevenage and Baldock Lettings</p> <p>Mob: +44 (0) TTTT TTTT</p> <p>Book a Valuation</p>  <p>The information in the email and any documents and files transmitted with it are confidential and for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments |
|----------|---------|--|------------------|
|          |         | <p>not the intended recipient, please delete the message and statements immediately and notify the sender. Any unauthorised dissemination or copy of this email, or any misuse or wrongful disclosure of the information contained in it is strictly prohibited and may be illegal. The opinions, statements and thoughts expressed in this email and its attachments if any are those of the individual sender and not necessarily of Hunters or any associated personnel.</p> <p>Although Hunters believes this email and any attachments are free of any virus or other defect which may affect a computer, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free and Hunters does not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use.</p> <p>A Hunters franchise independently owned and operated under licence by Sole Trader Ian Wilson, Sole Trader England and Wales, VAT No: 804 2472 55</p> <div data-bbox="734 1125 943 1201">  </div> <div data-bbox="969 1117 1133 1206">  </div> <p>From: NNNN<br/> Sent: 03 February 2020 10:36<br/> To: reconciliationteam@stevenage.gov.uk<br/> Subject: Demise of High Streets and Old Town</p> |                  |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>Car Parking</p> <p>Dear sir/madam</p> <p>Having spoken to several business owners in Stevenage Old Town I thought it was time to write regarding the current and future parking arrangements for those trying to run a business in the Old Town.</p> <p>You may or may not be aware of the tough trading conditions that business owners and their staff have been working in for the last few years. In 43 years, I believe 2019 was one of the toughest. The Old Town is starting to suffer with significant shops being empty.</p> <p>Paying over £1,000 per month rates for premises circa 600 sq feet and now being told there are proposals that all streets that surround the Old Town will be changed to permit parking, unless you advise me that the permits will extend to business residents and we will be able to apply for one per member of staff then it is all getting a bit ridiculous.</p> <p>You can appreciate, especially in my business that staff members are in and out all day. They can't really use the 2 or 3 hour non return bays because of obvious reasons, they can't use the barrier car</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 7.0.</p> |

| Ref. no. | Address | Content  | Officer comments                             |
|----------|---------|--|--|
|          |         | <p>parks because it would cost them a fortune on a daily basis and the current annual permits for the old lorry park in Primett Road (if you are paying for 7 members of staff) is just not affordable.</p> <p>May I suggest, killing three birds with one stone. That you help the parking situation and help the businesses that make the Old Town what it is by offering a heavily discounted rate for Business Rates payers in Stevenage Old Town, thirdly the Council will also receive additional income which it currently isn't getting. If we got an annual permit for the Primett Road car park for say £100 per annum then I and other business owners could afford to buy one for each staff member, but currently at £552.00 x 7 = £3864.00 makes it impossible.</p> <p>High Streets are in decline and we need support.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p> | <p>See officer comments on response 3.2.</p> |